EXHIBIT 7

	CASE 0:15-md-02666	-JNE-DTS Do	q. 949-	5 Filed	COMPORTAL 7 SUBJECTION PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO P			1	3 Filtration Levels, Dirkes, et al, 1
		1		2 4	pg. Richard Putnam Wenzel, Curriculum
	1 UNITED STATES DISTRIC				Vitae
	2 DISTRICT OF MINNES			3 5	EXHIBIT B, Chart of Materials Sent to Dr. Richard Wenzel, 21 pgs.
	-			4 6	Group exhibit, Letters, Briley and Wenzel to Blackwell Burke and hours
	4 In Re:			5	and expenses
	5 Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming			7 6	Letters, Wenzel and Briley to Blackwell burke and hours
	6 Products Liability Litigation 7			8 7	Article, INFECTION IN EXPERIMENTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTIES, Southwood, et
					al, Journal of Bone and Joint, Vol.
	8 This Document Relates To: 9 All Actions MDL No	. 15-2666 (JNE/FLM)		8 9	67-B, No 2. March 1985 Article, A New Model of
	10			9	Experimental Prosthetic Joint Infection Due to
	11		1	0	Methicillin-Resistant
	12		1	1	Staphylococcus aureus: A Microbiologic, Histopathologic, and
	13 DEPOSITION OF RICHARD P. WEN:	ZEI. M D . MSc	1	2	Magnetic Resonance Imaging Characterization, Belmatoug, et al,
	14 VOLUME I, PAGES 1			3	Journal of Infectious Diseases,
	15 AUGUST 4, 2017		1	10	1996, 174 email string, Wenzel to Darouiche,
	16		1	4 11	4/7, 2017, 6 pgs. Article, Airborne bacterial
	17		1	5	contamination during orthopedic surgery: A Randomized controlled
	18 (The following is the de	eposition of RICHARD	1	6	pilot trial, Journal of Clinical
	19 P. WENZEL, M.D., MSc., taken pursu		1	7 12	Anesthesia, 2017 - with markings Article, Forced-Air Warming Does
	20 Taking Deposition, via videotape,		1		Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, Sessler, et
	21 firm, 1700 K Street Northwest, Sui	ite 650, in the City			al, Anesthesia, 2011, with markings
	22 of Washington, District of Columbi	ia, commencing at	1	9 13	Excerpt, A Guide to Infection Control in the Hospital, Fourth
	23 approximately 9:08 o'clock a.m., A	August 4, 2017.)	2	0	Edition, Wenzel, et al, including Chapter 21
	24			1	Chapter 21
	25			2 3	
			2	4 5	
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIAT 1-800-553-1953 info@sti			•	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIV	E ORDER			1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
1 API	PEARANCES:	2			CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 4
2 3	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs: Gabriel Assaad		00.00.40	1	PROCEEDINGS
4	KENNEDY HODGES 4409 Montrose Boulevard				tness sworn.)
-	Suite 200			3	RICHARD P. WENZEL, M.D., MSc.,
5	Houston, Texas 77006			4	
6	Ben Gordon LEVIN PAPANTONIO, P.A.			4 5	Called as a witness, being first duly sworn, was examined and
7	316 S. Baylen Street Suite 600			6	testified as follows:
8	Pensacola, Florida 32502			7	EXAMINATION
9	Genevieve M. Zimmerman				
10	MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD. 1616 Park Avenue				ASSAAD:
11	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404			-	,
12	On Behalf of the Defendants:		09:09:00 1		
	Corey L. Gordon		09:09:03 1		, ,
13	Peter J. Goss BLACKWELL BURKE P.A.		09:09:05 1		
14	431 South Seventh Street Suite 2500		09:09:09 1		a. Home address you wanted.
15	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415		09:09:11 1		
16 ALS	SO PRESENT:		09:09:13 1		
17	Ronald M. Huber, Videographer		09:09:14 1		, , ,
18	EXAMINATION INDEX		09:09:17 1		Stone, Virginia, 22578, so.
	TNESS EXAMINED BY Wenzel Mr. Assaad	PAGE	09:09:21 1		,
20			09:09:25 1		onwealth University?
	EXHIBIT INDEX	24.05	09:09:25 2		, and the second
W e	HIBIT DESCRIPTION nzel	PAGE	09:09:28 2		y retired, but they bring us back every now and
22 1	Expert Report, Richard P. Wer 79 pgs.	nzel,	09:09:31 2		So I I teach.
23 2	Dr. Richard Wenzel, Exhibit B	, 3	09:09:33		, ,
24 3	pgs. Abstract, Convection Warming		09:09:36 2		
25	Operating Room: Evaluation of Bacterial Spread with Three)†	09:09:37 2	5 Q.	,
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES				STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
					1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Do NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10 CON	V <mark>03/17 Page 4 of 95</mark> FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
09:09:38	A.	5 Yeah.	09:11:25 1	A. 7	7 Fhat's right.
09:09:39 2	Q.	Is this your first time being an expert	09:11:25 2	Q . (Okay. And you understand as an expert you
09:09:40 3		n a case?	09:11:28 3		objective; correct?
09:09:41 4	A.	No. I've been asked questions four other	09:11:29 4	_	Yes.
09:09:44 5	times. V	/ant to hear about those, or?	09:11:30 5	Q . 1	Not an advocate for either side. You
09:09:47	_	Four other times?	09:11:33 6	understand	that.
09:09:48 7	Α.	Yeah.	09:11:33 7		I'm not an advocate.
09:09:49	_	I'll get to that in a second.	09:11:39		Okay. How is it that you became involved in
•	α.	Since this is your first deposition I'm	09:11:41	this case?	okay. How is it that you became involved in
09:09:51 9	going to	go through the rules very carefully.	09:11:41 3		Guessing roughly two and a half years ago a
09:09:55 11	_	Sure.	09:11:47 11		tive from Greenberg Traurig called me.
09:09:55 12	Q.	I'm going to ask you numerous questions. If	09:11:50 12		And who was that?
09:09:57 13		t understand the question, please let me know.	09:11:52 13	_	And it was Evan Holder.
09:09:59 14	Fair?		09:11:55 14	· ·	Evan Holden?
09:09:59 15	Α.	Yes.	09:11:57 15	Α. "	'Holder." "Holder," I think it is.
09:10:00 16		If you answer the question, I'll assume that	09:11:58 16	Q . 1	It's Holden.
09:10:01 17	you unde	erstood the question. Fair?	09:11:59 17	A . I	Is it? Sorry about that. Been awhile.
09:10:03 18	A.	Yes.	09:12:02 18	Q . A	And that was for the Walton case?
09:10:04 19	Q.	At any time you want to take a break, please	09:12:04 19	A. \	Yes, it was.
09:10:05 20	let me kı	now. I just ask that you request a break	09:12:06 20	Q . <i>A</i>	And do you know how
09:10:07 21	after you	answer a pending question. Fair?	09:12:08 21	١	Were you referred to them by someone, or?
09:10:09 22	Α.	Yes.	09:12:10 22	A . H	He told me that he had spoken to Michelle
09:10:10 23	Q.	And if at any time you want to correct an	09:12:17 23	Stevens ar	nd Michelle Stevens said I was an infectious
09:10:20 24		ater on that you gave previously, just please	09:12:20 24	disease pe	rson and he asked me if I'd look at the
09:10:23 25		now, we can always go back.	09:12:23 25	records.	
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	,	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-8	300-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER			FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		6		0011	8
09:10:24	A.	Good.	09:12:24	Q . [Do you know Michelle Stevens?
09:10:25 2	Q.	Today I am representing over 2700 plaintiffs	09:12:25 2		I do.
09:10:28 3		idistrict litigation, and my goal is to	09:12:26 3	_	How do you know Michelle Stevens?
09:10:30 4		nd all your opinions today and to understand	09:12:28 4		Roughly starting in 2009. As background, I
09:10:34 5		are going to be testifying at trial.	09:12:34 5		nvited to Mexico during the height of the
	What you	Do you understand that?	09:12:34 6		emic in April of 2009. It was a fascinating
_	٨		_		
	_	Yes, I do.			that you don't want to hear about right
•		So I want a clean record, and I don't want	09:12:46		bout that time I recognized that the
09:10:39		there's anything that needs to be corrected,	09:12:50		ratients, this is before anybody knew
09:10:42 10		r to correct it today because I will not	09:12:52 10		were obese patients and pregnant patients,
09:10:45 11		other opportunity or I may not have another	09:12:57 11		vere all about 21 years old. I made rounds
09:10:47 12	opportur	ity to take your deposition again.	09:12:59 12	in ICUs.	
09:10:49 13		Do you understand that?	09:13:02 13		was asked by, I'm trying to think of her
09:10:50 14	_	I do.	09:13:07 14		oorah Gardner from who's an administrator
09:10:50 15	Q.	Okay. And also, for the court reporter,	09:13:09 15	with 3M, if	I'd be willing to go to four countries in
09:10:58 16	please w	ait till I finish my question before you begin	09:13:13 16	South Ame	erica as part of their infection control
09:11:00 17	answerin	g even though you might know what the question	09:13:18 17	education	program. And I think that that first trip I
09:11:02 18	is, and I'	ll also wait for your answer before I ask my	09:13:23 18	think also i	involved Mexico. So that was later on in
09:11:05 19	next que	stion so that we have a clean record and we	09:13:27 19	2009, and	I was very excited because I got a chance to
09:11:07 20	don't ups	set the wonderful court reporter that's taking	09:13:32 20	go back to	Mexico to get a follow-up of what I had
09:11:10 21		our words.	09:13:37 21		and also now it was the winter in South
09:11:11 22		Do you understand that?	09:13:41 22		they were undergoing their own beginning
09:11:12 23	Δ.	Yes.	09:13:44 23	epidemic -	
	Q.	Now you've been asked to be an expert in	09:13:44 24		I don't mean to interrupt. I don't need
00.11.22 71	-		09:13:44 24		detail. I just want to know
09:11:23 24	thic caca				
	this case		09.13.40	that mach	
09:11:23 24 09:11:24 25		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES -800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	09.10.40		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DO CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	q. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 5 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	9		11
09:13:47 1	A. Okay.	09:16:01 1	A. I think
09:13:49 2	Q how and when you met her.	09:16:01 2	MR. COREY GORDON: I move
09:13:50 3	A. Okay. So that So basically on that trip,	09:16:01 3	THE WITNESS: Wait. Okay.
09:13:51 4	she came on the trip and she was a pediatric	09:16:02 4	MR. COREY GORDON: to strike counsel's
09:13:57 5	infectious disease, I was an adult infectious disease.	09:16:03 5	characterization and want to note for the record that
09:14:01 6	Basically I wound up giving about three lectures per	09:16:05 6	we interposed an objection to certain of the subpoena
09:14:04 7	city in each country	09:16:08 7	requests. In the ensuing time period we have re
09:14:05	Q. So you met her on the trip?	09:16:12	revisited those objections, and even though we
09:14:07	A and visited a lot of hospitals there.	09:16:16 9	believe that what that the stack of materials is
09:14:09 10	Q. Okay. You met her on the trip.	09:16:22 10	would be protected, we have decided to waive that
09:14:10 11	A. Yeah.	09:16:25 11	and go ahead and make that available to you, which we
09:14:10 12	Q. Okay. In Mexico. Fair enough.	09:16:32 12	did today. So there You can now ask your
09:14:12 13	Have you	09:16:34 13	question.
09:14:12 14	Do you consult for 3M?	09:16:36 14	BY MR. ASSAAD:
09:14:15 15	A. One time I did.	09:16:36 15	Q. Did you produce those documents to your
09:14:16 16	Q. At what time? At what period of time?	09:16:37 16	counsel by June 21st, 2017?
09:14:18 17	A. Probably three, four years ago they asked me	09:16:40 17	A. Yeah. I made the deadline.
09:14:20 18	one question, if I would review a meta-analysis	09:16:42 18	Q. And would you agree with me that the stack
09:14:25 19	related to one of the drapes that they had. So	09:16:45 19	is about a foot high?
09:14:28 20	unrelated to the Bair Hugger.	09:16:46 20	A. It's a foot high, yeah.
09:14:29 21	Q. Okay. And were you paid for that?	09:16:47 21	Q. Okay. And that contains all of the articles
09:14:32 22	A. I was.	09:16:50 22	that you reviewed?
09:14:33 23	Q. And how much how much per hour were you	09:16:52 23	A. I don't know if it's all of them, but all
09:14:36 24	paid for that?	09:16:53 24	the ones I underlined for sure.
09:14:36 25	A. Six hundred dollars an hour, and best that I	09:16:55 25	Q. Okay. So many of those documents have
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	₹	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	10		12
09:14:38 1	can remember it was about 10 hours.	09:16:56 1	underlines in them?
09:14:44 2	Q. Do you still keep in touch with Michelle	09:16:58 2	A. Yeah. I'm kind of a nerd and underline a
09:14:47 3	Hulse Stevens?	09:17:00 3	lot of stuff, yeah.
09:14:48 4	A. No, haven't.	09:17:02 4	Q. Okay. And many of those documents
09:14:49 5	Q. You were issued a subpoena in this case. Do	09:17:10 5	MR. BEN GORDON: That was produced, too.
09:14:57 6	you recall that?	09:17:11 6	That's also his.
09:14:58 7	A. I do.	09:17:12 7	Q. Oh I forgot, we have another we have
09:14:58	Q. Okay. And you reviewed the subpoena?	09:17:15	another thing to add to the pile so now it's over one
09:15:00	A. I did.	09:17:17 9	foot. You agree?
09:15:00 10	Q. Okay. And the subpoena requested that you	09:17:18 10	A. Yes, I do.
09:15:02 11	produce documents by June 21st, 2017. Do you recall	09:17:18 11	Q. Okay. And so those documents are documents
09:15:05 12	that?	09:17:20 12	that you have highlights on, or underlines?
09:15:06 13	A. I do.	09:17:22 13	A. Yes.
09:15:06 14	Q. Did you produce all your documents that were	09:17:23 14	Q. Documents that you have notes on?
09:15:09 15	responsive to the subpoena to counsel?	09:17:24 15	A. Yes.
09:15:11 16	A. Yeah. I actually pulled everything, sent it	09:17:25 16	Q. You actually have actually handwritten notes
09:15:14 17	over to counsel and they sent it on.	09:17:27 17	on regular paper as well?
09:15:16 18	Q. Okay. What's been placed in front of you is	09:17:29 18	A. I think I do. I don't
09:15:40 19	a pile of documents that was produced to the	09:17:31 19	Q. If you look at
09:15:43 20	plaintiffs today in response to your subpoena that	09:17:32 20	There's a yellow sheet there and a couple
		09:17:35 21	other sheets.
09:15:49 21	were supposedly due to the plaintiffs on June 21st,	22	Λ Vooh
09:15:49 21 09:15:53 22	2017.	09:17:35 22	A. Yeah.
09:15:49 21 09:15:53 22 09:15:55 23	2017. Are those the documents that you produced to	09:17:36 23	Q. Okay. You have You have deposition
09:15:49 21 09:15:53 22 09:15:55 23 09:15:58 24	2017. Are those the documents that you produced to defense counsel in this case responsive to the	09:17:36 23 09:17:37 24	Q. Okay. You have You have deposition transcripts?
09:15:49 21 09:15:53 22 09:15:55 23	2017. Are those the documents that you produced to defense counsel in this case responsive to the subpoena?	09:17:36 23	Q. Okay. You have You have deposition transcripts?A. I think I re
09:15:49 21 09:15:53 22 09:15:55 23 09:15:58 24	2017. Are those the documents that you produced to defense counsel in this case responsive to the	09:17:36 23 09:17:37 24	Q. Okay. You have You have deposition transcripts?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 6 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
09:17:38 1 09:17:41 2 09:17:43 3	Yeah. The ones that I looked at, yes. Q. And you spent a lot of time on this case; correct?	09:19:09 1 09:19:11 2 09:19:14 3	MR. COREY GORDON: As a courtesy to the court reporter, if no one else, I am simply asking you, Mr. Assaad, to try to chill out a little bit and
09:17:43 4 09:17:44 5	A. I did.Q. Okay. Do you think it's fair that I get a	09:19:20 4 09:19:24 5	wait until either Dr. Wenzel has finished his answer, I have finished my objection before you launch into
09:17:46 6	foot and a half set of documents on the day of your	09:19:27 6	whatever you want to want to speak about.
09:17:50 7	deposition to review when I only have seven hours to	09:19:29 7	MR. ASSAAD: I will give you a continuing
09:17:52 8 09:17:53 9	take your deposition? MR. COREY GORDON: I object to the	09:19:31 8 09:19:36 9	objection that my line of questioning is objectionable.
09:17:53 9 09:17:54 10	question, lack of foundation. Also calls for a legal	09:19:36 9	MR. COREY GORDON: No. I'm not going to
09:17:57 11	conclusion.	09:19:38 11	take a continuing objection. I will interpose
09:17:58 12	As I noted, we interposed an objection.	09:19:41 12	objections
09:18:01 13	That's not Dr. Wenzel's decision. We also made the	09:19:41 13	MR. ASSAAD: Okay.
09:18:04 14	decision, the lawyers, to produce these in spite of	09:19:41 14	MR. COREY GORDON: as I see fit. I just
09:18:08 15 09:18:11 16	what we believe to be a valid objection. MR. ASSAAD: Objection noted.	09:19:43 15 09:19:43 16	ask you to give me and the witness and the court reporter
09:18:11 17	Q. Do you think it's fair, as a layman, that	09:19:43 17	MR. ASSAAD: I
09:18:16 18	you, who spent over 300 hours on your report and	09:19:46 18	MR. COREY GORDON: the courtesy of not
09:18:18 19	reviewed all these documents, that I get a foot and a	09:19:47 19	talking trying to talk over us. We We went
09:18:21 20	half or a foot and a quarter of documents on the day	09:19:51 20	through an unpleasant
09:18:24 21	of your deposition?	09:19:52 21	MR. ASSAAD: I got I got I got it,
09:18:25 22 09:18:27 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:19:52 22 09:19:53 23	Corey.
09:18:27 23 09:18:27 24	the question, lack of foundation. Q. You may answer.	09:19:53 23	MR. COREY GORDON: You're doing it right now, Gabe.
09:18:29 25	A. So my view was to get the documents to the	09:19:55 25	MR. ASSAAD: Well Corey, you don't need to
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 14		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 16
09:18:32	law offices, and after that it's their decision.	09:19:56 1	waste time. We don't have a lot of time, we have a
09:18:36 2	Q. I mean, do you think it'd be fair if I gave	09:19:58 2	huge expert report to go through that he spent 300
09:18:38	you a foot and a half of documents on the day of the	09:20:00 3	hours on.
09:18:40 4	deposition and expect you to answer questions on it?	09:20:01 4	Q. I'm just asking if he thinks it would be
09:18:42 5	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. Q. "Yes" or "no"? Do you think it's fair?	09:20:03 5	fair if I gave him a foot and a half of documents on the day of his deposition to answer questions on.
09:18:44 6 09:18:45 7	A. Well	09:20:05 6 09:20:08 7	MR. COREY GORDON: My objections are the
09:18:45	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.	09:20:09	same.
09:18:46	MR. ASSAAD: It's a simple question. It's	09:20:10	A. Again, what I would say is I met my
09:18:48 10	a simple question.	09:20:13 10	obligation to get the documents to the legal firm on
09:18:48 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Wait, wait.	09:20:17 11	time.
09:18:48 12 09:18:51 13	MR. ASSAAD: I got your objection. You	09:20:20 12 09:20:22 13	Q. So you don't want to answer my question, is that
09:18:51 13	said "same objection." No speaking objections. Q. You may answer the question.	09:20:22 13	A. No, I mean, I think it would be if you
09:18:53 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Gabe Gabe, let me	09:20:25 15	gave me this to read in one day, yeah, that would be
09:18:54 16	stop you right now. If we're going to have another	09:20:28 16	challenging.
09:18:56 17	episode like we did last week	09:20:29 17	Q. Okay. It would be challenging; correct?
09:18:58 18	MR. ASSAAD: You call the judge. You can	09:20:31 18	A. Yes.
09:19:00 19	call the judge. You produced a foot and a half of	09:20:32 19	Q. Okay. I mean, from
09:19:00 20 09:19:03 21	documents on the day of deposition. I am happy with that. You want to do that?	09:20:33 20 09:20:35 21	I mean, you wouldn't expect to give one of your students a foot and a half of documents and to
09:19:03 21	(Interruption by the reporter.)	09:20:35 21	answer questions on it in seven in seven hours;
09:19:04 23	MR. ASSAAD: I'm just asking if it's if	09:20:41 23	would you?
		09:20:43 24	A. No, probably not.
09:19:05 24	he would think it would be fair if I gave him a foot	03.20.40	
	and a half of documents on the day of deposition.	09:20:43 25	Q. Okay. Are all the documents that you
09:19:05 24	_		

, i	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Do	q. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 7 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	17		19
09:21:14	produced to counsel listed in your expert report?	09:23:56 1	A. I do, yes.
09:21:20 2	A. I think so.	09:23:59 2	Q. Okay. Is it my understanding that the
09:21:21 3	Q. Okay. You do understand that today you're	09:24:15 3	majority of the time you spent on formulating your
09:21:34 4	under oath; correct?	09:24:18 4	opinions was doing a literature review?
09:21:35 5	A. I do.	09:24:22 5	A. Yes.
09:21:35 6	Q. And that's under penalty of perjury;	09:24:23 6	Q. Okay. You didn't do any biological testing;
09:21:37 7	correct?	09:24:25 7	correct?
09:21:38	A. That's correct.	09:24:25	A. That's correct.
09:21:39	Q. If you realize that anything in your report	09:24:26	Q. You looked at no internal 3M documents;
09:21:41 10	is incorrect or wrong, this is the time to inform us.	09:24:32 10	correct?
09:21:44 11	Do you understand that?	09:24:32 11	A. That's correct.
09:21:45 12	A. I do.	09:24:33 12	Q. Okay. You didn't do any particle testing;
09:21:45 13	Q. Okay. Now it's my understanding, from	09:24:35 13	correct?
09:21:59 14	reading your report, that you don't believe that	09:24:36 14	A. That's correct.
09:22:06 15	infections can be caused by airborne contaminants in	09:24:36 15	Q. Okay. In fact you haven't you didn't do
09:22:09 16	the operating room. Is that true?	09:24:38 16	any type of original testing.
09:22:11 17	A. I don't think that's exactly what I said. I	09:24:39 17	A. Not related to this case.
09:22:15 18	think the key element of my report is I couldn't find	09:24:41 18	Q. Okay. Your report is largely a recitation
09:22:18 19	evidence linking the Bair Hugger to harm, and then I	09:24:45 19	and cri of critiques of various peer-reviewed
09:22:22 20	went through a great deal of papers to show that I	09:24:48 20	studies; correct?
09:22:27 21	think most infections, the vast majority, come from	09:24:49 21	A. It's my review of the peer-reviewed studies,
09:22:31 22	the patient's own microbiome. I'm not sure that's	09:24:53 22	and my conclusions based on the data that I saw and my
09:22:34 23	your question, but that	09:24:58 23	interpretation of the data.
09:22:35 24	Q. So you it's your opinion that most of the	09:25:05 24	(Wenzel Exhibit 1 marked for
09:22:37 25	infections that occur during a total knee or total hip	09:25:05 25	identification.)
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
i	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 18		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 20
09:22:41 1	arthroplasty come from the patient's own biome,	09:25:05	BY MR. ASSAAD:
09:22:45	microbiome.	09:25:14 2	Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 1 is a copy of
09:22:45	A. Yes, I do.	09:25:16 3	your report. Do you agree with me that that is a
09:22:46	Q. Okay. And that's based on research that you		your reports to you agree that the that the
_		09:25:20 4	complete copy of your report?
09:22:49 5		09:25:20 4	complete copy of your report? A. It looks like it.
•	reviewed?	09:25:22 5	A. It looks like it.
09:22:50	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah.	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6	A. It looks like it.Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon.	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7	A. It looks like it.Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition?
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition?
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome?	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13	reviewed? A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again?	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin?
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections.	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13 09:25:38 14 09:25:40 15	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that?	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 14 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 15 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16 09:25:45 17 09:25:48 18	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18 09:23:35 19	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections.	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13 09:25:38 14 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16 09:25:42 17 09:25:48 18 09:25:51 19	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:17 11 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18 09:23:32 18 09:23:35 19 09:23:36 20	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections. Q. Okay. My question is: With respect to just	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13 09:25:38 14 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16 09:25:45 17 09:25:45 17 09:25:41 18 09:25:51 19 09:25:54 20	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report. A. Are there other articles out there, are you
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17 09:23:30 17 09:23:31 19 09:23:36 20 09:23:41 21	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections. Q. Okay. My question is: With respect to just total hip and total knee, irrespective of the source	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13 09:25:40 15 09:25:43 16 09:25:45 17 09:25:48 18 09:25:51 19 09:25:54 20 09:25:56 21	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report. A. Are there other articles out there, are you asking,
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:29 16 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18 09:23:35 19 09:23:41 21 09:23:41 21 09:23:44 22	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections. Q. Okay. My question is: With respect to just total hip and total knee, irrespective of the source of the or what may or may not cause the infections,	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13 09:25:40 15 09:25:42 16 09:25:45 17 09:25:48 18 09:25:51 19 09:25:56 21 09:25:57 22	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report. A. Are there other articles out there, are you asking, Q. No.
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18 09:23:35 19 09:23:36 20 09:23:41 21 09:23:44 22 09:23:48 23	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections. Q. Okay. My question is: With respect to just total hip and total knee, irrespective of the source of the or what may or may not cause the infections, it's your opinion that the majority of those	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:36 13 09:25:36 13 09:25:40 15 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 19 09:25:51 19 09:25:52 21 09:25:57 22 09:25:57 23	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report. A. Are there other articles out there, are you asking, Q. No. A that I might have thought about since
09:22:50 6 09:22:52 7 09:23:02 8 09:23:11 9 09:23:14 10 09:23:19 12 09:23:22 13 09:23:22 13 09:23:23 14 09:23:26 15 09:23:30 17 09:23:32 18 09:23:35 19 09:23:36 20 09:23:41 21 09:23:44 22 09:23:48 23 09:23:50 24	A. Research that I reviewed, yeah. Q. Okay. And we'll get to that soon. And when we're talking about infections during total hip/total knee arthroplasty we're talking about any type of infection, not infections that may be caused by a Bair Hugger, correct, that are caused by the human biome? A. I'm not sure. The question again? Q. Well before you limited to your your opinion that the Bair Hugger doesn't cause infections. Do you recall that? A. Yeah. What I said is I couldn't find evidence that would link the Bair Hugger to any link to infections. Q. Okay. My question is: With respect to just total hip and total knee, irrespective of the source of the or what may or may not cause the infections, it's your opinion that the majority of those infections are caused by bacteria on the patient's own	09:25:22 5 09:25:23 6 09:25:28 7 09:25:30 8 09:25:31 9 09:25:34 10 09:25:35 11 09:25:35 12 09:25:36 13 09:25:38 14 09:25:40 15 09:25:41 16 09:25:45 17 09:25:45 17 09:25:45 19 09:25:56 21 09:25:57 22 09:25:57 23 09:26:00 24	 A. It looks like it. Q. Okay. And have you had a chance to review your report before today's deposition? A. Yes, I have. Q. Okay. You've reread your entire report before today's deposition? A. I have. Q. Okay. And you Is there anything that you want to change in your report before we begin? A. I don't think so, but we'll see. Q. Sitting today, these are your complete opinions and all of the sources that you rely upon to formulate your opinions as of June 2nd, 2017 when you submitted this report. A. Are there other articles out there, are you asking, Q. No. A that I might have thought about since then, or?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 21	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 8 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
09:26:03	literature that you rely upon.	09:28:27	that I did this report.
09:26:05	A. Yeah.	09:28:30 2	Q. Well, sir, for the his deposition was
09:26:06 3	Q. And that you've cited and have reviewed to	09:28:33	after June 2nd, 2017.
09:26:09 4	support your opinions in your report. They're all	09:28:35 4	A. When was his deposition?
09:26:12 5	contained in this report of Exhibit 1; correct?	09:28:38 5	MS. ZIMMERMAN: Last Tuesday.
09:26:14	A. Either here or the materials that I sent to	09:28:39 6	Q. Last Tuesday.
09:26:16 7	you, yeah.	09:28:41 7	A. Oh, that's probably his report, then, that
09:26:16	Q. Okay.	09:28:44	I'm talking about, if that's true.
09:26:17	MR. COREY GORDON: And I want so you can	09:28:45	Q. So you're saying this is not accurate.
09:26:19 10	ask him about it, I want you to know we are going to	09:28:47 10	A. I'm saying that I should have had the word
09:26:21 11	ask him to offer an opinion of the valid the	09:28:49 11	"report" there.
09:26:25 12	validity of the recently published Scott Augustine	09:28:50 12	Q. Instead of "deposition"?
09:26:29 13		09:28:50 12	A. Instead of "deposition."
09:26:29 13	thing.		_
	MR. ASSAAD: I understand that, but I think	09:28:52 14	
15 16	before I'm going to ask him any questions on that he	09:28:54 15	report.
16 17	should file a supplemental report so I can prepare,	09:28:54 16	A. I agree and apologize.
9:26:36 17	and to prepare what his opinions are going to be and	09:28:56 17	Q. Okay. And so you want to criticize Dr.
09:26:38 18	we can come back and take his deposition.	09:28:58 18	Jarvis to say that his that his opinions are
09:26:47 19	MR. COREY GORDON: So will you agree to	09:29:01 19	superficial and wanting before you even had a chance
9:26:49 20	that with your experts as well, who've rendered	09:29:03 20	to read his deposition?
9:26:52 21	who've supplemented their opinions based on the newly	09:29:05 21	A. I saw it based on his report.
9:26:55 22	published Augustine whatever it is?	09:29:06 22	Q. Okay. Page 74, third paragraph. You
9:26:58 23	MR. ASSAAD: We'll you've already asked	09:29:15 23	indicate that "Dr. Samet's deposition is uncritical
9:27:00 24	them questions on it, but I will consider it.	09:29:17 24	and wanting." It seems like you like the word
09:27:12 25	BY MR. ASSAAD:	09:29:20 25	"wanting"; correct?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	22		24
09:27:12	Q. Now let's turn to page 73 of your report.	09:29:21 1	A. I did say the word "wanting" and again
9:27:29 2	You noted on the bottom of page 73, on the third	09:29:23 2	Q. What does "wanting" mean to you?
9:27:33	paragraph from the bottom, "Dr. Jarvis' deposition is	09:29:24 3	MR. COREY GORDON: Gabe, let him finish his
9:27:36 4	superficial and wanting."	09:29:26 4	answer. You're going to You're starting it again.
9:27:37 5	Do you see that?	09:29:27 5	A. Well again, I thought it was very
09:27:37	A. I do.	09:29:29 6	uncritical. You want me to tell you why about both of
9:27:38 7	Q. Okay. What deposition did you read by June	09:29:31 7	these people?
9:27:42	2nd, 2017?	09:29:31	Q. No. So you thought it was uncritical and
9:27:47	A. I I read his deposition. Is that what	09:29:33	wanting, but you didn't have a chance to read his
09:27:49 10	you're asking me?	09:29:35 10	deposition by that date; correct?
09:27:50 11	Q. You signed this on June 2nd, 2017; correct?	09:29:37 11	A. No. This I should have said
9:27:59 12	Next page, sir.	09:29:38 12	Q. Okay.
09:27:59 12	A. Yeah. No, I see that.	09:29:38 12	A his report. A mistake.
19:27:59 13	Q. Okay. What deposition did you have of Dr.	09:29:39 13	Q. Okay. Another mistake; correct?
19:28:01	Jarvis that you want to criticize him as being	09:29:42 14	A. Yes.
09:28:03 15	superficial and wanting?	09:29:42 16	Q. Okay. So now you agree that there are
09:28:06 10	A. Yeah, I don't know why the days don't match.	09:29:42 16	mistakes in your report.
		09:29:45 17	
9:28:12 18	Q. Well did you not check your report to see if		A. In terms of those words, yes. Okay, And there may be some others that
9:28:14 19	it was accurate?	09:29:48 19	Q. Okay. And there may be some others that
9:28:14 20	A. I did.	09:29:50 20	we'll point out later on.
9:28:15 21	Q. Okay. Do you agree with me that this is not	09:29:51 21	A. Don't know.
9:28:18 22	accurate?	09:29:52 22	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	A. Well I agree that I have the 2nd written	09:29:53 23	the question, move to strike.
09:28:18 23		4	Q. Now do you agree that all the articles that
09:28:18 23 09:28:20 24	down there, and I don't know why I did read Dr.	09:30:00 24	
09:28:18 23 09:28:20 24 09:28:24 25	Jarvis's deposition, and I thought it was at the time	09:30:00 24 09:30:02 25	you cited are authoritative?
09:28:18 23 09:28:20 24			

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 9 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	25		27
09:30:05	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:31:47	different articles; correct?
09:30:06 2	the question.	09:31:48 2	A. I do.
09:30:07	Q. In your report of Exhibit 1?	09:31:50 3	Q. And it's my understanding that you read
09:30:09 4	A. If I cited them they gave some insight, I	09:31:51 4	those articles completely; correct?
09:30:09 5	think, in ter	09:31:54 5	A. If I cited it, I read those articles.
09:30:13	Q. So you'd rely	09:31:56	Q. You didn't just read the abstract.
09:30:14 7	A. Huh?	09:31:58 7	A. I did not read just the abstract.
09:30:15	Q. So you'd rely on on the articles that you	09:32:02	Q. Okay.
09:30:17	cited.	09:32:04	(Wenzel Exhibit 2 marked for
09:30:18 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to	09:32:04 10	identification.)
09:30:18 11	A. Some much more than others.	09:32:04 11	BY MR. ASSAAD:
09:30:18 12	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	09:32:17 12	Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 2 is a list of
09:30:16 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:32:17	articles that and documents that you considered or
09:30:21 14	the question.	09:32:26 14	reviewed; is that correct?
09:30:22 15	MR. ASSAAD: Basis?	09:32:27 15	A. That's correct.
09:30:22 15	MR. COREY GORDON: "Reliance" is a legal	09:32:27 15	Q. But they may not be cited in your report;
09:30:24 16	term, and if you want to ask him what he, as a	09:32:28 10	correct?
09:30:25 17	scientist, was doing, that's fine. But you're	09:32:30 17	A. I think that's true.
09:30:30 10	you're you're trying to, you know, as you just	09:32:32 10	Q. Okay. Do you consider all of the articles
09:30:35 19	did, try to	09:32:33 19	in Exhibit 2 to be authoritative?
09:30:37 20	MR. ASSAAD: I got your objection.	09:32:34 20	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:30:37 21	MR. COREY GORDON: impose a legal term.	09:32:37 21	the question.
09:30:38 23	MR. ASSAAD: I got your objection.	09:32:41 23	A. I don't know if they're authoritative.
09:30:38 23	Q. Do you know what the term "rely" means?	09:32:41 23	They're They're articles I read related to the
09:30:40 24	A. In legal terms, no.	09:32:43 24	case.
09:30:43	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09:32:45	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	1 000 000 1000 1110@011011411.0011		1 000 000 1000 1110@011011411.00111
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 26		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 28
09:30:44	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 26 Q. How about in scientific terms?	09:32:46	28
09:30:44 1 09:30:45 2	Q. How about in scientific terms?	09:32:46 1 09:32:47 2	
_	26	_	${f Q.}$ Did you rely on them in formulating your
09:30:45	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence.	09:32:47 2	$\bf Q. \ \ $
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah,	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative"	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means?	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:56 6	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable.	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report?
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative?	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:03 10	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:03 10 09:33:07 11	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes."
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available.	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that?
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:16 13 09:31:12 12 09:31:12 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:33 16 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:55 6 09:32:55 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:07 11 09:33:08 12 09:33:08 13 09:33:17 15 09:33:18 16 09:33:20 17 09:33:20 17	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that?
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:31 16 09:31:31 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct?	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:16 13 09:31:12 12 09:31:12 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:33 16 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection,	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:31 16 09:31:31 17 09:31:32 18 09:31:33 19 09:31:38 20 09:31:40 21	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know.	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:01 10 09:33:07 11 09:33:08 12 09:33:08 13 09:33:13 14 09:33:17 15 09:33:18 16 09:33:20 17 09:33:21 18 09:33:23 19	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19 09:31:38 20 09:31:40 21 09:31:42 22	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know. MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation. A. I don't know how many there were. There were a lot.	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no. Q. So the answer to my question is you didn't
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:33 16 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19 09:31:38 20 09:31:42 22 09:31:42 22	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know. MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation. A. I don't know how many there were. There	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:07 11 09:33:08 12 09:33:08 13 09:33:13 14 09:33:17 15 09:33:20 17 09:33:22 18 09:33:23 19 09:33:25 20 09:33:27 21 09:33:29 22 09:33:31 23	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no. Q. So the answer to my question is you didn't offer any criticisms of Michael Buck in your report;
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:12 12 09:31:14 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19 09:31:40 21 09:31:40 21 09:31:42 22 09:31:43 23 09:31:45 24	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know. MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation. A. I don't know how many there were. There were a lot. Q. You've read your report; correct? A. I have.	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no. Q. So the answer to my question is you didn't offer any criticisms of Michael Buck in your report; correct?
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:08 11 09:31:12 12 09:31:16 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:33 16 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19 09:31:38 20 09:31:42 22 09:31:42 22	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know. MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation. A. I don't know how many there were. There were a lot. Q. You've read your report; correct? A. I have. Q. And there are many you cite to many	09:32:47 2 09:32:48 3 09:32:52 4 09:32:54 5 09:32:55 6 09:32:58 7 09:33:00 8 09:33:01 9 09:33:07 11 09:33:08 12 09:33:08 13 09:33:13 14 09:33:17 15 09:33:20 17 09:33:22 18 09:33:23 19 09:33:25 20 09:33:27 21 09:33:29 22 09:33:31 23	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no. Q. So the answer to my question is you didn't offer any criticisms of Michael Buck in your report; correct? A. I did not. That's correct.
09:30:45 2 09:30:48 3 09:30:49 4 09:30:50 5 09:30:52 6 09:30:55 7 09:30:55 8 09:31:01 9 09:31:06 10 09:31:12 12 09:31:14 13 09:31:25 14 09:31:30 15 09:31:37 17 09:31:38 18 09:31:39 19 09:31:40 21 09:31:40 21 09:31:42 22 09:31:43 23 09:31:45 24	Q. How about in scientific terms? A. Yeah. Scientific terms I would say, yeah, it's credible evidence. Q. Okay. And do you know what "authoritative" means? A. Usually by someone who's thought to be reputable. Q. Okay. And you understand when I refer if I ask you if an article is authoritative? A. Yeah. You might want to I would probably want to add some weight to that or not, some more weighty than others in terms of the force of the data available. Q. It's my understanding that you have cited, I mean, last time I counted, between in your in your report, like, over 90 articles in your in your expert report; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, A. I don't know. MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation. A. I don't know how many there were. There were a lot. Q. You've read your report; correct? A. I have.	09:32:47	Q. Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions? A. Some of them I didn't actually use in my report. Q. That wasn't my question, sir. Did you rely Did you rely on them in formulating your opinions, whether or not you cited them in your report? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. Yeah, for the most part I think that's true. Q. The answer to my question is "yes." A. Yes. Q. Okay. Going to Exhibit B, it seems like you received the report of the expert report of Michael Buck. Do you see that? Q. First line. A. Where is that? Q. First line. A. Yeah. Q. But you offer no criticisms in your report of Michael Buck; correct? A. No. I didn't spend much time on that, no. Q. So the answer to my question is you didn't offer any criticisms of Michael Buck in your report; correct?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 10 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 29		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
09:33:35	Q. Okay. You also looked at the report of Dr.	09:35:28	Q. Okay. Did you rely on any information in
09:33:36 2	Said Elghobashi; correct?	09:35:33	Dr. Borak to formulate your opinions?
09:33:37 3	A. Yes.	09:35:38 3	A. Yes. I In his report I want to make
09:33:38 4	Q. In your report you didn't offer any	09:35:43 4	sure I don't mix up his report with his deposition. I
09:33:40 5	criticisms of Dr. Elghobashi in your report; correct?	09:35:53 5	think Yeah. His His focus on the rivaroxaban
09:33:42 6	A. That's true.	09:35:58 6	issue, I I thought was very helpful, added to what
09:33:43 7	Q. Did you even understand his report?	09:36:02 7	I thought was going on.
09:33:45	A. It was way over my head.	09:36:04	Q. Okay. So did you rely on information in his
09:33:47	Q. Okay. I understand that you criticize Dr.	09:36:11 9 09:36:14 10	report to formulate your opinions, some of your
09:33:51 10 09:33:56 11	Jarvis as being I'd like to use the words you used "superficial and wanting"; correct?	09:36:14 10	opinions? A. Perhaps.
09:33:56 11	A. That's correct.	09:36:14	Q. Is that a "yes" or a "no"?
09:34:06 13	Q. Okay. And you also criticized Dr. Jonathan	09:36:17 13	A. Yeah, I think it's a yes, but I you know,
09:34:12 14	Samet in your report as being "wanting" as well;	09:36:19 14	I can't exactly remember what parts.
09:34:14 15	correct?	09:36:36 15	Q. You don't consider yourself an expert in
09:34:15 16	A. That's correct.	09:36:40 16	hypothermia; do you?
09:34:16 17	Q. Did you have any criticism of Dr. Holford's	09:36:43 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:34:18 18	report?	09:36:44 18	the question.
09:34:20 19	A. No.	09:36:44 19	A. No, in the sense that where hypothermia
09:34:20 20	Q. Why not?	09:36:48 20	inter interfaces with infectious disease I think I
09:34:22 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:36:51 21	know a lot, yes.
09:34:23 22	the question.	09:36:51 22	Q. What research have you done with
09:34:23 23 09:34:27 24	A. I thought he was helpful, actually.Q. Have you read his	09:36:53 23 09:36:53 24	hypothermia? A. I've done no direct research with it.
09:34:27 24	(Interruption by the reporter.)	09:36:53 24	Q. So you're just basically relying on
09.54.27	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09.30.33	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	30		32
09:34:28	Q. Did you rely on his opinions in formulating	09:36:58 1	literature review to for your understanding of
09:34:32	your opinions?	09:36:59 2	hypothermia as related to surgical-site infections.
09:34:34 3	A. In In part, where he talked about the	09:37:02 3	A. Well with the background in infectious
09:34:36 4 09:34:41 5	changing rates, for example, over time during the Bair Hugger period, when he showed the high rates at that	09:37:04 4 09:37:07 5	diseases and interest in hospital-acquired infections. If that's part of the mix, yes.
09:34:41 5 09:34:45 6	hospital compared to the rest of the U.K. hospitals in	09:37:07 5	Q. Well you graduated from medical school in
09:34:48 7	the same trust. There were a couple of things like	09:37:15	1965; correct?
09:34:52	that that made me even more skeptical of the articles	09:37:17	A. That's correct.
09:34:59	that were focusing on	09:37:17	Q. And a lot of the research regarding the
09:35:00 10	Q. You're talking about the McGovern article.	09:37:19 10	effects of hypothermia on and its effect on
09:35:02 11	A. McGovern article.	09:37:25 11	surgical-site infections was much after 1965. Do you
09:35:03 12	Q. So would you agree would you defer to Dr.	09:37:27 12	agree?
09:35:06 13	Holford with respect to his analysis of the McGovern	09:37:28 13	A. No question. Yes.
09:35:08 14	article?	09:37:30 14	Q. Okay. So a lot of the
09:35:09 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:37:31 15	I mean, you have done no research on that
09:35:10 16 09:35:10 17	the question. A. No, I don't think I would defer to him at	09:37:33 16 09:37:34 17	issue independently; correct? A. That's correct.
09:35:10 17	all. I think I have my own opinion.	09:37:34 17	Q. Okay. And you've done no studies on that;
09:35:15 19	Q. Okay. But you relied on some of the	09:37:36 19	correct?
09:35:16 20	information you obtained from his report in	09:37:37 20	A. No studies.
09:35:19 21	formulating your opinions.	09:37:38 21	Q. Okay. So you agree that most of the
09:35:19 22	A. A little bit of that, yes.	09:37:40 22	information that you've obtained was through
09:35:22 23	Q. Okay. With respect to Dr. Borak, do you	09:37:43 23	peer-reviewed articles that other people have done in
09:35:25 24	have any criticism of his report?	09:37:46 24	the area; correct?
09:35:26 25	A. No. I thought he did a good job.	09:37:47 25	A. That's correct.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
8 of 94 shee	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	32 of 370	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 08/09/2017 08:27:40 AM
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	r age 29 to		00/03/2017 00.27.40 AM

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 11 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	33		00	35
			٨	Yeah. And I've cited the well SSI
09:37:47	Q. Okay. And you'd agree with me that the two	09:40:24		rean. And I ve cited the well 551
09:37:50 2	leading people dealing with the effects of hypothermia	09:40:29 2	Yeah.	
09:37:53	in the world are Dr. Andrea Kurz and Dr. Daniel	09:40:29		So I think I've given you a a number of
09:37:58 4	Sessler; correct?	09:40:33 4		o look at that.
09:37:59 5	A. Yes.	09:40:34 5		Okay. You've never spoken on the issue of
09:38:01 6	Q. Okay. So you would defer to them with	09:40:46 6	hypothe	rmia and effects of surgical-site infections;
09:38:01 7	respect to the effects of hypothermia on surgical-site	09:40:50 7	correct?	
09:38:04	infections; correct?	09:40:51	Α.	I've spoken on surgical-site infections
09:38:04	A. I don't know	09:40:53	where I'	ve cited work on hypothermia, but I haven't
09:38:04 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:40:58 10	just give	n a talk just hypothermia.
09:38:06 11	the question.	09:41:00 11	Q.	Okay. Have you read the deposition of Dr.
09:38:06 12	A. if I'd defer to them, no.	09:41:04 12	Sessler?	
09:38:08 13	Q. So you wouldn't defer to a doctor that has	09:41:05 13	A.	Yeah. I don't remember that very well, but
09:38:11 14	spent their entire life doing research on an issue,	09:41:07 14	yeah.	·
09:38:15 15	that that has published tens of articles on that	09:41:07 15	Q.	Do you remember the deposition of Andrea
09:38:20 16	issue, has given talks around the world on that issue,	09:41:08 16	Kurz?	,
09:38:26 17	and continues to do research on that issue, you	09:41:09 17	_	I do.
09:38:29 18	wouldn't defer to them on issues of hypothermia?	09:41:10 18	Q.	Okay. And you read that one?
09:38:32 19	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:41:10 19	Д. А.	Yes.
09:38:33 20	the question.	09:41:11 20		
09:38:33 20	A. What I would do is look at what they have	09:41:11 20	Q.	MR. ASSAAD: Mark this as Exhibit 3.
09:38:34 21	•	09:41:11 21		
	written and see if that comports with all the other	**		(Wenzel Exhibit 3 marked for
09:38:39 23	data that are out there, and look at their articles	09:41:51 23		identification.)
09:38:41 24	themselves so I would formulate an opinion. I'm not	09:41:51 24		MR. ASSAAD: I don't have a copy for you.
09:38:46 25	intimidated by the whole raft of research that someone	09:41:53 25		MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. Just note
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		СО	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	34			36
09:38:50		09:41:53 1		
09:38:50 1 09:38:52 2	34	09:41:53 1 09:41:56 2		36
_	34 else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought			36 came out of the box of materials.
09:38:52 2	all else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it.	09:41:56 2		36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3	all else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr.	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3	that that	36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4	all else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4	that that	36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD:
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections.	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro	36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro	36 c came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor?
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it.	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7	BY MR. A Q. were pro	36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro	36 came out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:08 9	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from?	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm
09:38:52	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections?	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:08 9 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q.	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm
09:38:52	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q.	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3
09:38:52	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis,	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 15	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q.	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document
09:38:52	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A.	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:38 16 09:39:46 17	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. they ma	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read?
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:01 10 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:46 17	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 13 09:42:12 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16 09:42:21 17 09:42:21 17	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they man	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 15 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:56 19	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 13 09:42:12 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16 09:42:21 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking?
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:13 11 09:39:14 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:56 19 09:40:03 20	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:12 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16 09:42:21 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:12 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16 09:42:21 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20 09:42:26 21	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 09:39:14 10 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:56 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections.	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:12 13 09:42:15 14 09:42:18 15 09:42:19 16 09:42:21 17 09:42:21 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20 09:42:26 21 09:42:28 22	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they man Q. A. non-pee	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:01 10 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 15 09:39:38 16 09:39:46 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22 09:40:18 23	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections. Q. Sir, I'm not I'm not talking about Bair	09:41:56	BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:13 11 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22 09:40:18 23 09:40:20 24	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections. Q. Sir, I'm not I'm not talking about Bair Hugger. I'm talking about hypothermia and the	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 15 09:42:12 13 09:42:12 13 09:42:13 15 09:42:13 15 09:42:14 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20 09:42:26 21 09:42:28 22 09:42:30 23 09:42:30 24	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they mar Q. A. non-peel	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:01 10 09:39:11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 15 09:39:38 16 09:39:46 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22 09:40:18 23	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections. Q. Sir, I'm not I'm not talking about Bair Hugger. I'm talking about hypothermia and the incidence of SSI.	09:41:56	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they man Q. A. non-pee	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of thion.
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 8 09:39:03 11 10 09:39:13 11 09:39:14 12 09:39:34 15 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22 09:40:18 23 09:40:20 24	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections. Q. Sir, I'm not I'm not talking about Bair Hugger. I'm talking about hypothermia and the incidence of SSI. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 15 09:42:12 13 09:42:12 13 09:42:13 15 09:42:13 15 09:42:14 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20 09:42:26 21 09:42:28 22 09:42:30 23 09:42:30 24	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma Q. A. counsel?	acame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
09:38:52 2 09:38:54 3 09:38:56 4 09:38:58 5 09:38:58 6 09:39:00 7 09:39:03 11 09:39:13 11 09:39:19 12 09:39:24 13 09:39:24 13 09:39:34 15 09:39:36 17 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 18 09:39:50 19 09:40:03 20 09:40:08 21 09:40:14 22 09:40:18 23 09:40:20 24 09:40:22 25	else has done to say I'm not going to have a thought on it. Q. So you wouldn't defer to Dr. Sessler or Dr. Kurz with respect to hypothermia and surgical-site infections. A. Not necessarily. I'd like to know exactly what you're getting at so I can comment on it. Q. Well who else out there has done research with respect to hypothermia and the incident of surgical-site infections? A. Well I looked at two clinical trials, I cited those both in my report. And Melling was the second one after Kurz. I cited Madrid's recent meta-analysis. There's also an earlier meta-analysis, I cited the author, and and that came in a publication in AORN, Eileen Scott's. I cited six cohort studies of people who've done work in hypothermia. I cited a case-control study about hypothermia and infections. I cited eight studies looking for anything that the Bair Hugger may have done in terms of colony-forming units, which would be maybe a step in the pathway of infections. Q. Sir, I'm not I'm not talking about Bair Hugger. I'm talking about hypothermia and the incidence of SSI. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	09:41:56 2 09:41:57 3 09:41:58 4 09:41:59 5 09:42:01 6 09:42:02 7 09:42:03 8 09:42:05 9 09:42:07 10 09:42:10 11 09:42:10 12 09:42:11 15 09:42:12 13 09:42:12 13 09:42:13 15 09:42:13 15 09:42:14 17 09:42:23 18 09:42:23 19 09:42:24 20 09:42:26 21 09:42:28 22 09:42:30 23 09:42:30 24	that that BY MR. A Q. were pro A. Q. from? A. not sure Q. that you A. Q. A. they ma Q. A. counsel?	accame out of the box of materials. MR. ASSAAD: I was about to say that. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. ASSAAD: Exhibit 3 came out of the documents that oduced today; correct, doctor? I think that's right, yes. Okay. Where'd you obtain that document This one I think I got from counsel, but I'm So is that the only document of Exhibit 3 obtained from counsel? No. What other document Are there other documents, you mean, that y have sent to me to read? Yes. Is that what you're asking? Well Is that Let me rephrase. Is that the only internal document, like r-reviewed literature that you received from MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of thion.

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	- 949-5 Filed 10/03/17 Page 12 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
37	39
09.42:32 1 A. Not sure, but probably.	o _{9.45.03} 1 A. Well in my report I've said somewhere
09.42:33 2 Q. Okay. So you didn't receive any internal	o _{9.45.05} 2 between 70 and 90 just based on the data that we have
6 hadden af the Data Hannau Sama 2M2	os.45:09 3 already.
A No.	_'
T A Very did allows a class and	
	opinion that if a surgical-site infection occurs that
09:42:41 6 Did you receive any of the computational	09:45:25 6 it's it's most likely patient flora and not from
7 fluid dynamics studies that were done internally by	09:45:29 7 airborne contamination.
09:42:52 8 3M?	09.45:30 8 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09.42:52 9 A. No.	09:45:31 9 the question.
09.42:53 10 Q. Did you receive any of the schlieren studies	09:45:31 10 A. It's based on my opinion, which is based on
_{09:42:56} 11 that were done internally by 3M?	og:45:35 11 review of the literature that looks at the microbiome
09:42:58 12 A. No.	09.45.39 12 and the influence of the microbiome on the organisms
09:42:58 13 Q. Did you see	09:45:44 13 causing surgical-site infections.
09.42.59 14 Did you get any of the calculations done	09:45:49 14 Is that clear, or let me know if you
09:43:03 15 with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger	09:45:50 15 Q. Well no. I'm just trying to understand your
09:43:05 16 disrupts unidirectional flow that was done internally	09.45.53 16 opinion
o _{9:43:11} 17 by 3M?	09:45:53 17 A. Yeah.
09:43:12 18 A. No.	09:45:53 18 Q. and just to sum it up.
09.43:12 19 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09.45.53 19 A. Sure.
09.43:13 20 the question.	os.45.54 20 Q. Your opinion is that the most likely cause
09.43:14 21 MR. ASSAAD: Basis?	os.45.56 21 of a surgical-site infection is the pla the
09:43:14 21 MR. ASSAAD. Basis? 09:43:15 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Assumes facts not in	os.45:59 22 patient's flora.
	' <u>-</u>
og.43:18 23 evidence, and and the predicate of the question is	09.46:00 23 A. Yes.
og.43:22 24 actually contrary to evidence.	09:46:01 24 Q. Okay. And you don't believe that the
09.43:23 25 MR. ASSAAD: Okay.	09:46:09 25 that the air quality of an operating room causes a
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
38	40
09:43:25 1 Q. Did you receive any of the Strike that.	09:46:18 1 significant risk of surgical-site infection.
09:43:40 2 Did you see the computational fluid dynamic	09:46:22 2 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
_{09:44:05} 3 videos perfor prepared by Dr. Elghobashi?	09:46:23 3 the question.
09:44:09 4 A. Was that a Science Day? I can't remember	09:46:24 A. Well I'm not sure what you mean by
09:44:11 5 Q. No.	09:46:25 5 "significant risk," but I think I mean, I belie
09:44:11 6 A. whether he had one. Then I probably	09:46:30 6 I'm interested in infection control, no question, and
09:44:13 7 didn't see it.	09:46:33 7 I would love the air to be as clean as possible. And
09:44:14 8 Q. Did you see the videos prepared by Dr.	09:46:36 8 the question really gets to the heart of this is does
09:44:17 9 Abraham?	09:46:40 9 air influence the infections or the infection rate,
09.44:17 10 A. I think he had that at Science Day. That's	09:46:48 10 and it's hard to find a lot of data to support that.
_{09.44:20} 11 all I saw, yes.	09:46:51 11 Q. Well
09.44:22 12 Q. Okay. But my understanding is because your	09.46.52 12 A. I I don't want to say it's a total
09.44:27 13 opinion is that most of the infections that cau	os.46.53 13 impossibility. I'm one of those guys, you'll ask me a
opinion is that most of the infections that cau == 09.44:30 14 most of the bacteria that causes surgical-site	os.46:56 14 lot of questions, I won't say "never" or "always."
_	
• • •	•
og.44:37 16 the operating room is is not that is not as	09:47:01 16 easier. I'm asking for your opinion within a
og.44:43 17 important as other areas with respect to infection.	09.47.05 17 reasonable degree of medical probability. Okay?
09:44:46 18 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:47:06 18 A. Umm-hmm.
09.44:48 19 the question.	09:47:07 19 Q. I'm not asking for a hundred percent
09:44:48 20 A. What I would say is that if you're looking	09:47:08 20 certainty.
_{09:44:50} 21 for the reservoir of the organisms causing	09:47:09 21 A. Yeah.
09:44:54 22 surgical-site infections, my opinion is that they come	09:47:09 22 Q. You understand that?
09:44:58 23 from the patient the vast majority of time.	09:47:10 23 A. Yeah.
09:45:01 24 Q. When you say "vast majority," can you give	09:47:10 24 Q. So it's my understanding that your opinion
09.45.02 25 me a percentage?	09:47:12 25 is that the mo that that more likely than not
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	og:47:12 25 is that the mo that that more likely than not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
09:45:02 25 me a percentage?	

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 13 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	41	09:49:33	A. Yeah.
09:47:16 1	the air quality in an operating room does not cause a significant risk in surgical-site infections.		Q do you don't you think it's important
	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:49:33 2 09:49:35 3	to understand the difference?
09:47:21 3	the question.	09:49:35 3	A. Yeah.
09:47:22 5	A. I don't know that I would phrase it that	09:49:37 5	Q. Okay.
09:47:23 6	way.	09:49:37 6	A. I think I do.
09:47:24 7	What I would say is most the origin, in	09:49:37 7	Q. So what
09:47:24 8	other words, the reservoir of the organisms causing	09:49:38 8	So your difference is one is unidirectional,
09:47:27	surgical-site infections is the vast majority are	09:49:38	and the
09:47:30 3	going to be in the patient, they're endogenous, in my	09:49:40 3	And what's "turbulent" then?
09:47:38 11	opinion. I You know, I want the air to be as pure	09:49:42 11	A. Turbulent is where there's no effort to sort
09:47:38 11	as possible. I think there's always a possibility	09:49:42	of compartmentalize the air either from the side or
09:47:40 12	that air is involved in surgical-site infections. I	09:49:46 12	from the top that laminar flow is trying to push down
09:47:44 13	think the information that we'd love to have to answer	09:49:49 13	the particles or in one way or another.
09:47:48 14	your question is is still not out there clear. And	09:49:54 14	Q. So what's turbulent, then? Where is the air
09:47:52 15	the reason, in part, if you want to look at laminar	09:49:59 15	coming from?
09:47:55		09:50:01 10	
09:47:59 17	airflow. So right after the Lidwell's really	09:50:02 17	A. Turbulent they don't have that. The air is ambient air coming through a filter that's in the
09:48:03 18	interesting study, you know, heart and lung, number of patients, 8,000 patients, randomized, you know, a lot	09:50:05 18	
09:48:06 19 09:48:11 20		09:50:07 19	operating room. Q. But where are the where is where is
09:48:11 20 09:48:15 21	of hospitals began to then rely on laminar airflow.	09:50:09 20 09:50:10 21	
09:48:15 2 I 09:48:19 22	So what happened then? So you had Brandt's study, you	09:50:10 2 I 09:50:12 22	the vents?
09:48:19 22 09:48:23 23	know, the total review, and then you had Gastmeier's	09:50:12 22 09:50:13 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, lack of foundation.
09:48:23 23 09:48:28 24	review, and then you had a review by Hooper for the New Zealand and the follow-up New Zealand; four cohort	09:50:13 23 09:50:13 24	A. I don't know.
09:48:28 24	studies, 300,000 patients, and what they found	09:50:13 24	Q. I mean, doctor, you agree with me that if
09:48:33 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09:50:14 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	42		44
09:48:37	actually was the infection rates were a little higher	09:50:17	you're going to criticize articles and use it to
09:48:41 2	if you had laminar airflow.	09:50:20 2	formulate your opinions that you should have
09:48:43 3	Follow that up. More recently Bischoff has	09:50:21 3	especially discussing laminar flow and turbulent flow,
09:48:47 4	done a big meta-analysis published in Lancet, and what	09:50:25 4	you should have a good understanding of what the
09:48:51 5	he showed was in fact with 14 studies, hips and knees,	09:50:27 5	difference is. Don't you agree?
09:48:57 6	there is no real improvement when you add all those	09:50:28 6	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:49:02 7	data as well from the meta	09:50:29 7	the question.
09:49:03	Q. Can I ask you a question real quick?	09:50:29	Q. Don't you agree, doctor?
09:49:06	A. Hmm?	09:50:30	A. I'd love to know more about laminar flow,
09:49:06 10	Q. Can I ask you a question real quick?	09:50:33 10	but I've I've cited 300,000-plus patients who
09:49:07 11	A. Yeah.	09:50:37 11	undergo laminar flow, and then I've cited a
09:49:08 12	Q. What percentage of hospitals in the United	09:50:41 12	meta-analysis recently.
		1 40	_
09:49:10 13	States use laminar airflow?	09:50:43 13	Q. But would it make any difference if 99
09:49:10 13 09:49:11 14	States use laminar airflow? A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't	09:50:43 13 09:50:45 14	Q. But would it make any difference if 99 percent of the hospitals in the United States don't
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09:49:11 14	A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't	09:50:45 14	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15	A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority.	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow?
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16	A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority.Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:49:11	A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority.Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow?	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
09:49:11	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question.
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21 09:49:25 22 09:49:25 23	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. Q. That's your understanding of laminar 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20 09:50:54 21	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in the United States use laminar flow?
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21 09:49:25 22	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. Q. That's your understanding of laminar airflow? A. Yeah. I'm not an expert in laminar. Q. Okay. So when you read studies that discuss 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20 09:50:54 21 09:50:56 22	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in the United States use laminar flow? A. No, I don't. I thought it was a minority.
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21 09:49:25 22 09:49:25 23	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. Q. That's your understanding of laminar airflow? A. Yeah. I'm not an expert in laminar. Q. Okay. So when you read studies that discuss laminar airflow and turbulent airflow, 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20 09:50:54 21 09:50:56 22 09:50:58 23	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in the United States use laminar flow? A. No, I don't. I thought it was a minority. Q. Do you think if air comes from the ceiling that it's laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21 09:49:25 22 09:49:25 23 09:49:27 24	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. Q. That's your understanding of laminar airflow? A. Yeah. I'm not an expert in laminar. Q. Okay. So when you read studies that discuss 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20 09:50:54 21 09:50:56 22 09:50:58 23 09:51:00 24	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in the United States use laminar flow? A. No, I don't. I thought it was a minority. Q. Do you think if air comes from the ceiling that it's laminar flow?
09:49:11 14 09:49:12 15 09:49:14 16 09:49:15 17 09:49:18 18 09:49:20 19 09:49:22 20 09:49:24 21 09:49:25 23 09:49:25 23	 A. I don't know what the answer is. I don't think it's the majority. Q. I mean, have you ever been in an operating room in the United States that has laminar airflow? A. Don't think so. Q. Do you know what laminar airflow is? A. Unidirectional filtered air. Q. That's your understanding of laminar airflow? A. Yeah. I'm not an expert in laminar. Q. Okay. So when you read studies that discuss laminar airflow and turbulent airflow, 	09:50:45 14 09:50:47 15 09:50:49 16 09:50:49 17 09:50:50 18 09:50:51 19 09:50:53 20 09:50:54 21 09:50:56 22 09:50:58 23 09:51:00 24	percent of the hospitals in the United States don't use laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I don't even understand that question. Q. Well you Do you know what percentage of hospitals in the United States use laminar flow? A. No, I don't. I thought it was a minority. Q. Do you think if air comes from the ceiling that it's laminar flow? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 14 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDI
9:51:02	A. No, not necessarily.	09:52:30	A. You know, I'm trying to respond to the
9:51:02	MR. COREY GORDON: of the question, lack	09:52:32	question of how important air is, and
:51:02	of foundation.	09:52:33	Q. I'm talking about laminar and turbulent,
51:04 4	Q. Okay. So why would you compare laminar flow	09:52:35 4	sir,
51:08 5	to turbulent flow in a case in the United States of	09:52:36 5	A. No, I understa
51:10 6	America where most of the patients are in turbulent	09:52:37	Q. I'm not talking about
:51:15 7	airflow operating rooms in your report, if it's	09:52:38 7	A. No. I understand.
1:51:18	completely irrelevant?	09:52:38	So what I'm saying is if you want to look at
:51:20	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:52:41	the difference, laminar flow clearly has been shown to
:51:20 10	the question.	09:52:43 10	decrease particles. And the question is does
:51:21 11	A. No. You asked You asked me a question	09:52:46 11	decreased particles really relate to the endpoint
:51:23 12	about the importance of air, and then I went back to	09:52:50 12	surgical-site infections. So I've cited data from
51:28 13	say and you said, is it not important or important,	09:52:53 13	four large cohorts, over 300,000 patients, and then an
51:30 14	something along that line. Then I went back to talk	09:52:58 14	additional 14 patients in a meta-analysis by Bischoff,
51:33 15	about Lidwell's study that stimulated the really	09:53:03 15	and an accompanying editorial by Weinstein that talks
51:33 13	international push for laminar flow, and	09:53:03 15	about you don't need laminar flow. So that's
51:37 10	Q. I understand the studies.	09:53:08 17	that's a lot of data.
		09:53:16 17	
:51:42 18	MR. ASSAAD: I'm not asking for him to	09:53:17 10	Q. Do you know what the velocity of air is in a
:51:44 19	describe the studies, Corey. We're going to have a		laminar flow system in Australia?
:51:45 20	long day, we're going to	09:53:22 20	A. I don't know what the velocity is in
:51:46 21	MR. COREY GORDON: No. Let's make	09:53:24 21	Australia.
1:51:47 22	short-circuit. Are you prepared to stipulate that	09:53:25 22	Q. In the United Kingdom?
:51:50 23	studies on laminar airflow are irrelevant to this	09:53:27 23	A. No.
:51:51 24	case?	09:53:27 24	Q. Do you know what it is in New Zealand?
:51:51 25	MR. ASSAAD: No. No. But when it comes to	09:53:29 25	A. No.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORD
4	46		48
9:51:55 1	infection I'm just ask I'm trying to see if	09:53:30	Q. Okay. Don't you think the velocity of air
0:51:58 2	understands what laminar flow is.	09:53:32	has a lot to do with how air flows in an operating
:51:59 3	MR. COREY GORDON: Okay. Well you've asked	09:53:35	room?
:52:00 4	him that.	09:53:35 4	A. May well,
:52:00 5	MR. ASSAAD: Well he's	09:53:35	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
:52:00 6	BY MR. ASSAAD:	09:53:36	the question.
:52:01 7	Q. You're criticizing laminar flow as compared	09:53:36	A. but I don't know.
52:06	to turbulent flow.	09:53:37	Q. You would defer to an engineer; correct?
:52:06 9	A. Yeah.	09:53:39	A. About velocity, yes.
:52:06 10	Q. You do understand we're in the United States	09:53:41 10	Q. About airflow in an operating room;
:52:07 11	of America and this case is here; correct?	09:53:41 11	A. Yes.
:52:09 12	A. Pardon me?	09:53:43 12	Q correct?
:52:09 13	Q. The case is here in the United States of	09:53:44 13	A. Yes.
:52:11 14	America.	09:53:44 14	Q. You'd defer to a someone that's a
:52:11 15	A. Yes, they are.	09:53:48 15	that's an expert in fluid dynamics; correct?
:52:11 16	Q. Okay.	09:53:53 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
:52:11 17	A. Yeah.	09:53:53 17	the question.
52:13 18	Q. And if you're looking at infection rates	09:53:54 18	A. Fluid dynamics to talk about air, you mean?
52:15 19	with respect to what happens in the United States, if	09:53:57 19	Q. Yes.
52:20 20	the majority of the United States operating rooms do	09:53:58 20	A. Yeah, I'll talk about the clinical studies,
52:22 21	not do not contain laminar flow, then the issue	09:54:00 21	and they can talk about the basic science of airflow,
52:24 22	between laminar and turbulent is irrelevant; correct?	09:54:05 22	absolutely.
	A. Well	09:54:14 23	Q. Are you familiar with Memarzadeh?
:52:27 23		09:54:16 24	A. With what?
	MR. COREY GURDON: Object to the form of		
:52:28 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation.		_
9:52:27 23 9:52:28 24 9:52:29 25	the question, also lack of foundation. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09:54:17 25	Q. Memarzadeh? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Hiled 1	0/03/17 Page 15 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDI
9:54:19 1		49 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:55:57	Α.	No, I don't.
9:54:20 2	the ques		09:55:58 2	Q.	Okay.
9:54:20 3	Q.	Do you know who he is?	09:56:07	٠.	(Wenzel Exhibit 4 marked for
:54:21 4	Α.	I don't think so.	09:56:07		identification.)
:54:21 5	Q.	Okay.	09:56:07 5	BY MR. A	•
54:24		MR. ASSAAD: What was the basis?	09:56:31		Exhibit 4 is a copy of your curriculum
54:26 7		MR. COREY GORDON: Memarzadeh? I mean, if	09:56:35		s this the most up to date copy of your
:54:27	vou wan	t to ask him about a specific study or I	09:56:37		m vitae?
54:31	•	ere are proba	09:56:38	Α.	I think so.
54:31 10	,	MR. ASSAAD: Who he is. Who he is.	09:56:42 10	Q.	Are you board certified in infectious
54:31 11		MR. COREY GORDON: You know, Gabe, I'll bet	09:56:45 11	disease?	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
54:31 12		,,	09:56:45 12	Α.	I'm board certified in infectious disease
54:33 13	Q.	Do you know who Darouiche is? Do you know	09:56:47 13	and inte	rnal medicine.
54:36 14		puiche is?	09:56:48 14	Q.	Okay. I don't want to spend too much time,
54:36 15		MR. COREY GORDON: I'll bet there's several	09:56:52 15		se help me out here. I want to go to your
54:38 16	hundred	people in the United States whose last name	09:56:55 16	publicati	
54:39 17	is Mema		09:56:56 17		Sure.
54:40 18		MR. ASSAAD: Okay Corey, great.	09:57:03 18		which I believe starts on page under
54:41 19	Q.	Do you know who Darouiche is?	09:57:10 19		liography. There's no page numbers. I'm
54:43 20		I do.	09:57:10 10	sorry.	
54:43 20 54:43 21	_	How many Darouiches are there in the United	09:57:14 20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yeah, there should be. I'm sorry.
54:46 22		lo you think?	09:57:17 22	Q.	Well that's what was provided to me.
54:46 23	-	I have no idea.	09:57:19 23	٠.	Is that another mistake?
54:47 24		Okay. But you know the Darouiche I'd be	09:57:20 24	Α.	
54:48 25		bout in this case; correct?	09:57:20 25	Α.	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
04:48 2 9	taiking a	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	09:57:20 23		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	,	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com			1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER			DNFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORD
		50			52
54:50 1	A.	Yes.	09:57:21 1	the ques	tion.
54:50 2	Q.	Okay. You mentioned particles in an earlier	09:57:21 2	•	I don't know if it's a mistake. I wish
55:13 3		Do you agree that particles can carry	09:57:23	they wer	e there to help you.
55:17 4	bacteria		09:57:24 4	Q.	Okay. The bibliography sometimes your name
55:17 5	_	Yes, some of them can.	09:57:28 5		nd sometimes it's last or in the middle.
55:19	Q.	What do you mean by "some of them"?	09:57:30		es that mean with respect to published papers?
55:21 7		I think the I've seen sort of percentages	09:57:33 7	_	If you're the first author it's you're the
55:24		s or minus 40 percent or something like that.	09:57:35		really did the work, you were at the front
55:27		What percentages carry parti	09:57:38		g the work and should get the credit as the
5:29 10	—	In an operating room, what percentage of the	09:57:43 10		nor. If you're the last author you're
i5:31 11	particles	carry bacteria?	09:57:46 11		he person the senior member of the team,
55:32 12	F 2. CICICO	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:57:50 12		esign the study and helped perhaps with the
55:33 13	the ques		09:57:55 13	protocol.	
55:33 14		Well I don't know, but I'm giving you what	09:57:56 14		Okay. And you have text books, and
55:35 15		printed in the literature, 40 percent.	09:57:56		book section editor, books for general
55:39 16		Forty percent of the particles in an	09:58:01 16		nip, and monographs. What are the difference
55:40 17		g room carry bacteria?	09:58:04 17	between	
i5:40 17	operating	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	09:58:06 17		Okay. So under the papers, these are
55:41 19	the ques		09:58:07 10		pe peer-reviewed articles published in
5:42 20		Forty percent of particles can carry	09:58:12 19	journals.	
5:42 20 5:46 21		I don't know how well that's been studied	09:58:16 20	Q.	Umm-hmm.
55:48 22		erating room by itself, but I'm happy to talk	09:58:17 21	Q. A.	Monographs are sometimes just someone mig
	about pa		09:58:22 23		ald you give us a review of something like
	Q.	Well, so Do you have a	09:58:28 24	_	site infections, for example, and you put
55:51 24		Da baa a ait-ti f tl12			
55:51 24		Do you have a citation for that?	09:58:32 25	together	a brief sort of report that's not peer
55:50 23 :55:51 24 :55:55 25		Do you have a citation for that? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES -800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	09:58:32 25		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 16 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	53		55
09:58:35	reviewed. It might be for a meeting, for example.	10:00:14 1	Q. What do you mean by "reasonable"?
09:58:41 2	If you're asking me about the	10:00:16 2	A. That they summed up the literature
09:58:43 3	What's the other thing you asked about, I	10:00:18 3	accurately. If you ask me to go back, for example, to
09:58:45 4	guess books or something like that	10:00:21 4	a 1981 publication, do I still believe that? I may
09:58:46 5	Q. Yeah.	10:00:25 5	not agree with that.
09:58:46 6	A I wrote? Yeah, I've written published	10:00:27 6	Q. Science advances over time; correct?
09:58:51 7	already one novel and one non-fiction book, and that's	10:00:29 7	A. No, I'm with you.
09:58:57	totally separate from the science side.	10:00:30 8	Q. Otherwise we'd be stuck in the stone age;
09:59:00	Q. I think I said "textbooks." I think you	10:00:31 9	correct?
09:59:02 10	have eight textbooks here.	10:00:32 10	A. I'm with you.
09:59:03 11	A. Oh, I'm sorry. Textbooks. What are	10:00:33 11	Q. Okay. And And even though something
09:59:05 12	textbooks?	10:00:39 12	might be appropriate at the time, some sort of
09:59:06 13	Q. No. I mean, what's the difference between a	10:00:41 13	procedure or medication, later on you might find out
09:59:08 14	textbook and a monograph?	10:00:44 14	that it's could be harmful to the patient; correct?
09:59:09 15	A. Oh a monograph is usually a very brief sort	10:00:46 15	A. Sometimes that happens, yes.
09:59:11 16	of summary on a particular topic.	10:00:47 16	Q. Okay. I mean, it happens with many products
09:59:14 17	Q. Can a monograph be authoritative?	10:00:51 17	in the world. I mean, we have recalls; correct?
09:59:17 18	A. Less steps than a textbook. Textbooks	10:00:53 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
09:59:19 19	should be highly referenced in general, so.	10:00:54 19	the question.
09:59:23 20	Q. So the "Handbook on Hospital Acquired	10:00:54 20	A. Yeah, we do have recalls, meaning that's
09:59:25 21	Infections," you're the author of that; correct?	10:00:58 21	where I guess the government, you mean, gets involved,
09:59:28 22	A. That's correct.	10:01:01 22	or the FDA.
09:59:29 23	Q. Published in 1981; correct?	10:01:02 23	Q. Or it could be a voluntary recall; correct?
09:59:30 24	A. Yes.	10:01:04 24	A. Yes, it could be. That's right.
09:59:30 25	Q. You could	10:01:05 25	Q. I mean, you expect corporations to be
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	54		56
09:59:30	A. What pa Well let me just I'll go try	10:01:07	responsible and not put out harmful products into
09:59:33	to find.	10:01:10 2	into the market; correct?
09:59:34 3	Q. It's under "BIBLIOGRAPHY."	10:01:11 3	A. Well I'm an infection control person. I
09:59:35 4	A. Yeah. Yeah, go ahead.	10:01:14 4	don't want any harmful products.
09:59:40 5	Q. Are you there?	10:01:15 5	Q. Okay. And in fact, you know, you are an
09:59:40 6	A. Yeah. Thanks.	10:01:18 6	infectious disease person and you would understand
09:59:42 7	Q. Do you consider that book authoritative?	10:01:19 7	that a joint infection is a very serious infection.
09:59:44	A. Yes.	10:01:23	A. I've seen a number of patients with
09:59:44	Q. Okay. Do you consider all your writings	10:01:25	prosthetic joint infections. Taking care of them,
09:59:45 10	authoritative?	10:01:28 10	it's a big deal; they suffer physically, emotionally,
09:59:46 11	A. Well I'm biased, but of course I think I do.	10:01:31 11	sometimes financially. They often have miserable
09:59:50 12	Q. Okay. Were you	10:01:35 12	follow-up with repeated INDs, incision drainage. They
09:59:52 13	Did you write that whole book or were you	10:01:40 13	often have a spacer put in, so then then they have
09:59:54 14	just the editor?	10:01:43 14	the prosthesis taken out and put in. So I feel very
09:59:55 15	A. No, I'm the editor. When you see all of	10:01:46 15	sorry for those patients, no question.
09:59:57 16	these basically I'm the editor, and may have written	10:01:48 16	Q. And some of them die.
10:00:00 17	one or more chapters.	10:01:49 17	A. Occasionally die.
10:00:01 18	Q. But as the editor you you review	10:01:51 18	Q. I mean, it's not like an infection, you
10:00:03 19	everything in the book?	10:01:53 19	know, like strep or something that my kid gets.
10:00:04 20	A. Yeah, unfortunately.	10:01:56 20	A. Strep can kill you, by the way. I don't
10:00:05 21	Q. And you agree with everything that's in the	10:01:59 21	want to trivialize
10:00:07 22	in the in	10:02:01 22	Q. I understand that.
10:00:08 23	A. I don't know if I'd agree with everything,	10:02:01 22	A you or your child.
10:00:10 24	but at the time that the articles came across I	10:02:03 24	Q. But, I mean, much more money is spent on,
.5.55.10	thought they were reasonable.	10:02:03 24	you know, fixing a joint infection than than strep
10:00:12 25	anought they were reasonable.	10.02:07	you know, fixing a joint infection than than sulep
10:00:12 25			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
10:00:12 25	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

10:06:28 25

increase the risk of surgical-site infection.

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

10:04:22 25

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	61		63
10:06:30	Do you agree with that?	10:08:37	sorry. To a case, if you will, they were all
10:06:31	MR. COREY GORDON: I object to the form of	10:08:42	failures.
_	the question.	_	Q. And
	· <u>-</u>	4	
10:06:32 4	A. And shown to increase.Q. Yes.	10:08:43 4	A. And we published, by the way.Q. I understand that.
10:06:34			-
10:06:35	A. Not a rare potential, one in a million, but	10:08:46	And those studies were funded by the
10:06:39 7	shown in the in the literature to increase	10:08:47 7	manufacturer of those drugs; correct?
10:06:42	infections. If you say it that way, yes.	10:08:49	A. By the pharmaceutical company, yeah.
10:06:44	Q. Okay. In the literature?	10:08:51	Q. Okay. Because no one else is going to fund
10:06:50 10	A. If somebody's done a study, in other words.	10:08:54 10	a study regarding their own product.
10:06:52 11	Q. Okay.	10:08:56 11	A. Yeah. It's hard sometimes to get NIH to
10:06:52 12	A. That's what I'm trying to say.	10:09:00 12	fund private industry.
10:06:55 13	Documentation. So you say it's contaminated and	10:09:01 13	Q. Okay. So usually private industry usually
10:06:58 14	linked to infections, I would say, how is it linked to	10:09:03 14	funds their own studies to determine the safety of
10:07:01 15	infection, hopefully in some study.	10:09:05 15	their of their product; correct?
10:07:03 16	Q. But does it have to be in the literature, or	10:09:07 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:07:05 17	can it be just from scientific evidence or common	10:09:08 17	the question.
10:07:08 18	sense?	10:09:08 18	A. Well certainly for drugs, which I have a lot
10:07:09 19	A. Common sense, no. There's a lot of people	10:09:11 19	of experience with, I you know, I haven't really
10:07:13 20	You know, there's a guy by the name of Galileo who	10:09:14 20	I don't think I have any studies that I've done on
10:07:17 21	defied common sense and found out that, you know, the	10:09:16 21	products.
10:07:20 22	earth's not the center of the universe. It was common	10:09:17 22	Q. Okay.
10:07:22 23	sense before that.	10:09:18 23	A. Well urinary catheter apparatus, I have done
10:07:42 24	Q. Okay. Do you agree it's the responsibility	10:09:23 24	studies on those.
10:07:44 25	of the corporation that manufactures a medical device	10:09:25 25	Q. And who funded that study?
10.07.44	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	10.09.25	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	1-000-000-1000 iiiio@siiicwaii.com		1-000-000-1000 IIII0@3tilewait.com
	CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO DEOTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
40.07.47 1	62	40,00,00 1	64
10:07:47	62 to make sure it's safe?	10:09:26	A. Hmm?
10:07:49 2	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:09:26 2	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study?
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.	10:09:26 2 10:09:28 3	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what?	10:09:26 2 10:09:28 3 10:09:30 4	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe?	10:09:26 2 10:09:28 3 10:09:30 4 10:09:30 5	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in	10:09:26 2 10:09:28 3 10:09:30 4 10:09:30 5 10:09:32 6	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If	10:09:26 2 10:09:28 3 10:09:30 4 10:09:30 5 10:09:32 6 10:09:33 7	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would	10.09:26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe.	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.30 5 10.09.32 6 10.09.33 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for	10:09:26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree?	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for	10:09:26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree?	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope	10.09:26 2 10.09:28 3 10.09:30 4 10.09:30 5 10.09:32 6 10.09:33 7 10.09:34 8 10.09:35 9 10.09:37 10 10.09:39 11 10.09:43 12	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection.	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct?
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah.	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct?
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.30 5 10.09.32 6 10.09.33 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9 10.09.37 10 10.09.39 11 10.09.43 12 10.09.46 14 10.09.47 15 10.09.40 16	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:07:49	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe?	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis?
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.32 6 10.09.32 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9 10.09.37 10 10.09.39 11 10.09.43 12 10.09.45 13 10.09.46 14 10.09.47 15 10.09.50 16 10.09.52 17 10.09.52 18 10.09.52 19 10.09.52 20	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a is presumes everything. Safety is an important
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20 10:08:30 21	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were all failures.	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.30 5 10.09.32 6 10.09.33 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9 10.09.37 10 10.09.39 11 10.09.43 12 10.09.45 13 10.09.46 14 10.09.47 15 10.09.50 16 10.09.52 17 10.09.52 18 10.09.52 19 10.09.53 20 10.09.53 20	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:08:01 9 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20 10:08:30 21 10:08:31 22	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were all failures. (Interruption by the reporter.)	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a is presumes everything. Safety is an important consideration, but you can you can have a perfectly safe operation that guarantees that there's
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 9 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20 10:08:30 21 10:08:31 22 10:08:31 23	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were all failures. (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.)	10.09.26	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a is presumes everything. Safety is an important consideration, but you can you can have a perfectly safe operation that guarantees that there's no surgical-site infections by not doing the surgery.
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20 10:08:30 21 10:08:31 23 10:08:31 24	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were all failures. (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.)	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.32 6 10.09.32 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9 10.09.37 10 10.09.37 11 10.09.43 12 10.09.45 13 10.09.46 14 10.09.47 15 10.09.50 16 10.09.52 17 10.09.52 18 10.09.52 19 10.09.52 19 10.09.53 20 10.09.54 21 10.09.57 22 10.09.59 23 10.10.02 24	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a is presumes everything. Safety is an important consideration, but you can you can have a perfectly safe operation that guarantees that there's no surgical-site infections by not doing the surgery. MR. ASSAAD: I'm asking
10:07:49 2 10:07:49 3 10:07:51 4 10:07:51 5 10:07:54 6 10:07:56 7 10:07:58 8 10:08:01 10 10:08:05 11 10:08:06 12 10:08:06 13 10:08:07 14 10:08:08 15 10:08:10 16 10:08:12 17 10:08:17 18 10:08:20 19 10:08:20 20 10:08:30 21 10:08:31 23 10:08:31 24	to make sure it's safe? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Manufacturers do what? Q. A medical device to make sure it's safe? A. I think, yeah, again, I'm interested in infection control, I'm interested in safety. If somebody makes a device, I would hope that they would make it safe. Q. And they're the they're responsible for making sure it's safe. Don't you agree? A. I would hope MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A so, yeah. Q. And in fact, I mean, you've been part of studies, haven't you, where corporations fund studies of their own products to determine whether or not it's clinically effective and safe? A. I've done a number of studies on drugs, for example, used to treat sepsis, and to a one they were all failures. (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) A. To a single one. To every one of them. I'm	10.09.26 2 10.09.28 3 10.09.30 4 10.09.32 6 10.09.32 7 10.09.34 8 10.09.35 9 10.09.37 10 10.09.37 11 10.09.43 12 10.09.45 13 10.09.46 14 10.09.47 15 10.09.50 16 10.09.52 17 10.09.52 18 10.09.52 19 10.09.52 19 10.09.53 20 10.09.54 21 10.09.57 22 10.09.59 23 10.10.02 24	A. Hmm? Q. Who funded that study? A. It was funded by the industry itself, yeah. Q. Okay. Because industry wants to A. They Q perform studies to not A. Show the safety of their product. Q. You have to let me finish. A. I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Q. The manufacturer wants to fund studies to to determine whether or not it's effect like clinically effective or a good product, and to determine whether or not it's safe; correct? A. Yes, that's true. Q. Because safety is paramount; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? A. Safety is a MR. COREY GORDON: "Paramount" is a is presumes everything. Safety is an important consideration, but you can you can have a perfectly safe operation that guarantees that there's no surgical-site infections by not doing the surgery.

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	373 3	Filed 10/03/17 Page 19 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	65		67
10:10:05 1	MR. COREY GORDON: There's a balance.	4	
10.10.00		10:11:47	Q and the recommendation by, say, for
10:10:06 2	MR. ASSAAD: I'm asking for the legal	10:11:50 2	example, a the advisory the Scientific Advisory
10:10:08 3	basis, not your	10:11:56 3	Board member of of a corporation that you need to
10:10:08 4	MR. COREY GORDON: The legal balance is	10:12:00 4	do some research regarding the safety of this product,
10:10:09 5	that the word "paramount" is vague.	10:12:03 5	do you agree that a responsible corporation would
10:10:12 6	MR. ASSAAD: Okay. Then say "vague."	10:12:05 6	consider doing the research?
10:10:12 7	MR. COREY GORDON: You were using it in a	10:12:07 7	A. Yeah. If there was a signal somewhere that
10:10:13	particular context and he	10:12:10	the device or a product was unsafe, yeah, they need to
10:10:14	MR. ASSAAD: For the rec	10:12:14	go get some more work to prove it one way or another.
10:10:15 10	MR. COREY GORDON: he may interpret it	10:12:18 10	Q. You're aware that Dr. Sessler has done a lot
10:10:15 11	and as may the jury, in a different context.	10:12:22 11	of research regarding maintaining normothermia and the
10:10:17 12	MR. ASSAAD: For the record, I asked for	10:12:27 12	Bair Hugger.
10:10:18 13	the objection to my question, and Corey Gordon could	10:12:27 13	A. Yeah, he has. I don't know everything that
10:10:18 13		10:12:27 13	he's done, I have to tell you that.
	have said just, "vague"; however, he went into a		
10:10:25 15	one-minute discussion on "paramount" and everything	10:12:32 15	Q. Are you aware that he's on the Advisory
10:10:30 16	like that.	10:12:34 16	Board for 3M?
10:10:30 17	So going forward, Corey, I request that if	10:12:35 17	A. I may have seen that in one of the
10:10:33 18	I ask for a basis just tell me the legal basis, not	10:12:37 18	depositions. I wasn't aware of that
10:10:35 19	your reasoning why it's vague, or or lack of	10:12:39 19	Q. Are you aware that
10:10:39 20	foundation. Fair enough?	10:12:39 20	A. in general.
10:10:40 21	MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not going to agree	10:12:40 21	Q he ghost wrote, or not ghost wrote, he
10:10:42 22	to	10:12:44 22	he I'm sorry he submitted a study in 2011
10:10:42 23	MR. ASSAAD: Okay. So you don't want to	10:12:46 23	regarding particle tests?
10:10:43 24	agree to no speaking objections. I understand.	10:12:48 24	A. I'm not sure I knew that.
10:10:45 25	MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not going to agree	10:12:49 25	Q. Did you not review the 2011 study by by
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	66		68
10:10:47	to your characterizations.	10:12:53	Daniel Sessler and Russ Olmsted?
10:10:48 2	MR. ASSAAD: Okay.	10:12:57 2	A. I may have, I just can't recall the study.
10:10:49 3	·	10.12.07	Till I may have, I just can the study i
10.10.43	BY MR ASSAAD:	10:13:00	
101050 1	BY MR. ASSAAD:	10:13:00 3	Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is?
10:10:53 4	Q. So with respect to a medical device, you	10:13:02	Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is?A. No.
10:10:58 5	Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to	10:13:02 4 10:13:05 5	Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is?A. No.Q. So going back to your CV under your
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6	Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is	10:13:02 4 10:13:05 5 10:13:13 6	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books,
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7	Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct?	10:13:02 4 10:13:05 5 10:13:13 6 10:13:20 7	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"?
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7 10:11:07 8	Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test	10:13:02 4 10:13:05 5 10:13:13 6 10:13:20 7 10:13:27 8	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now,
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7 10:11:07 8 10:11:10 9	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7 10:11:07 8 10:11:10 9 10:11:11 10	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource.
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7 10:11:07 8 10:11:10 9	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative?
10:10:58 5 10:11:01 6 10:11:04 7 10:11:07 8 10:11:10 9 10:11:11 10	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative?
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we?
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books."
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're getting at? 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books." A. Oh, okay.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're getting at? Q. No. I'm saying that if there is if there 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books." A. Oh, okay. Q. Do you consider those authoritative?
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're getting at? Q. No. I'm saying that if there is if there is an issue regarding the safety of a product 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books." A. Oh, okay. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. If I was involved at the time I did my best
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're getting at? Q. No. I'm saying that if there is if there is an issue regarding the safety of a product A. Yeah. 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books." A. Oh, okay. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. If I was involved at the time I did my best to make those accurate.
10:10:58	 Q. So with respect to a medical device, you would agree with me that the responsibility to determine its safety before it goes on the market is the manufacturer of the medical device; correct? A. Yeah. That's why they fund studies, to test both safety and efficacy. Q. And they should fund studies; correct? A. I would hope they would do a lot of funding. Q. Okay. And if if there are researchers in the field that are experts in certain areas and and recommend research to a manufacturer regarding the safety of their product, they should take that into consideration in whether or not to do research; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So you're asking if industry makes a decision as to who does the study; is that what you're getting at? Q. No. I'm saying that if there is if there is an issue regarding the safety of a product 	10:13:02	 Q. Do you know who Russ Olmsted is? A. No. Q. So going back to your CV under your bibliography, it seems like you wrote two books, textbooks in 2014 under "Clinical Decision Support"? A. Oh yeah. That's an online text now, Q. Do A resource. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I'm biased, but. Q. Okay. A. So you need to know that. Q. Under "Journal/Book Section Editor" you have seven articles there under seven seven journal/book documents. A. Where? Where are we? Q. Right under "Text Books." A. Oh, okay. Q. Do you consider those authoritative? A. If I was involved at the time I did my best

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 20 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		69		71
10:14:04	Q.	So you consider those accurate and	10:15:41	A. Oh.
10:14:05 2	authorita		10:15:41 2	MR. ASSAAD: Yes, and that, too.
10:14:06 3	A.	Yeah, at the time that we did it.	10:15:44 3	MR. GOSS: Mistakes.
10:14:08 4		Okay. What are "Books For General	10:15:44	A. Appreciate that.
10:14:10 5		nip," are those the two books, your fiction and	10:15:47 5	Q. Was this funded by a nonprofit organization,
10:14:12 6	nonfictio		10:15:50 6	or
10:14:13 7		Yeah. I want you to buy one for everybody	10:15:50 7	A. Actually I've been a member of the
10:14:15		corporation so that they can have a good time.	10:15:52	International Society for Infectious Disease for a
10:14:18 9	-	Well if you gave me a free copy I may have	10:15:56	long time, and was president roughly, I don't
10:14:20 10		e to recommend it.	10:15:59 10	remember, 2008 or '10 or so. And three years before
10:14:22 11	been ab	(Laughter.)	10:16:03 11	that I was asked by the former president if I would
10:14:22 12		MR. COREY GORDON: I can recommend it.	10:16:07 12	organize a handbook; in other words, something that
10:14:29 13	A.	I'll send you a copy later. We'll get you a	10:16:09 13	would fit in a pocket, that would be useful to give to
10:14:29 13	co	Thiselia you a copy later. We higet you a	10:16:09 13	healthcare workers in countries throughout the world
10:14:32 14	CO	MP COREY CORDON: And I I haid for	10:16:16 14	that are developing countries that really couldn't
	mino	MR. COREY GORDON: And I I paid for		, -
10:14:33 16	mine.	THE WITNESS. I'll give you spether one	10:16:20 16	afford to buy a text that have no computer resources.
10:14:34 17		THE WITNESS: I'll give you another one. MS. ZIMMERMAN: If you're reading anything	10:16:24 17	So I did that, and the handbook is just what it looks
10:14:36 18	hut lite		10:16:28 18	like, about a handbook size.
10:14:39 19	but litera		10:16:30 19	Q. And you've updated it periodically, you
10:14:39 20		Then, under "Monograph," do you consider	10:16:32 20	started in 1998; correct? A. Yeah.
10:14:41 21		thoritative?	10:16:33 21	_
10:14:42 22		Yeah, they were you know, they were	10:16:34 22	Q. And the last edition was 2008?
10:14:45 23		be up-to-date summaries, they weren't trying	10:16:36 23	A. No. That's the last one that I and
10:14:51 24		any way in-depth sort of critical reviews.	10:16:38 24	actually there are there are ones I've passed it
10:14:55 25	Q.	But	10:16:42 25	over to now, a first editor, Gonzalo Bearman, who's at
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 70		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 72
10:14:55 1		So, for example, under Doebbeling, Herwaldt,	10:16:47	our institution, and on the last one, which was
10:14:59 2	Nettlema	an, Pfaller and Wenzel, "Hospital-Acquired	10:16:50 2	probably 2014 or '15, I was a senior author or senior
10:15:02		s: New Challenges," 1991, do you consider	10:16:56 3	editor, if you will. I'm trying to transition to
10:15:05 4		noritative?	10:16:59 4	other people. And so for the next one that'll be out
10:15:07 5	_	It was at the time.	10:17:01 5	in a year or two, I won't be editing that.
10:15:07	Α.	Where are we, though? I just want to make	10:17:06	Q. But in any event, you consider that
10:15:09 7	sure.	where are we, thought. I just want to make	10:17:08 7	authoritative.
10:15:09		Under "Monographs," number 2.	10:17:08	A. Well it's very good for what we're trying to
10:15:13		Text Books. Oh, I'm sorry.	10:17:11 9	do.
10:15:16 10	Α.	Yeah. I mean, I did my best at the time.	10:17:12 10	Q. Okay.
10:15:16	O	Who's	10:17:12 10	A. We're trying to provide resources to
10:15:19 11	ų.	Under "A Guide to Infection Control in the	10:17:12 11	Q. Prevent infections.
10:15:20 12	Hospital	" "Editors," that one interested me because	10:17:14 12	A. Absolutely.
10:15:26 14	-	e: "Over 60,000 copies have been distributed	10:17:16 13	Q. So you consider it authoritative and you're
10:15:26 14	free of c		10:17:18 14	sending it around the world.
10:15:28 13		Yeah.	10:17:19 13	A. Yeah. No. I mean for But it's
10.10:29	Λ.	to healthcare workers in the developing	10:17:20 17	targeting, particularly, countries that have limited
	Ω		107-17-21	
10:15:29 17		to mean and memore in the developing		
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18	world		10:17:24 18	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review,
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19	world A.	Yeah.	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the
10:15:29	world A.	Yeah countries by the end of 2008."	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face.
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21	world A. Q.	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it;
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21 10:15:36 22	world A. Q. your CV	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in between "countries" and "by." You might want	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21 10:17:34 22	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it; correct?
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21 10:15:36 22 10:15:38 23	world A. Q.	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in between "countries" and "by." You might want at.	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21 10:17:34 22 10:17:36 23	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it; correct? A. Yes, I think so. I've read everything
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21 10:15:36 22 10:15:38 23 10:15:39 24	world A. Q. your CV to fix the	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in between "countries" and "by." You might want at. MR. COREY GORDON: And "countries" is	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21 10:17:34 22 10:17:36 23 10:17:37 24	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it; correct? A. Yes, I think so. I've read everything that I have put there I pretty much have reviewed.
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21 10:15:36 22 10:15:38 23	world A. Q. your CV	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in between "countries" and "by." You might want at. MR. COREY GORDON: And "countries" is ed.	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21 10:17:34 22 10:17:36 23	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it; correct? A. Yes, I think so. I've read everything that I have put there I pretty much have reviewed. Q. You're the editor.
10:15:29 17 10:15:31 18 10:15:32 19 10:15:33 20 10:15:34 21 10:15:36 22 10:15:38 23 10:15:39 24	world A. Q. your CV to fix that	Yeah countries by the end of 2008." And by the way, you're missing a space in between "countries" and "by." You might want at. MR. COREY GORDON: And "countries" is	10:17:24 18 10:17:28 19 10:17:31 20 10:17:32 21 10:17:34 22 10:17:36 23 10:17:37 24	resources, so it's not it's not an in-depth review, it's really trying to focus as much as possible on the problems they face. Q. But you agree with everything in it; correct? A. Yes, I think so. I've read everything that I have put there I pretty much have reviewed.

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 21 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
73		75
10:17:41 1 A. Yeah.	10:32:24	there might be a signal out there, but I'm not aware
10:17:42 Q. Okay. And you're the first-named editor;	10:32:27 2	of any study that said if I took out Staph now
10:17:45 3 correct?	10:32:33 3	you're just talking about particles maybe, I'm sorry,
10:17:47 4 A. Most of the time there. With all this,	10:32:35 4	maybe I'm mixing this up but if I reduce particles
10:17:48 5 yeah.	10:32:39 5	that I would have fewer infection rates. I think
10:17:48 6 Q. I mean you were primari	10:32:43 6	that's what a lot of the laminar flow studies actually
10:17:49 7 A. I am now there.	10:32:47 7	showed didn't occur.
10:17:50 8 Q. But during this time you were primarily	10:32:52	Q. So I'm guessing your opinion
10:17:53 9 responsible for the book.	10:32:53	A. Yeah.
10:17:53 10 A. That's correct, yeah.	10:32:54 10	Q. Do you have an opinion whether or not the
10:17:54 11 Q. Okay. And I assume that you edited and	10:33:02 11	the number of particles over a surgical site have an
10:17:55 12 reviewed everything that was in in here.	10:33:07 12	effect on surgical-site infections?
10:17:56 13 A. I have, yeah.	10:33:13 13	A. So I guess I would say it this way. If I
10:17:58 14 Q. Okay. And if there's something that you	10:33:17 14	knew that there was a hundred percent sort of particle
10:17:59 15 disagree with it you would have objected to putting it	10:33:21 15	to bacteria, I'm more interested in bacteria than I am
10:17:59 13 disagree with it you would have objected to putting it	10:33:21 15	particles. They're both surrogate markers for what
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10:33:30 17	really is going on. What we really want to know is
10:18:04 18 look at it.	10:33:33 18	what can we do to stop the endpoint, surgical-site
10:18:05 19 Q. Okay. And do you do you consider all	10:33:37 19	infections. And so then there are some studies that
10:18:09 20 your publications or papers authoritative?	10:33:39 20	have tried to say, if I have particles, you know, I
10:18:14 21 A. Well given my bias, which I've told you	10:33:43 21	have bacteria. Not all studies have really shown the
10:18:17 22 before,	10:33:47 22	same thing always, so there's some discrepancy between
10:18:17 23 Q. Okay.	10:33:51 23	the relationship of particles and bacteria. And
10:18:18 24 A. I'd like to think so.	10:33:55 24	again, the second part of that is if you have bacteria
10:18:20 Q. Whether or not you were the advisor or the	10:34:00 25	and do they cause the infection.
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
74		76
10:18:22 1 first-named author, you consider it authoritative.	10:34:03	Q. Okay. So my question is again, do you have
10:18:24 2 A. Yeah. I read I read the papers that I'm	10:34:06 2	an opinion do you have an opinion whether or not
10:18:26 3 involved in, yeah.	10:34:14 3	the number of particles over a surgical site have an
10:18:28 4 MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the	10:34:18 4	effect on surgical-site infections; "yes" or "no"?
10:18:29 5 court reporter.	10:34:21 5	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:18:30 6 THE WITNESS: Okay.	10:34:22 6	the question, asked and answered.
10:18:30 7 THE REPORTER: Thank you. Off the record.	10:34:23 7	A. Yeah, I think what I'm trying to do is give
10:18:33 8 (Recess taken from 10:18 to 10:31 a.m.)	10:34:27	you the best answer I can, you know,
10:31:02 9 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)	10:34:28	Q. Well
10:31:02 10 BY MR. ASSAAD:	10:34:29 10	A that, you know, we don't have complete
10:31:11 11 Q. You mention	10:34:32 11	data yet to really say that particles equal
1031:12 12 We talked about particles briefly, in in	10:34:32 11	infections.
10:31:19 13 the operating room, and that they can carry bacteria.	10:34:37 12	Q. Okay. So you're not saying that particles
	10:34:37 13	
, 3		do not equal infections, and you're not saying that
airborne particles in an operating room is beneficial?	10:34:43 15	particle increased particles increase infections,
10:31:39 16 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:34:45 16	you're just saying that there's not enough data.
10:31:40 17 the question.	10:34:47 17	A. Yes.
10:31:43 A. So I haven't seen any data to show the	10:34:48 18	Q. So my understanding is you don't have an
10:31:47 19 reduction in airborne particles actually reduces	10:34:50 19	opinion at this point in time whether or not the
infection rates with maybe, you know, one exception,	10:34:52 20	number of particles over a surgical site increase the
10:31:59 21 the Darouiche study that's more recent where he looked	10:34:55 21	risks of surgical-site infections.
10:32:03 22 at particles in bacteria and he modeled particles in	10:34:57 22	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
bacteria and said that they correlate, but he actually	10:34:57 23	the question.
didn't show, in a prospective way, that they reduced	10:34:59 24	A. I don't think there are data to say that if
10:32:21 25 infections because he didn't do any microbiology. So	10:35:02 25	you have a certain number it's going to predict an
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	Ī	
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CO	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 22 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
_		77			79
_	infection		10:36:43		I mean, you understand that there is an HVAC
10:35:05 2	_	So you have no opinion at this time.	10:36:46 2		the operating room; correct?
10:35:07	Α.	Well that's my opinion.	10:36:47	_	Yes.
10:35:09 4	Q.	Well your opinion is that there's no data.	10:36:47	-•	And it's there are there are standards
10:35:11 5	Α.	Yeah. We need more data.	10:36:50 5	-	states regarding the type of filtration to be
10:35:13 6	Q.	Okay. So your opinion is you don't have an	10:36:54	used in a	n operating room.
10:35:16 7			10:36:55 7		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:35:16		Okay. Do you agree that if you increase the	10:36:57	the ques	tion and lack of foundation.
10:35:19	number	of particles you increase the risk of	10:36:58	Α.	I I think there are standards.
10:35:21 10	surgical-	site infection?	10:37:00 10	Q.	Have you heard of ASHRAE?
10:35:22 11		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:37:01 11	Α.	Yes.
10:35:22 12	the ques	tion.	10:37:02 12	Q.	Okay. And you understand for an operating
10:35:27 13	A.	Yeah, I don't think I don't think there	10:37:05 13	room, m	ost operating rooms contain two filters?
10:35:28 14	are data	that really show that, so.	10:37:08 14	A.	Yeah, I think they're MERV 14 or something
10:35:29 15	Q.	So you don't agree with that.	10:37:11 15	like that.	
10:35:31 16	A.	Yeah.	10:37:11 16	Q.	It's a MERV 7 for the prefilter and the MERV
10:35:32 17	Q.	So you don't agree with that.	10:37:14 17		e final filter. Do you
10:35:33 18		I don't agree with it.	10:37:16 18		Have you heard that before?
10:35:35 19		Do you agree that you if you reduce the	10:37:18 19	Δ	I've heard the 14.
10:35:36 20		of particles you decrease the risk of	10:37:19 20	Q.	Okay. And you understand the purpose of
		site infection?	10:37:19 20	-	reduce the number of airborne contaminants
10:35:39 21	_	And again I've cited the studies from the	10:37:26 21		erating room; correct?
		_			_
10:35:42 23		airflow would clearly reduce the number of	10:37:26 23		Yes.
10:35:44 24	-	, didn't reduce the number of infections.	10:37:27 24		Okay. And you agree with that; correct?
10:35:46 25	Q.	So you don't agree with that.	10:37:29 25	A.	I do.
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com			-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	СО	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 78		СО	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 80
10:35:48	A.	That's right.	10:37:44	Q.	Okay. And you understand that in an
10:35:48 2	Q.	Okay. So you don't agree that if you reduce	10:37:46 2	operating	g room they control for humidity to limit the
10:35:50 3	the num	ber of particles over the surgical site, you	10:37:49 3	amount o	of bacterial growth.
10:36:00 4	don't red	luce you don't reduce the	10:37:51 4		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:36:00 5	A.	Yeah, I think we have firm evidence on that.	10:37:52 5	the ques	tion.
10:36:00 6		(Interruption by the reporter.)	10:37:52	A.	Yeah, I don't know the relationship to
10:35:49 7		THE REPORTER: So you don't agree that if	10:37:54 7	humidity	•
	vou redu	ice the number of particles over the surgical	10:37:55	•	Okay. So you're not you don't you
	site?	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	10:37:57		ne no research or have no understanding how
10:36:02 10	_	you reduce the risk of surgical-site	10:37:59 10		affects bacterial growth?
10:36:03	infection	· -	10:37:33 13		True.
10:36:03		Yeah. The only signal that I would even	10:38:01 11	Q.	Okay. And you're not an expert in
10:36:04 12		would be Darouiche.	10:38:03 12	filtration;	
10:36:06 13	•	Do you consider Darouiche an expert?	10:38:04 13		No, only in the sense I don't want to
					-
10:36:12 15		I think he's done really good work, yeah.	10:38:10 15	-	ely if you're talking about all filters and
		k he's good.	10:38:13 16	_	to do with infectious diseases, where they
10:36:15 17		So you consider him an expert?	10:38:15 17		I think I can make an opinion. But no, I'm
10:36:17 18		Yeah.	10:38:18 18		kpert just in filters.
10:36:30 19		You do understand that hospitals spend a	10:38:21 19		You agree that the cleanest air that's
	_	nt amount of money to reduce the particle	10:38:28 20	_	nto the operating room is coming through the
10:36:38 21	load in a	n operating room.	10:38:30 21	vents.	
10:36:40 22		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:38:32 22		MR. COREY GORDON: Object Object to the
10:36:42 23	the ques	tion.	10:38:34 23	form of t	he question, and lack of foundation.
10:36:42 24	A.	Say that again if you would.	10:38:35 24	A.	You mean the filtered air is cleaner than
10:36:42 25	Q.	Hos	10:38:38 25	somewhe	ere else?
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
20 of 94 shee			80 of 370		08/09/2017 08:27:40 Af

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 81	: 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 23 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
10:38:38 1	Q. Yes.	10:40:18 1	Q. There is probably two or three people
10:38:38 2	A. Yeah.	10:40:20 2	performing the surgery in an orthopedic surgery;
10:38:40 3	Q. Where do you think the greatest bioburden is	10:40:22 3	correct?
10:38:42 4	in the operating room?	10:40:22 4	A. Yes.
10:38:46 5	A. I just saw a bioluminescence study that says	10:40:23 5	Q. And there is an anesthesiologist; correct?
10:38:49 6	the side of the table, I think, in one study. And I'm	10:40:25 6	A. Yes, there is.
10:38:54 7	not an expert in where the greatest bioburden is, but	10:40:26 7	Q. And they are shedding skin squames; correct?
10:38:58	so that's the recent study that looked like that.	10:40:30 8	A. Yeah. People who have studied that said
10:39:00 9	Q. Side of the surgical table?	10:40:32	yeah.
10:39:02 10	A. And the computer, actually, was very was	10:40:33 10	Q. Do you disagree with that?
10:39:05 11	very high numbers.	10:40:34 11	A. No.
10:39:06 12	Q. But the computer is outside of the the	10:40:35 12	Q. Okay. And therefore, you would agree with
10:39:08 13	sterile field; correct?	10:40:38 13	me that the airflow is pushing down the skin squames
10:39:10 14	A. It's	10:40:43 14	to the floor area; correct?
10:39:10 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:40:45 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:39:11 16	the question.	10:40:45 16	the question, lack of foundation.
10:39:11 17	A outside the sterile field.	10:40:46 17	A. Well I don't know that the airflow is only
10:39:12 18	Q. It's behind the surgeons actually; correct?	10:40:48 18	pushing things down to the floor. I don't know that.
10:39:14 19	A. Yeah.	10:40:50 19	Q. Okay. So sitting here today you don't know
10:39:15 20	Q. Do you agree that there is a significant	10:40:53 20	where the greatest bio like where the greatest
10:39:19 21	amount of bioburden around the surgical table and	10:40:56 21	bioburden is in the operating room, in the air of the
10:39:21 22	underneath the surgical table?	10:40:58 22	operating room?
10:39:23 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:40:58 23	A. No,
10:39:23 24	the question.	10:40:58 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:39:24 25	A. So in that one study that I saw with the	10:40:59 25	the question.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 82		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 84
10:39:27	bioluminescence is the only data that I know about	10:40:59 1	A the only study is the one I cited.
10:39:30 2	burden.	10:41:02 2	Q. Okay.
10:39:31 3	Q. Okay. So you only rely on literature and	10:41:03	A. And you know what I'm talking about,
10:39:33 4	not on any type of scientific reasoning that you could	10:41:04 4	Richard?
10:39:39 5	draw from that literature?	10:41:05 5	Q. Yes.
10:39:40 6	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:41:05 6	A. Yeah.
10:39:41 7	the question.	10:41:07 7	Q. Now you do understand that the surgeons and
10:39:44 8	A. So I'm not sure of the difference. I mean I	10:41:20 8 10:41:23 9	the staff in the operating room are trained not to put their hands below the operating room table.
10:39:47 9	would have said the literature You read the data, and then you interpret the data based on maybe a host	10:41:23 9	A. I think that's right.
10:39:50 10	of other studies, and together you come up with an	10:41:26 10	Q. Why is that?
10:39:54	opinion.	10:41:27 11	A. I think that they just try to keep things
10:39:58 13	Q. I understand that. But sometimes you want	10:41:34 13	right near the field, that's my I'm guessing a
10:40:00 14	to do research and you'll have a hypothesis; correct?	10:41:36 14	little bit on that, but.
10:40:03 15	A. Yeah. I'm not sure how that relates to the	10:41:38 15	Q. So as an infectious disease person you don't
10:40:05 16	earlier question.	10:41:42 16	understand why they they want to keep their hands
10:40:07 17	Q. Well I'm saying, like, well you know that	10:41:43 17	they're trained to keep their hands always above
10:40:09 18	the air coming out of the vents is filtered air;	10:41:45 18	the operating room table?
10:40:11 19	correct?	10:41:46 19	A. Well I think they don't want to touch the
10:40:11 20	A. Yes.	10:41:49 20	side of the table.
10:40:12 21	Q. And you know that there is many people in	10:41:50 21	Q. Yeah, but they're not evened allowed to put
10:40:14 22	the operating room around the surgical table; correct?	10:41:52 22	their hands down, and not touch anything.
10:40:16 23	A. Yeah. Yeah.	10:41:54 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:40:16 24	Q. There is the patient; correct?	10:41:55 24	the question.
10:40:18 25	A. Yeah.	10:41:55 25	Q. Do you agree with that?
	CTIDEIMALT & ACCOCIATES	Ì	OTIDEIMALT & ACCOUNTED
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	o 84 of 370	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 21 of 94 sheets

10:41:59 2 for that or anything, and you may be right. 10:42:01 3 Q. Okay. So you don't know you don't you 10:42:02 10:42:03 4 haven't read any literature on or strike that. 10:42:03 10	0:44:07	A. Yes. Q. Okay. It wasn't perioperative warming. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And I'm sure you're aware of studies that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct? A. I remember hearing
10:41:59 2 for that or anything, and you may be right. 10:42:01 3 Q. Okay. So you don't know you don't you 10:42:02 10:42:03 4 haven't read any literature on or strike that. 10:42:03 10	244:08 2 244:10 3 244:11 4 244:13 5 244:18 6 244:24 7 244:28 8 244:29 10 244:30 11 244:32 12 244:36 13 244:38 14	 Q. Okay. It wasn't perioperative warming. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And I'm sure you're aware of studies that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:41:59 2 for that or anything, and you may be right. 10:42:01 3 Q. Okay. So you don't know you don't you 10:42:02 10:42:03 4 haven't read any literature on or strike that. 10:42:03 10	0:44:10 3 0:44:11 4 0:44:13 5 0:44:18 6 0:44:24 7 0:44:28 8 0:44:28 9 0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:31 12 0:44:32 12 0:44:31 13	 A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And I'm sure you're aware of studies that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:01	0:44:10 3 0:44:11 4 0:44:13 5 0:44:18 6 0:44:24 7 0:44:28 8 0:44:28 9 0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:31 12 0:44:32 12 0:44:31 13	 A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And I'm sure you're aware of studies that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:05	244:11	Q. Okay. And I'm sure you're aware of studies that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:06	50:44:13	that recent studies done by Dr. Sessler and others, that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10.42:09 6 involved in any training discussing 10.44 10:42:12 7 A. Where they hold their hands. 10:4 10:42:13 8 Q or training nurses or nurses and 10:4 10:42:15 9 surgeons to keep their hands above the operating room 10:4 10:42:16 10 table to avoid for their hands to be contaminated. 10:4 10:42:19 11 A. I didn't do any research on that, I haven't 10:4 10:42:21 12 10:4 10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:4 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:4 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:4 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:4 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:4	0:44:18 6 0:44:24 7 0:44:28 8 0:44:28 9 0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:32 12 0:44:36 13 0:44:38 14	that forced-air warming has very little effect on core temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:12 7 A. Where they hold their hands. 10:42 10:42:13 8 Q or training nurses or nurses and 10:42 10:42:15 9 surgeons to keep their hands above the operating room 10:43 10:42:16 10 table to avoid for their hands to be contaminated. 10:44 10:42:19 11 A. I didn't do any research on that, I haven't 10:45 10:42:21 12 10:45 10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:45 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:45 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:45 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:45 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:46	0:44:24 7 0:44:28 8 0:44:28 9 0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:31 12 0:44:32 12 0:44:38 14	temperature for the first hour when you're warming perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:13 8 Q or training nurses or nurses and 10:42 10:42:15 9 surgeons to keep their hands above the operating room 10:42 10:42:16 10 table to avoid for their hands to be contaminated. 10:42 10:42:19 11 A. I didn't do any research on that, I haven't 10:42 10:42:21 12 10:42 10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:42 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:42 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:42 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:42 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:42	0:44:28	perioperatively. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:15 9 surgeons to keep their hands above the operating room 10:42:16 10 10:42:16 10 table to avoid for their hands to be contaminated. 10:44:19 10:42:19 11 A. I didn't do any research on that, I haven't 10:45:10:45	9 0:44:28 9 0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:32 12 0:44:36 13 0:44:38 14 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:16 10 table to avoid for their hands to be contaminated. 10:42 10:42:19 11 A. I didn't do any research on that, I haven't 10:42 10:42:21 12 10:42 10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:42 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:42 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:42 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:42 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:42	0:44:29 10 0:44:30 11 0:44:32 12 0:44:36 13 0:44:38 14	the question. A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:19	0:44:30 11 0:44:32 12 0:44:36 13 0:44:38 14 0:44:38 15	A. Yeah, I don't I don't know that it has no effect or very little effect in the first hour.Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:21 12 10:42 10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:42 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:42 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:42 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:42 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:42	0:44:32 12 0:44:36 13 0:44:38 14 0:44:38 15	effect or very little effect in the first hour. Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:21 13 Q. Okay. 10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:44:22 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:44	0:44:36	Q. Well you're aware of those studies; correct?
10:42:22 14 A seen it. 10:44 10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:4 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:4 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger? 10:4	0:44:38 14	
10:42:23 15 Q. By the way, before getting involved in this 10:42:26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10:42:27 17 Bair Hugger?	:44:38 15	A. I remember hearing
10.42.26 16 case did you do did you know anything about the 10.42.27 17 Bair Hugger?		
10.42.27 17 Bair Hugger?		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	:44:39 16	the question.
40 A The and object to a set of the	:44:39 17	A. about but I just can't cite them.
10:42:29 18 A. The only thing I knew was the Kurz study was	:44:41 18	Q. Okay. So you're not going to I mean
10:42:35 19 pretty much it.	:44:45 19	Well you understand that Kurz was 1996;
10:42:36 20 Q. The 1996 New England Journal of Medicine?	:44:48 20	correct?
10:42:39 21 A. That's right.	:44:49 21	A. It was 1996.
10:42:39 22 Q. Okay.	:44:50 22	Q. And you understand that Kurz actively cooled
·	:44:53 23	patients for the control.
	:44:55 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	:44:55 25	the question.
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	7.44.33 20	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
86		88
10.42:46 1 Melling study and the Kurz study is?	:44:55 1	A. Kept them at ambient air, yes.
0 A 7.1	:44:58 2	Q. Well they didn't keep them am They blew
10:42:48 3 Q. What's the difference?	:45:01 3	ambient air
10.42:50 4 A. Well in the Kurz study the authors):45:01 4	A. Blew ambient air,
-	:45:01 5	Q which would be
	0:45:03	A hooked them up ambient air.
7	1:45:04 7	Q. Which would be a cooling effect on a
O constitution and the contract of the contrac	0:45:06	patient; correct?
	0:45:06 9	A. Yes.
	0:45:07	Q. Okay. That would be unethical today;
•		
	:45:08 11	correct?
, ,	:45:09 12	A. Every With the effect of warming,
	0:45:11 13	particularly warming a surgical-site infections,
` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	145:14	nobody should go to the operating room without being
):45:16 15	warmed.
3, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,):45:17 16	Q. But you would you agree you wouldn't be
·	17 1:45:18	able to do a study and cool patients today.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,):45:21 18	A. No, no. That's what I'm saying.
10.43.47 19 more, and And again, just like the Melling, they):45:21 19	Q. You could be
10:43:51 20 showed a 3-to-1 ratio, three times the risk of	1:45:22 20	A. They have to be warm.
10:43:54 21 infection in the warmed patients versus the non-warmed 10:43	:45:24 21	Q. Okay. And And Melling was pre-warming;
10:43:57 22 patients. And I want to point out the consistency of):45:27 22	correct?
	:45:28 23	A. Melling was pre-warming. But there are data
	:45:30 24	to show that the pre-warming actually last up to three
	:45:32 25	hours. I've cited that in my report.
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
22 of 94 sheets Page 85 to 88	8 of 370	08/09/2017 08:27:40 AM

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTE	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	89 91
10:45:34 1 Q. Okay. And that's a good thing; of	correct? 10.47.53 1 knee.
10:45:36 2 A. I think it's a good thing.	10.47.53 2 A. I don't remember. I think that's probably
10:45:37 3 Q. So you would agree with me that	r, for 10.47.54 3 right, but I don't remember.
10:45:40 4 example, total hip and total knee arthropla	sty, that Q. Okay. And basically there was four out of
10:45:45 5 you could just pre-warm a patient because	e its effects 5 109 that were hypothermic, and three out of 306 that
10:45:48 6 are for three hours and most of the surger	ies last 10:48:07 6 were normothermic; correct?
10:45:50 7 below three hours.	10:48:08 7 A. Yeah, I don't have it in front of me.
10:45:51 8 A. I don't know anybody	10:48:10 8 Q. Okay.
10:45:51 9 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to	the form of 10:48:10 9 A. But I've said seven I have in the chart
10:45:51 10 the question.	10:48:13 10 27 percent total.
10:45:56 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I didr	n't mean to 10:48:14 11 Q. Okay. And
10:45:56 12 interrupt, Corey.	10:48:18 12 A. And nobody, by the way, with that .06 is
10:45:57 13 A. I don't know anybody who's tota	lly done 10.48:22 13 going to discard that. If you were having hip surgery
10:45:59 14 pre-warming with total hips and knees, if t	hat's what 10.48:25 14 and you were in Holland and you and I'm telling you
10:46:02 15 you're asking.	10:48:27 15 you have three times the risk plus if you weren't
10:46:03 16 Q. You agree with me that there's n	o study out 10:48:30 16 warmed, are you going to argue with me as a patient
10:46:05 17 there that that looked at the the effect	
10:46:10 18 warming a patient and periprosthetic joint	infection. 10.48:36 18 Q. You agree with me that all the patients were
10:46:12 19 A. That's not quite accurate, because	se what I've 10.48:38 19 warmed with the Bair Hugger in that study.
10:46:14 20 done is show some cohort studies, if you w	vant to refer 10:48:40 20 A. They were Bair Hugger.
10:46:19 21 to those in my report.	10:48:40 21 Q. And all of them were warmed; correct?
10:46:20 Q. Can you just give me the name of	of the study? 10:48:43 22 A. Did you say all of them were warmed?
10:46:23 23 A. So the	10:48:44 23 Q. I mean they all were warmed with the Bair
10:46:24 24 Well the first was I have a cha	rt 10:48:47 24 Hugger device.
10:46:26 25 actually in my report. On the top of the ch	nart it 10.48.47 25 A. That was the As far as I understand,
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTE	ECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	90 92
10:46:29 1 will say there's a study from Hopkins, there	e were fi 10:48:48 1 yeah.
10:46:34 2 I think I had six five or six cohorts. T	there Q. And so basically for a significant number of
10:46:39 3 was a second study that was done by Leijt	ens in 10:48:52 3 them that were warmed with the Bair Hugger, they still
10:46:43 4 Denmark, and that was total hips and tota	I knees. 4 became hypothermic; correct?
10:46:48 5 Q. Which is the chart you're referring	g to? A. That's correct.
10:46:52 6 A. Is this my report? Yeah. (Witne	ss Q. Okay. So that might indicate that there
10:46:58 7 reviewing exhibit.) So page 8. So let's loc	ok at 7 might be something else besides warming a patient that
10:47:04 8 under number 2, this was by Leijtens, it w	as done in 10:49:03 8 affects hypothermia.
10:47:11 9 Holland, total hips and knees. And what the	ney show 10:49:05 9 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:47:14 10 They These people addressed the questi	
10:47:17 11 in perspective, if patients were warmed or	
10:47:21 12 know, during the operation compared to the	
10:47:24 13 remained hypothermic, was there a differe	
	ool of 10:49:13 14 A. They were. They were.
10.47:30 14 you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of	
10.47:30 14 you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 10.47:34 15 3.7. And I would point out again that if you	u look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you Melling or you look at Kurz, it's about three	u look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the times 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you Melling or you look at Kurz, it's about three the risk of infections	Pu look at 10.49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct?
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you have a see a	Pu look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct.
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you Melling or you look at Kurz, it's about three the risk of infections Q. But the P value Q. But the P value in you're cool.	Pu look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you Melling or you look at Kurz, it's about three the risk of infections Q. But the P value Q. But the P value A if you're cool. Q. P value is .061; correct?	Du look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you 10:47:37 16 Melling or you look at Kurz, it's about three the risk of infections 10:47:41 18 Q. But the P value 10:47:43 19 A if you're cool. 10:47:44 20 Q. P value is .061; correct? (Interruption by the reporter.)	ou look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused hypothermia.
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you derived the risk of infections Q. But the P value Q. But the P value Q. P value is .061; correct? (Interruption by the reporter.) A061.	Pur look at the location of th
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you will be wi	Pullook at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused hypothermia. 10:49:27 21 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you held the risk of infections Q. But the P value Q. But the P value Q. P value is .061; correct? (Interruption by the reporter.) A061. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. And you agree with me that the of the risk of infections 10.47:48 24 Q. And you agree with me that the of the risk of infections Q. But the P value Interruption by the reporter.)	Du look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused hypothermia. 10:49:27 21 hypothermia. 10:49:28 23 the question. 10:49:29 24 Q. That's a bad question.
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you will be wi	ou look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused hypothermia. 10:49:27 21 hypothermia. 10:49:28 23 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 10:49:29 24 Q. That's a bad question. 10:49:30 25 The patients became hypothermic even though
you can see, there is a risk ratio of being of 3.7. And I would point out again that if you will be wi	Du look at 10:49:14 15 Q. And even though you were warmed with the 10:49:15 16 Bair Hugger, a significant amount of patients, 27 percent, became hypothermic; correct? 10:49:19 18 A. That's correct. 10:49:20 19 Q. Okay. So it is possible that there's something else besides warming that caused hypothermia. 10:49:27 21 hypothermia. 10:49:28 23 the question. 10:49:29 24 Q. That's a bad question.

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 26 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
4	93	_	95
10:49:33 1	they were warmed.	10:51:11	doesn't have any relevance to
10:49:34 2	A. That's easier to answer, yeah. And I have	10:51:13 2	Q. Well let's look at being warmed and not
0:49:36 3	the the 27 percent. That's the figure I reported.	10:51:16	being warmed,
10:49:38 4	Q. So you weren't comparing the use of Bair	10:51:16 4	A. Yeah.
10:49:41 5	Hugger versus the non-use of Bair Hugger with respect	10:51:17 5	Q okay? And that's number 5; correct?
0:49:44 6	to infection rates in that study; correct?	10:51:19 6	A. Yes.
10:49:46 7	A. Only the endpoint, whether you were warmed	10:51:20 7	Q. Which is the Frisch study; correct?
0:49:49	with the Bair Hugger versus not warmed.	10:51:21	A. Yeah. That's right.
0:49:51	Q. So you could have been warmed with a a	10:51:22	Q. And the Frisch study said, hey, it doesn't
0:49:55 10	convective blanket in that case; correct?	10:51:24 10	matter if you're being warmed because 1 percent got
0:49:59 11	A. They weren't, but if you're asking me as	10:51:27 11	infections if you were warmed and 1 percent didn't get
0:50:01 12	long as the patient's warmed, do you think they'll do	10:51:29 12	it if you weren't warmed; correct?
0:50:03 13	better?	10:51:30 13	A. So I put that study in to let you know that
0:50:04 14	Q. Okay.	10:51:32 14	
0:50:04 15	A. That hasn't been done. I'd love to see a	10:51:32 15	Q. You disagree with it.
0:50:07 16	HotDog versus the Bair Hugger studied.	10:51:34 16	A I looked at all literature and didn't
0:50:09 17	Q. You've never seen that?	10:51:36 17	just cherry-pick anything.
0:50:11 18	A. Oh. Never seen a straightforward,	10:51:38 18	Now if I want to look at that study, let's
0:50:14 19	randomized controlled trial of one versus the other,	10:51:40 19	talk about it. Look at the high proportion, for some
0:50:14 10	no.	10:51:43 20	reason, that never that got cool, 43, thirty 44
0:50:10 20	Q. Okay. You've never seen a study that was	10:51:48 21	and 33 percent. And there are a couple other weird
0:50:17 21	authored by one of the authors was Andrea Kurz on	10:51:48 21	things. The follow-up was six weeks. So really hard
0:50:20 22	•	10:51:52 22	
	that study? That wasn't provided to you by the		to pick up a lot of deep infections in six weeks.
0:50:25 24	defense?	10:51:58 24	They didn't regulate the temperature in that study in
0:50:26 25	A. That was the first study you mean?	10:52:02 25	the operating room, as you know. And they did
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
	94		96
0:50:27	Q. No. A study with Andrea Kurz and a few	10:52:06	something strange. They said, if you were giving
0:50:29	and Kimberger?	10:52:10 2	logical anesthesia they didn't warm the patients
0:50:30	A. Tell me about this study.	10:52:13	unless the patients became hypothermic.
0:50:32	Q. Where they compared the HotDog to the the	10:52:17	So a lot of weird things about that study.
0:50:35 5	the HotDog to the Bair Hugger to see whether or not	10:52:18 5	But the data, I'm trying to tell you, I didn't try to
0:50:38 6			
U.3U:38 U		10:52:22 6	hide anything, I put it in there.
_	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't	10:52:22 6 10:52:23 7	hide anything, I put it in there. Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were
0:50:38 7		_	
0:50:38 7	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't	10:52:23 7	Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study.	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8	Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10	 A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical 	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9	Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic.A. Yeah.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11	 A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? 	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one.	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10 10:52:34 11	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 9:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one.	10:52:23	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct?	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might.	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 14	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1,	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct?
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they	10:52:23	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:55 17	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct?	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:28 10 10:52:38 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 17 18 0:50:55 17	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would	10:52:23	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 15 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:57 18 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:28 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:45 11 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 15 0:50:50 14 15 0:50:51 15 16 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:57 18 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20 0:51:01 21	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:38 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20 10:52:53 21	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants. A. Don't remember the numbers, but maybe.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20 0:51:01 21 0:51:02 22	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. I don't think I would eliminate	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:38 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20 10:52:56 22	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:59 19 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20 0:51:01 21 0:51:02 22 0:51:03 23	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. I don't think I would eliminate number 4 either, because I think they were they	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:38 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20 10:52:53 21	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants. A. Don't remember the numbers, but maybe. Q. You have it right here under number of patients.
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:57 18 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20 0:51:01 21 0:51:02 22 0:51:03 23	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. I don't think I would eliminate	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:38 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 12 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20 10:52:56 22	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants. A. Don't remember the numbers, but maybe. Q. You have it right here under number of
0:50:38 7 0:50:41 8 0:50:42 9 0:50:44 10 0:50:45 11 0:50:47 12 0:50:49 13 0:50:50 14 0:50:51 15 0:50:52 16 0:50:55 17 0:50:57 18 0:50:59 19 0:51:00 20 0:51:01 21 0:51:02 22 0:51:03 23 0:51:06 24	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. I don't think I would eliminate number 4 either, because I think they were they	10:52:23	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants. A. Don't remember the numbers, but maybe. Q. You have it right here under number of patients.
10:50:38 7 10:50:41 8	A. In a prospective clinical trial? I don't remember that study. Q. Do you only count prospective clinical trials? A. Well in the hierarchy of quality of evidence, to me that's number one. Q. Some people disagree with that, though; correct? A. Some might. Q. Okay. And then we could eliminate number 1, number 3, and number and number 4 because they didn't deal with total hip and total knee; correct? A. Well I don't think I would MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. I don't think I would eliminate number 4 either, because I think they were they were orthopedic patients with hip fractures. I don't	10:52:23 7 10:52:27 8 10:52:27 9 10:52:38 10 10:52:34 11 10:52:39 13 10:52:41 14 10:52:41 15 10:52:42 16 10:52:44 17 10:52:44 18 10:52:47 19 10:52:49 20 10:52:53 21 10:52:56 22 10:52:57 23	 Q. But we're seeing 44 percent were hypothermic. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And And Of total hip, and 33 percent were hypothermic for total knee; correct? A. That's right. Q. Okay. And you saw no difference in infection. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And that was 2017; correct? A. That's right. Q. And out of all the studies dealing with total hip and total knee that you've listed, that had the highest number of participants. A. Don't remember the numbers, but maybe. Q. You have it right here under number of patients. A. Oh, okay. I see what you're saying.

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 97 10.53:00 1 A. Yeah. 10.53:00 2 Q. Okay. You have 2,397; correct? 10.53:03 3 A. Yeah. Of the hips and anything to do with orthopedics, right. 10.53:05 4 orthopedics, right. 10.53:07 5 Q. And you said a study of only looking at six weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? 10.53:12 7 A. Might miss a lot of them. 10.53:14 8 Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? 10.53:17 10 A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. 10.53:21 12 Q. I mean some of them even occur two years; correct?	10:54:40	oral surgeons or not beforehand because it's a worry. Q. Okay. And since you believe that the most likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
10.53:00 1 A. Yeah. 10.53:00 2 Q. Okay. You have 2,397; correct? 10.53:03 3 A. Yeah. Of the hips and anything to do with 10.53:05 4 orthopedics, right. 10.53:07 5 Q. And you said a study of only looking at six 10.53:09 6 weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? 10.53:12 7 A. Might miss a lot of them. 10.53:14 8 Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur 10.53:16 9 one year after; correct? 10.53:17 10 A. Could be, but at least out three months. I 10.53:19 11 don't know why you wouldn't do that. 10.53:21 12 Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:54:41 2 10:54:44 3 10:55:18 4 10:55:26 5 10:55:30 6 10:55:34 7 10:55:38 8 10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10	not these patients should all be screened by their oral surgeons or not beforehand because it's a worry. Q. Okay. And since you believe that the most likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
A. Yeah. Of the hips and anything to do with orthopedics, right. Q. And you said a study of only looking at six weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? A. Might miss a lot of them. Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:54:44	not these patients should all be screened by their oral surgeons or not beforehand because it's a worry. Q. Okay. And since you believe that the most likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
A. Yeah. Of the hips and anything to do with orthopedics, right. Q. And you said a study of only looking at six weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? A. Might miss a lot of them. Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:18	oral surgeons or not beforehand because it's a worry. Q. Okay. And since you believe that the most likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
orthopedics, right. Q. And you said a study of only looking at six weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? A. Might miss a lot of them. Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:26 5 10:55:30 6 10:55:34 7 10:55:38 8 10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	Q. Okay. And since you believe that the most likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
10:53:07	10:55:30 6 10:55:34 7 10:55:38 8 10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	likely cause of a surgical-site infection is patient flora, then you would agree with me that the likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
weeks will not pick up deep joint infections? A. Might miss a lot of them. Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:34 7 10:55:38 8 10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
A. Might miss a lot of them. Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:38 8 10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	likelihood that the anesthesia machine caused a surgical-site infection is very low.
Q. Okay. Because they may they may occur one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:41 9 10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	surgical-site infection is very low.
one year after; correct? A. Could be, but at least out three months. I don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:43 10 10:55:44 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
don't know why you wouldn't do that. Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;	10:55:44 11	
10.53:21 12 Q. I mean some of them even occur two years;		the question.
		A. In general I think that's true.
10.53:23 13 correct?	10:55:47	Q. Okay.
	10:55:48 13	A. Would there be an exception, an outbreak or
10:53:23 14 A. Some people show up two years later. It's	10:55:50 14	something like that where something happened? Yeah.
10:53:26 15 always hard to know, you know, did they have an	10:55:51 15	But that's what I would say in general, yes, I think
10.53:30 16 interim intermittent bloodstream infection, but out	10:55:53 16	
10.53:31 17 to a year	10:55:54 17	Q. We're talking probabilities here.
10.53:33 18 (Interruption by the reporter.)	10:55:55 18	_
10:53:34 19 A. intermittent bloodstream infection that	10:55:57 19	Q. And you agree with me that the probability
10:53:35 20 landed on the device.	10:55:59 20	that a surgical light causes a surgical-site infection
10.53:37 21 Q. And And there are there are some case	10:56:03 21	is very low.
10:53:39 22 studies out there that indicate that they could have	10:56:05 22	(Interruption by the reporter.)
10:53:43 23 had come up and be five years later if there's no	10:56:05 23	A. Yeah, I don't think I've seen any studies
10:53:47 24 intermittent infection. They trace it back to the	10:56:08 24	related to that.
10:53:48 25 implant surgery.	10:56:08 25	Q. And you'd agree with me that comput the
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
98		100
10.53.48 1 A. I've heard that there are case reports like	10:56:11	likelihood that computer monitors cause a
that, yeah. I can't cite any.	10:56:13	,
Q. But you've heard of it; right?	10:56:16 3	3
10.53:53 4 A. Yeah.	10:56:18 4	3
10:53:54 5 Q. And you don't disagree with it.	10:56:23 5	., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., ., .
10:53:55 6 A. If it's a real report, it's a real report,	10:56:26 6	, , , , , ,
10.53:58 7 that's what happened.	10:56:29 7	
10:53:58 Q. And And	10:56:31	,
10.53:59 A. But what I'm saying is some it's really	10:56:33	A. And again I can't cite any papers that link
10.54:01 10 hard as a clinician, facing those patients, was that	10:56:35 10	·_ '
10.54:04 11 patient infected at the time of surgery, just so we're	10:56:36 11	Q. So you agree with me.
10.54:06 12 clear, or did they went to the dentist, they have	10:56:37 12	_
10.54:09 13 horrible teeth, they had a you know, some	10:56:37 13	, ,
no.54:11 14 manipulation in the mouth and they got a secondary	10:56:41 14	,
10.54:13 15 bacteremia and they settled on the prosthesis. Five	10:56:49 15 10:56:52 16	3 3
10.54:16 16 years out you can't tell. 10.54:18 17 Q. Well you know that secondary bacterium	10:56:52 16	
	10:56:54 17	, ,
 theory is under a lot of dispute. 10:54:23 A. It might be under dispute, but I'm telling 	10:56:55 10	,
10.54:24 20 you as a clinician standing in front of the patient.	10:57:01 19	-
10:54:24 20 you as a clinician standing in front of the patient.	10:57:03 20 10:57:04 21	the question, also I guess that's asked and answered.
10.54:31 22 settled whether or not secondary bacterium from the	10:57:04 21	`_
mouth causes a periprosthetic joint infection. You've	10:57:07 22	
10.54:35 23 Houtil causes a periprostrictic joint infection. You've 10.54:39 24 read articles	10:57:09 23	_
10:54:39 25 A. That's the deba	10:57:19 24	-
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	10.37:30 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	100 of 370	_

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 28 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	101		103
10:57:39	infection.	10:59:59 1	in evidence.
10:57:40 2	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:00:00 2	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
•	the question.	•	Q. The drop buckets for a used sponge, do you
	•	4	
10:57:42 4	A. I would say that anything sterile is	11:00:10 4	agree with me that they're very unlikely to cause a
10:57:45	unlikely to cause an infection.	11:00:13 5	surgical-site infection?
10:58:23	Q. You agree with me that the cabinets along	11:00:14 6	A. Again I'll say the same thing, you know, I
10:58:26 7	the walls are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site	11:00:16 7	don't know any data, so I think it's low probability.
10:58:30	infection.	11:00:32	Q. And same question with the trash receptacle.
10:58:31	A. Same answer. I haven't seen any data. I	11:00:35	You agree with me the trash receptacle is very
10:58:34 10	think it's unlikely.	11:00:37 10	unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection.
10:58:35	Q. You agree with me that the suction drain	11:00:39 11	A. Yes.
		11:00:41 12	
10:58:38 12	that's in the operating room is very unlikely to cause		Q. And do you agree with me that surgeons
10:58:40 13	a surgical-site infection.	11:00:45 13	moving their hands is very unlikely to cause a
10:58:42 14	A. Yeah, I think drains have been known to	11:00:50 14	surgical-site infection?
10:58:44 15	harbor certain organisms like Pseudomonas, but again,	11:00:51 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
10:58:48 16	if you say standard procedures that have been, you	11:00:53 16	the question.
10:58:53 17	know, done to try to minimize that, I think it's	11:00:54 17	A. So a surgeon doing surgery is moving his
10:58:55 18	unlikely.	11:00:57 18	hands.
10:58:56 19	Q. And when I ask you these questions, doctor,	11:00:57 19	Q. He's moving his hands like this
	•	11:00:59 20	[demonstrating].
10:58:58 20	let's just assume that the hospital, the doctors and		
10:59:01 21	the nurses are following the standard of care.	11:00:59 21	A. Yeah. And is that a cause, assuming that
10:59:02 22	A. I'm with you.	11:01:04 22	nothing else is happening? Yeah, I don't think the
10:59:02 23	Q. Okay.	11:01:06 23	movement of hands. Now people talk about the movement
10:59:05 24	A. I'll follow that.	11:01:09 24	of hands creating more particles and whether that's
10:59:05 25	Q. Okay. Like, for example	11:01:14 25	linked, we talked about that earlier. It's hard to
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	102		104
10:59:05	A. I like infection control, so I'm with you.	11:01:16 1	show a link with particles and surgical-site
10:59:07 2	I'll imagine the perfect hospital.	11:01:19 2	infections.
10:59:09 3	Q. Okay. Like, for example, we're not	11:01:56 3	Q. Have you read Dr. Mont's expert report?
10:59:10 4	expecting a nurse to take off her mask and sneeze	11:01:59 4	A. Yes, I did look at that.
10:59:13 5	right into the surgical site, you know, okay?	11:02:00 5	Q . Okay.
10:59:16 6	A. I would hope so.	11:02:01 6	A. Yeah.
-	-	11.02.01	
10:59:17		7	
	Q. Okay. You agree with me that sterilized	11:02:01 7	Q. Do you criticize anything in his report?
10:59:25	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a	11:02:04	Q. Do you criticize anything in his report?A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9	Q. Do you criticize anything in his report?A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize.
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10	Q. Do you criticize anything in his report?A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9	Q. Do you criticize anything in his report?A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize.
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read
0:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but,	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others?
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13 10:59:36 14	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12.
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13 10:59:36 14 10:59:39 15	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report?
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13 10:59:36 14 10:59:34 16	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct?	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that.
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:39 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:43 17	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report?
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:39 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:43 17	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct?	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that.
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:30 14 0:59:30 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:41 17 0:59:44 18	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report?
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:39 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:41 17 0:59:43 17 0:59:44 18 0:59:45 19	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No.
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:34 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:43 17 0:59:44 18 0:59:45 19 0:59:48 20	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No.
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:39 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:43 17 0:59:44 18 0:59:45 19 0:59:48 20 0:59:50 21	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 10 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:00 21	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr.
0:59:25 8 0:59:27 9 0:59:28 10 0:59:29 11 0:59:29 12 0:59:32 13 0:59:36 14 0:59:39 15 0:59:41 16 0:59:41 17 0:59:44 18 0:59:45 19 0:59:45 20 0:59:46 20	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch the scalpel.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 11 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:00 21 11:03:04 22	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr. Borak?
10:59:25 8 10:59:25 9 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:39 13 10:59:39 15 10:59:34 16 10:59:41 16 10:59:41 17 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 20 10:59:45 21 10:59:45 22 10:59:54 23	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch the scalpel. A. Yes.	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 11 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:04 22 11:03:04 23	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr. Borak? A. Borak, Holford.
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13 10:59:36 14 10:59:36 15 10:59:41 16 10:59:43 17 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 20 10:59:55 21 10:59:55 22 10:59:54 23 10:59:54 24	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch the scalpel. A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 11 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:04 21 11:03:04 23 11:03:08 24	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr. Borak? A. Borak, Holford. On this side of the table you mean?
10:59:25 8 10:59:27 9 10:59:28 10 10:59:29 11 10:59:29 12 10:59:32 13 10:59:36 14 10:59:36 15 10:59:41 16 10:59:43 17 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 19 10:59:45 20 10:59:55 21 10:59:55 22 10:59:54 23 10:59:54 24	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch the scalpel. A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 11 11:02:06 11 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:04 22 11:03:04 23	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr. Borak? A. Borak, Holford. On this side of the table you mean? Q. Yes.
10:59:25	surgical instruments are very unlikely to cause a surgical-site infection. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah, in general again, anything sterile. Now once they're used they're no longer sterile, but, yes, I think that's true, and I agree with you. Q. Yeah, I understand that when you cut the skin they may no longer be sterile; correct? A. Yes. That's correct. Q. However, you do understand that in orthopedic implant surgeries the standard of care is after you make the first incision or some surgeons would say after you make the first incision to switch the scalpel. A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:02:04 8 11:02:06 9 11:02:06 11 11:02:07 12 11:02:37 12 11:02:38 13 11:02:41 14 11:02:43 15 11:02:47 16 11:02:49 17 11:02:51 18 11:02:51 19 11:02:57 20 11:03:04 21 11:03:04 23 11:03:08 24	 Q. Do you criticize anything in his report? A. Yeah, I don't think I saw anything that I'd criticize. Q. Okay. Do you believe that Have you read Have you read all the defense expert reports, all the all 12 others? A. No, I don't think I read 12. Q. Okay. Have you read Dr. Ho's expert report? A. No, I didn't see that. Q. Have you read Dr. Kuehn's expert report? A. No. Q. Have you read Dr. Abraham's expert report? A. No. Q. So what expert reports have you read? Dr. Borak? A. Borak, Holford. On this side of the table you mean?

		CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5-	Filed 1	0/03/17 Page 29 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CC			CC	
		105			107
11:03:11 1		Mont. I'm not sure who else. I think that	11:05:00 1	Α.	Oh, I'm sorry. I don't
11:03:18 2		nay be it, I don't remember.	11:05:03 2		Do I think he's on the plaintiffs' side? I
11:03:20 3	Q.	Have you met Dr. Mont?	11:05:07 3	thought	SO.
11:03:22 4	A.	Just at Science Day is the only time.	11:05:08 4	Q.	Why did you think that? Did someone tell
11:03:24 5	Q.	Have you met anyone from 3M in preparation	11:05:13 5	you that	?
11:03:25 6	of your	expert report?	11:05:14 6	Α.	No. I mean, he he is in charge of the
11:03:27 7	A.	No.	11:05:16 7	company	making the competitor.
11:03:28	Q.	Have you not met Al Van Duren?	11:05:19 8	Q.	Well there's a lot of competitors, aren't
11:03:30	Α.	No.	11:05:21 9	there?	'
11:03:30 10	Q.	Have you read Al Van Duren's deposition?	11:05:21 10	_	Well I think that's the key one we're
11:03:32 11	A.	No.	11:05:23 11		on if we're really going to be talking man to
11:03:33 12	Q.	You haven't read his 30(b)(6) deposition?	11:05:26 12	_	e. That's the one that's
11:03:36 13	Α .	No.	11:05:27 13	_	Let's talk man to man.
11:03:36 14	Q.	Do you know what a 30(b)(6)	11:05:27 13	Α.	Yeah.
				_	
11:03:36 15	Α.	No,	11:05:29 15	Q.	Let's talk man to man.
11:03:37 16	Q.	deposition is?	11:05:31 16		(Laughter.)
11:03:38 17	Α.	have no idea.	11:05:32 17		MS. ZIMMERMAN: I'm going to excuse myself
11:03:39 18	Q.	So have you	11:05:33 18	for this.	
11:03:41 19		Have you read Gary Hansen's deposition?	11:05:34 19		(Laughter.)
11:03:44 20	A.	No.	11:05:34 20		THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I meant that as
11:03:44 21	Q.	Have you read any other	11:05:36 21	kind of a	joke.
11:03:45 22		Have you read any other depositions besides	11:05:38 22	Q.	So, I mean, have you heard of VitaHEAT?
11:03:47 23	expert d	epositions?	11:05:40 23	A.	No, I don't
11:03:48 24	A.	No, I don't think so.	11:05:40 24	Q.	VitaHEAT was a competitor of 3M that 3M just
11:03:49 25	Q.	Well that's not exactly true,	11:05:43 25		Are you aware of that?
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		J	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		,	I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NEIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CC	NEIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 106		CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 108
11:03:49 1	CC	106	11:05:45		108
11:03:49 1		106 MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah.	11:05:45 1		No, I didn't.
11:03:51 2		MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that.	11:05:45 2	A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3	Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3	A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, assumes facts not in evidence.
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4	Q. A.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4	A. the ques	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry.
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4 11:03:55 5	Q. A. Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5	A. the ques	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the distriction, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral?
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4 11:03:55 5 11:03:56 6	Q. A. Q. Exhibit -	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6	A. the ques	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No.
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4 11:03:55 5 11:03:56 6 11:03:56 7	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6 11:05:49 7	A. the ques	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch?
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4 11:03:55 5 11:03:56 6 11:03:56 7 11:03:58 8	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6 11:05:49 7 11:05:51 8	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah.
11:03:51 2 11:03:52 3 11:03:53 4 11:03:55 5 11:03:56 6 11:03:56 7 11:03:58 8 11:04:00 9	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3?	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6 11:05:49 7 11:05:51 8 11:05:51 9	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay.
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6 11:05:49 7 11:05:51 8	A. the ques Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of cition, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:49 5 11:05:49 7 11:05:51 8 11:05:51 9 11:05:52 10 11:05:54 11	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:48 5 11:05:49 6 11:05:51 8 11:05:51 9 11:05:52 10	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of cition, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2.	11:05:45 2 11:05:46 3 11:05:46 4 11:05:49 5 11:05:49 7 11:05:51 8 11:05:51 9 11:05:52 10 11:05:54 11	A. the ques	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in	11:05:45	A. the queston A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of cition, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that.	11:05:45	A. the queston A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices;
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any	11:05:45	A. the queston A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah.
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Can be a considered aside?	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any	11:05:45	A. the queston A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct?
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2 other deside? A.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. A. Q.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know,
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2 other de side? A. any other	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones.	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. A. Q. other co	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2 other de side? A. any other	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there.	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. A. Q. their pro	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger.
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi Q. Cxhibit 2 other deside? A. any other Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. A. Q. Ather co	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Can be a considered and sidered	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of t' experts; correct?	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen ally been the HotDog and, you know, and, let's
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2 other de side? A. any other Q. plaintiffs A.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of t' experts; correct? I read Jarvis, Samet, and I think I've read	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. other co their pro A. have reasay, Aug	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi Q. Cxhibit 2 other de side? A. any other Q. plaintiffs A. Augustir	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of I'experts; correct? I read Jarvis, Samet, and I think I've read ine.	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. other co their pro A. have reasay, Aug	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare iduct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen ally been the HotDog and, you know, and, let's justine's new study which I don't know if it's
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Cxhibit 2 other deside? A. any other Q. plaintiffs A. Augustir Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of t' experts; correct? I read Jarvis, Samet, and I think I've read	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. other co their pro A. have rea say, Aug	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen ally been the HotDog and, you know, and, let's justine's new study which I don't know if it's I don't want to talk about that today.
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fi Q. Cxhibit 2 other de side? A. any other Q. plaintiffs A. Augustir	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you rom 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of I'experts; correct? I read Jarvis, Samet, and I think I've read ne. You think Augustine is on the plaintiffs'	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. other co their pro A. have rea say, Aug	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen ally been the HotDog and, you know, and, let's justine's new study which I don't know if it's I don't want to talk about that today. it's on the table or not, but yeah.
11:03:51	Q. A. Q. Exhibit - A. meant fr Q. Q. Exhibit 2 other de side? A. any other Q. plaintiffs A. Augustir Q. side?	MR. COREY GORDON: Yeah and I apologize for that. MR. COREY GORDON: Kurz and Sessler. Oh, I'm sorry. You've read the depositions listed in - Yeah. I'm sorry. I didn't I thought you om 3M or something. Exhibit, I think it's 3? MR. COREY GORDON: 2. 2. So besides these depositions listed in 2, what other depositions Strike that. You've read Holford, Borak and Mont. Any positions you reviewed that are on the defense I don't I don't think so. I don't recall er ones. You actually Before I get there. And you've read the depositions of I'experts; correct? I read Jarvis, Samet, and I think I've read ine.	11:05:45	A. the quest Q. A. Q. A. Hopkins. Q. devices A. Q. other co their pro A. have reasay, Aug Q. A.	No, I didn't. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of stion, assumes facts not in evidence. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Are you aware of Mistral? No. Are you aware of WarmTouch? I've heard of WarmTouch, yeah. Okay. I think WarmTouch is what they use at Okay. So there's other forced-air warming as well as convective devices; Yeah. correct? Yeah. Okay. And you're aware that, you know, mpetitors of 3M have done research to compare duct to the Bair Hugger. I don't I mean, the only ones I've seen ally been the HotDog and, you know, and, let's justine's new study which I don't know if it's I don't want to talk about that today.

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 30 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	109		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
11:06:34	Q. I mean, there's there's Warm	11:08:13	defense. You want to be
11:06:34	A. And the McGovern study I mean obviously is	11:08:13	A. I'm not an advocate.
11:06:37 2	the big study you have for your side of the table.	11:08:13 2	Q. You want to be objective; correct?
11:06:43	Q. Well is that what someone told you?	11:08:16 4	A. Yes. That's
11:06:43 5	A. Not	11:08:16 5	Q. Okay.
11:06:47	Are you asking me if someone told me that?	11:08:16 6	A. That's good.
11:06:49 7	Q. I mean I mean, you say you thought	11:08:17 7	Q. Being objective is really important when
11:06:50	A. Why do I say that?	11:08:18	thousands of people's of lives are at stake;
11:06:52	Q you thought Augustine was on the	11:08:21 9	correct?
11:06:53 10	plaintiffs' side. Why would you make that assumption?	11:08:21 10	A. Yes.
11:06:56 11	A. Because he compared, you know, his product	11:08:22 11	Q. Okay. And what page are you looking at,
11:07:00 12	to the Bair Hugger in the new study	11:08:25 12	sir?
11:07:03 13	Q. You're aware that	11:08:26 13	A. Page 8.
11:07:03 14	A. which you don't want to talk about, but.	11:08:27 14	Q. Okay. So let's look at the two studies that
11:07:05 15	Q. You're aware that Augustine invented the	11:08:29 15	dealt with total hip and total knee.
11:07:07 16	Bair Hugger; correct?	11:08:31 16	A. Yep.
11:07:08 17	A. I do, yeah.	11:08:32 17	Q. Okay. One was the one in Holland; correct?
11:07:09 18	Q. Okay. So do you criticize any of his older	11:08:34 18	A. Yes.
11:07:11 19	studies that he did on Bair Hugger before he left	11:08:35 19	Q. Where Bair Hugger was used on all the
11:07:15 20	Arizant?	11:08:36 20	patients; correct?
11:07:15 21	A. I don't know if I know all of his old	11:08:37 21	A. Yes. That's my understanding.
11:07:17 22	studies, but I think you know my opinion. I think	11:08:38 22	Q. And even when the Bair Hugger is used, 27
11:07:20 23	the Bair Hugger works, I think there are no data out	11:08:41 23	percent of the people still became hypothermic;
11:07:24 24	there to definitively link it to harm.	11:08:43 24	correct?
11:07:28 25	Q. Well we have two studies that you just	11:08:44 25	A. That's correct.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 110		
11:07:29		11:08:44 1	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
11:07:29 1 11:07:32 2	110	11:08:44 1 11:08:48 2	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112
_	110 indicated that you that it support your opinion	_	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may
11:07:32 2	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm.	11:08:48 2	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks
11:07:32 2 11:07:36 3	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference,	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct.
11:07:32 2 11:07:36 3 11:07:38 4	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:54 5 11:08:58 6	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were
11:07:32 2 11:07:36 3 11:07:38 4 11:07:39 5 11:07:42 6 11:07:45 7	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct?	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony,	11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:54 5 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say?	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct?
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 5 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:06 12	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:01 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:06 12 11:09:07 13	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay.	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 5 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:07 13 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 15	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:06 12 11:09:07 13 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 15 11:09:10 15	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor.	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back.	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 5 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:07 13 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 15 11:09:12 16 11:09:13 17 11:09:15 18 11:09:17 19	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 12 11:09:01 14 11:09:10 15 11:09:10 15 11:09:12 16 11:09:13 17 11:09:15 18 11:09:17 19 11:09:17 20	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 10 11:09:06 11 11:09:06 12 11:09:10 15 11:09:10 15 11:09:11 17 11:09:11 17 11:09:11 18 11:09:17 19 11:09:17 20 11:09:19 21	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say. A. Yeah, that's fine.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:01 10 11:09:01 11 11:09:01 12 11:09:01 15 11:09:10 15 11:09:11 17 11:09:13 17 11:09:15 18 11:09:17 19 11:09:19 21 11:09:19 22	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say A. One percent if warmed, versus 3.7 if
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say. A. Yeah, that's fine. Q. Because we want to be accurate; correct?	11:08:48	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 112 Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say A. One percent if warmed, versus 3.7 if hypothermic.
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say. A. Yeah, that's fine. Q. Because we want to be accurate; correct? A. Yes.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 12 11:09:07 13 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 15 11:09:12 16 11:09:13 17 11:09:15 18 11:09:17 19 11:09:17 20 11:09:19 21 11:09:19 22 11:09:22 23 11:09:22 23 11:09:22 24	Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say A. One percent if warmed, versus 3.7 if hypothermic. Q. Okay. And the p value was would indicate
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say. A. Yeah, that's fine. Q. Because we want to be accurate; correct? A. Yes. Q. We don't want to be an advocate for the	11:08:48	Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say A. One percent if warmed, versus 3.7 if hypothermic. Q. Okay. And the p value was would indicate to many people out in the research field that it's not
11:07:32	indicated that you that it support your opinion that Bair Hugger works for total hip and total knee. One said it doesn't make a difference, A. Umm-hmm. Q and the other was where they compared Bair Hugger and one where the Bair Hugger was used all the time and indicated even when you used the Bair Hugger that it didn't maintain hypothermia; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes his testimony, Q. Isn't that what those studies say? MR. COREY GORDON: Let me finish my objection, please. MR. ASSAAD: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the evidence, form. Q. We can go back if you want, doctor. Do you want to go back? Let's go back. A. Let's do that. That'd be fine. Q. Let's be 100 percent correct what these studies say. A. Yeah, that's fine. Q. Because we want to be accurate; correct? A. Yes.	11:08:48 2 11:08:51 3 11:08:52 4 11:08:58 6 11:08:58 7 11:09:00 8 11:09:01 9 11:09:03 10 11:09:06 12 11:09:07 13 11:09:10 14 11:09:10 15 11:09:12 16 11:09:13 17 11:09:15 18 11:09:17 19 11:09:17 20 11:09:19 21 11:09:19 22 11:09:22 23 11:09:22 23 11:09:22 24	Q. That would indicate that the Bair Hugger may not maintain normothermia during a surgery; correct? A. For that study that's correct. Q. Okay. And that looks And that showed a 3.7 percent if they were A. No, not "percent." It's a risk ratio. Q. You have percent there, sir. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's both. Q. Okay. And one per if they're hypothermic; correct? A. Yes. Q. So there might be something else in the oper MR. COREY GORDON: I think you misstated that. MR. ASSAAD: I don't think I misstated it. MR. COREY GORDON: You said one percent if they're hypothermic. MR. ASSAAD: I said I thought I said "warmed." Did I say A. One percent if warmed, versus 3.7 if hypothermic. Q. Okay. And the p value was would indicate

	CC	CASE 0:15 md 02666 JNE DTS DOC NEIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 31 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
4	obobiet!	113	_	no	115
11:09:29	statistica	ally significant; correct?	11:11:12 1	-	nere who were under 36 degrees, no question,
11:09:31 2		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:11:15 2	_	proportion, unusually high proportion. A
11:09:32 3	the ques		11:11:18 3		ange things which I've already documented
11:09:32 4		I think many people who are out there would	11:11:21 4		s study. But that's what they showed; no
11:09:34 5		this off at .06.	11:11:25 5		e, one percent at face value.
11:09:37 6	Q.	They would do further studies, wouldn't	11:11:27 6	Q.	And every every study has limitations;
11:09:39 7	they?		11:11:28 7	correct?	
11:09:42 8	A.	Well they probably would do further studies,	11:11:30	Α.	Every study can be looked at carefully.
11:09:44 9	yes. Bu	t I think no one would discount that is what	11:11:31 9	Q.	Okay. And if you're an advocate you're
11:09:48 10	I've told	you earlier if I were advising a patient and	11:11:34 10	going to	discredit the studies and look at their
11:09:52 11	that's al	we had.	11:11:39 11	limitation	ns, and if you're an advocate for a side
1:09:53 12	Q.	Okay. But we could agree with this study on	11:11:42 12		oing to not look at the limitations.
11:09:56 13		2, the Holland study on Exhibit 1, page 8, that	11:11:51 13	-	Well
11:10:01 14		Hugger, even when used, still may not	11:11:51 14		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
1:10:05 15		normothermia; correct?	11:11:51 15	the ques	
11:10:07 16		That's true.	11:11:51 16	-	I don't think that's true.
11:10:07 10		Okay. And then let's look at the study that	11:11:51 10	_	Okay.
11:10:08 17 11:10:10 18		that when the Bair Hugger is used and not	11:12:03 17	ų.	•
					(Interruption by the reporter.)
11:10:13 19		rrect? And we see that when the Bair Hugger	11:12:07 19	D)/ 145 -	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
11:10:19 20	is used -		11:12:09 20	BY MR. A	
11:10:20 21	_	Which study are you on?	11:12:12 21		So going back to what depositions you've
11:10:21 22	Q.	Number 5, the Frisch study.	11:12:14 22	-	u've been working on this case for since
11:10:23 23	A.	Okay. Yeah.	11:12:22 23	2015; co	rrect?
11:10:24 24	Q.	Okay.	11:12:24 24		I think that's right.
11:10:26 25		there is a 1 percent infection rate;	11:12:24 25	Q.	Okay. So over almost
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	•	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CO	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDEF
		114			116
11:10:29	correct?		11:12:26	Α	Time income
1:10:29 2			11.12.20	Α.	Two years.
	Α.	Yes.	11:12:27 2	Q.	two, two and a half years; correct?
11:10:30	A. Q.		•	_	two, two and a half years; correct?
	Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3	Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal
11:10:33 4	Q. is a 1 pe	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct?	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4	Q. documen	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true?
11:10:33 4 11:10:35 5	Q. is a 1 pe A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes.	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5	Q. documen A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal
11:10:33 4 11:10:35 5 11:10:36 6	Q. is a 1 pe A. Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5 11:12:36 6	Q. documen A. about.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking
11:10:33 4 11:10:35 5 11:10:36 6 11:10:40 7	Q. is a 1 pe A. Q. the Frisco	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5 11:12:36 6 11:12:36 7	Q. documen A. about. Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 pe A. Q. the Friscowhen th	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there recent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5 11:12:36 6 11:12:36 7 11:12:38 8	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal lets from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the lase.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisc when the Bair Hug	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there recent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct?	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5 11:12:36 6 11:12:36 7 11:12:38 8 11:12:39 9	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the iger is not used; correct? Used versus not used?	11:12:27 2 11:12:29 3 11:12:31 4 11:12:35 5 11:12:36 6 11:12:36 7 11:12:38 8 11:12:39 9 11:12:41 10	documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that?
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisc when the Bair Hug A. Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah.	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c. A. mean by Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen th Bair Hug A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the origer is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the	11:12:27	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug A. Q. Bair Hug	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah.	11:12:27	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug A. Q. A. Bair Hugger.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair	11:12:27	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the asse. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug A. Q. Bair Hug	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c. A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug A. Q. A. Bair Hugger.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh?	11:12:27	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug A. Q. A. Bair Hug Hugger. Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair	11:12:27	Q. documen A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal lits from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the lase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug A. Q. A. Bair Hugger. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh?	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c. A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c. A. know, ali	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal lits from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the lase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen th Bair Hug A. Q. A. Bair Hug Hugger. Q. A. Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the inger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the inger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm.	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A. know, alithings I I	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal atts from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hugan. A. Bair Hugan. Q. A. Bair Hugan. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry.	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A. know, alithings I I	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug. A. Q. A. Bair Hug. Hugger. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the original desired the study actually tested the infection rates be Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the original desired to when the original d	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton C. A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal C. A. know, all things I I everythings ent.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hugen. A. Bair Huger. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the oper is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the oper versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers.	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A. know, all things I I everythings ent. Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the asse. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly reading that I could get my hands on and that they
1:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug. A. Bair Hug. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers. No, no. I'm sorry.	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton of A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal of A. know, all things I I everythings everything everythings everything everyt	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read and that I could get my hands on and that they Okay. And were you told not to include any any internal documents
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug. A. Q. A. Bair Hug. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ager is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ager versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers. No, no. I'm sorry. Okay. That would be unethical; correct?	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A. know, alithings I I everythings internal c c C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the ase. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read ag that I could get my hands on and that they Okay. And were you told not to include any any internal documents No.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug. A. Bair Hug. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers. No, no. I'm sorry. Okay. That would be unethical; correct? No, but the percent	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton C. A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal C. A. know, all things I I everythings ent. Q. of the A. Q.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the asse. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read ag that I could get my hands on and that they Okay. And were you told not to include any any internal documents No in in your report?
11:10:35 5 11:10:36 6 11:10:40 7 11:10:42 8	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Frisco when the Bair Hug. A. Q. A. Bair Hug. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there ercent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the iger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the iger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers. No, no. I'm sorry. Okay. That would be unethical; correct? No, but the percent What I'm saying is, you know, they had the	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton c A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal c A. know, alithings I I everythings internal c c C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the asse. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly reading that I could get my hands on and that they Okay. And were you told not to include any any internal documents No in in your report? No.
11:10:33	Q. is a 1 per A. Q. the Friscowhen the Bair Hug. A. Q. A. Bair Hugger. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. A. Q. A. A. A. Q. A.	And when the Bair Hugger is not used there creent infection rate; correct? Yes. Okay. So the Frisch study indicates that th study actually tested the infection rates a Bair Hugger is used as compared to when the ger is not used; correct? Used versus not used? Yeah. Well they looked at who got cool with the ger versus who didn't get cool with the Bair You mean warm. Huh? You mean warm. Warmed. I'm sorry. We're not cooling with Bair Huggers; are we? We're what? We're not cooling with Bair Huggers. No, no. I'm sorry. Okay. That would be unethical; correct? No, but the percent	11:12:27	document A. about. Q. Walton of A. mean by Q. A. Q. internal of A. know, all things I I everythings ent. Q. of the A. Q. A.	two, two and a half years; correct? And you actually have seen internal its from 3M; isn't that true? I don't know what documents you're talking I mean, you've read depositions in the asse. Oh, I have seen those. Is that what you that? Yes. In the Walton case, yeah. And you And you've read depositions and you've had documents provided to you in the Walton case. Yeah, I haven't looked at Walton for, you most the two years so I can't remember all the ooked at or not, but I had certainly read ag that I could get my hands on and that they Okay. And were you told not to include any any internal documents No in in your report?

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 32 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		119
_	11,16,01 1	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	_	(Wenzel Exhibit 5 marked for
		identification.)
	_	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
	11:16:36 5	BY MR. ASSAAD:
pretty much trying to look at the hierarchy of the	11:16:37 6	Q. I represent to you that Exhibit Number 5 is
clinical quality, so then I had cohorts, case-control	11:16:42 7	a copy of part of your Walton report that indicates
studies and if I learned anything more, and then	11:16:46 8	the materials that you reviewed in preparation of the
eventually increased the size of the tables if I was	11:16:49	Walton report. Does that look familiar?
making a table.	11:16:52 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
Q. So you're telling me the report that you	11:16:53 11	the question, mischaracterizes the document.
	11:16:55 12	A. I don't remember this at all, no.
		Q. Can I see that document real quick, because
_		I only have one copy?
_		A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.)
·		
		Q. Do you recall reading the depositions of any
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		of those individuals during the Walton case?
		A. I actually don't remember any of that, no.
		Can't recall.
Q. I understand that, but you started working		Q. Can I have it again, sir?
on this report probably during Walton; correct?		A. (Handing.)
A. Yeah. That's fair.	11:17:21 22	Q. Did you look at medical records in the
Q. Okay.	11:17:22 23	Walton case?
A. I mean I did a report for Walton, and then,	11:17:23 24	A. I did.
you know, when I was asked to make comments there was	11:17:24 25	Q. Okay. Did you ever look at the operating
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
118		120
only one patient.	11:17:31 1	manual for the Bair Hugger Model 750?
Q. And this was on May 29th, 2015.	11:17:34 2	A. I think I looked at that some time ago. I
A. It was way back.	11:17:38 3	don't remember much about it, but.
·	11:17:40 4	Q. It's not listed in Exhibit 1 anywhere.
	_	A. Yeah.
		Q. Or in the documents that you considered.
	_	A. I may have looked at that with the Walton
_	_	
	_	case or something way back when, but I just don't
		remember.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Q. Do you remember receiving many internal
· ·		documents, as indicated here in Exhibit 5, from 3M?
		A. I just can't recall that, so I don't know.
A. Umm-hmm.		Yeah.
Q correct?	11:18:01 14	Q. Well what's been provided today,
Does that sound about right?	11:18:04 15	A. Yeah.
A. I don't remember, but that's about right,	11:18:04 16	Q are those all the documents that were
yeah.	11:18:06 17	provided to you by any of the attorneys for 3M, from
Q. Okay. Have you compared your Walton report	11:18:08 18	Blackwell Burke or from Greenberg Traurig?
Chay: Have you compared your traitor report	i	
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1?	11:18:12 19	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1?	11:18:12 19 11:18:13 20	
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look	11:18:13 20	the question.
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports to similar things.	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21 11:18:16 22	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this general type of causation question. Was there
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports to similar things. MR. ASSAAD: I only have one copy of this,	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21 11:18:16 22 11:18:22 23	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this general type of causation question. Was there anything from Blackwell? I don't know.
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports to similar things. MR. ASSAAD: I only have one copy of this, but let's mark this as Exhibit Number?	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21 11:18:16 22 11:18:22 23 11:18:25 24	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this general type of causation question. Was there anything from Blackwell? I don't know. Q. Did you re
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports to similar things. MR. ASSAAD: I only have one copy of this, but let's mark this as Exhibit Number? THE REPORTER: Five.	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21 11:18:16 22 11:18:22 23	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this general type of causation question. Was there anything from Blackwell? I don't know. Q. Did you re So you're sitting here today, you didn't
to to your current report which is Exhibit 1? A. I I haven't gone back and tried to look line by line or area by area. My guess, it comports to similar things. MR. ASSAAD: I only have one copy of this, but let's mark this as Exhibit Number?	11:18:13 20 11:18:14 21 11:18:16 22 11:18:22 23 11:18:25 24	the question. A. I think I was focusing on sort of this general type of causation question. Was there anything from Blackwell? I don't know. Q. Did you re
	clinical quality, so then I had cohorts, case-control studies and if I learned anything more, and then eventually increased the size of the tables if I was making a table. Q. So you're telling me the report that you wrote in Walton A. Oh, Walton, way back when. Q. Did you not use any of that report in this report? A. Yeah, there probably were some same things in terms of the background, some of the same studies, but I think I kept finding more and more studies is all I'm saying, in more recent time. Q. I understand that, but you started working on this report probably during Walton; correct? A. Yeah. That's fair. Q. Okay. A. I mean I did a report for Walton, and then, you know, when I was asked to make comments there was STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 118 only one patient. Q. And this was on May 29th, 2015. A. It was way back. Q. Okay. And you didn't start all over in this case; did you? A. No. I had the basic a basic report for Walton, that's true. Q. Okay. All right. And so you've been working on this report since early of 2015. A. Yeah, you could say that. Q. I mean, your Walton report is is approximately 40 pages; A. Umm-hmm. Q correct? Does that sound about right? A. I don't remember, but that's about right, yeah.	Q. Okay. When'd you start writing your report? A. I tend to not wait till the last second, so I probably started, I'm going to estimate, even a year ago, you know, just to fill out the general areas, you know, what data were available from clinical trials, pretty much trying to look at the hierarchy of the clinical quality, so then I had cohorts, case-control studies and if I learned anything more, and then eventually increased the size of the tables if I was making a table. Q. So you're telling me the report that you wrote in Walton A. Oh, Walton, way back when. Q. Did you not use any of that report in this report? A. Yeah, there probably were some same things in terms of the background, some of the same studies, but I think I kept finding more and more studies is all I'm saying, in more recent time. Q. I understand that, but you started working on this report probably during Walton; correct? A. Yeah. That's fair. Q. Okay. A. I mean I did a report for Walton, and then, you know, when I was asked to make comments there was STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 118 only one patient. Q. And this was on May 29th, 2015. A. It was way back. Q. Okay. And you didn't start all over in this case; did you? A. No. I had the basic a basic report for Walton, that's true. Q. Okay. And right. And so you've been working on this report since early of 2015. A. Yeah, you could say that. Q. I mean, your Walton report is is approximately 40 pages; A. Umm-hmm. Q correct? Does that sound about right? A. I don't remember, but that's about right, yeah.

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 33 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		121		_	123
1:18:27		any of the documents, internal documents from	11:20:47	_	in Exhibit 2,
1:18:30 2	3M.		11:20:48 2	_	Yeah.
:18:31 3		No. I mean I told you what I have, and	11:20:49	Q.	then you most likely didn't receive it.
:18:34 4		Okay. Well this is what you have for the	11:20:50 4		Yeah, I don't I don't recall it, that's
:18:43 5		trict litigation; correct?	11:20:52 5	all.	
1:18:45 6		Yes.	11:20:52 6		You didn't receive the expert report of Dr.
1:18:45 7		Do you have another file or box of documents	11:20:54 7	Keen; co	rrect?
1:18:47 8	that you	had for Walton?	11:20:55		That's true.
:18:51 9	A.	I don't have anything that I remember a	11:20:55	Q.	You did not receive the expert report of Dr.
:18:53 10	separate	e file. I mean, my office looks like a mess	11:20:59 10	Kuehn; c	orrect?
1:18:55 11	right nov	и, but	11:21:00 11	Α.	Correct.
:18:56 12	Q.	You do understand the Walton case is still	11:21:01 12	Q.	Or Kuehn [keen]. I say Kuehn [coon] just to
:18:58 13	going on	ı .	11:21:01 13	distinguis	sh between the two.
:18:59 14	Α.	I don't know anything about where it is.	11:21:01 14	A.	Okay. Yeah.
:19:02 15	Q.	Okay. So have you destroyed them?	11:21:02 15	Q.	You didn't receive the expert report of Dr.
:19:04 16	A.	No.	11:21:04 16	Settles;	correct?
:19:05 17	Q.	Okay. So you believe you still have them,	11:21:05 17	A.	Yes. True.
:19:07 18	you just	don't know where they are.	11:21:06 18	Q.	You did not receive the expert report of Dr.
:19:08 19	Α.	Yeah.	11:21:08 19	Abraham	; correct?
:19:09 20	Q.	Okay. So my understanding is that the	11:21:08 20	A.	That's true.
:19:58 21	expert re	eport of Nurse Hughes was never provided to	11:21:10 21	Q.	Okay. Did you see any of the vid
:20:01 22	you; cor	rect?	11:21:15 22		You said you saw the videos of what Abraham
:20:02 23	Α.	That's true.	11:21:18 23	prepared	at Science Day; correct?
:20:04 24	Q.	And did you review the expert report of Dr.	11:21:20 24		Yeah.
1:20:10 25	Mont?	,	11:21:21 25	Q.	Did you ever review those again?
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER			NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
	00	122		00	124
1:20:11 1		MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and	11:21:22 1	Α.	No.
:20:12 2	answere		11:21:22	Q.	Did you ever go online to review them?
•	answere	MR. ASSAAD: I asked him about the	11:21:24 3	Α.	No.
:20:14	depositio			_	Have you ever been to any of the websites
_	uepositio	MR. COREY GORDON: The deposition?	_		by Blackwell Burke to to do a a
		•			
:20:16 6			11:21:31 6		
7		MR. ASSAAD: Yeah. I'm asking about the			g campaign of the benefits of forced-air
	report th	nis time.	11:21:34 7	marketin warming	?
:20:18 8	·	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8	warming	? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
:20:18 8 :20:19 9	·	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago?	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9	warming	PMR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion.
:20:18 8 :20:19 9 :20:21 10	·	MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report.	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9 11:21:37 10	warming the quest	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no.
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11	that didr	MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9 11:21:37 10 11:21:39 11	the quest A. Q.	PMR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12	that didr	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered.	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9 11:21:37 10 11:21:39 11 11:21:40 12	the quest A. Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying the ince the jury in Minnesota?
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13	that didr	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was nd answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again,	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9 11:21:37 10 11:21:39 11	the quest A. Q. to influer	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying note the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nee the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike.
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was nd answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again,	11:21:34 7 11:21:35 8 11:21:36 9 11:21:37 10 11:21:39 11 11:21:40 12 11:21:42 13	the quest A. Q. to influer	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying note the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying to the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nee the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that.
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying note the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18	that didrasked arbecause	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript on't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nce the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18 20:34 19	that didrasked are because it's not co	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying the the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18 20:34 19 20:36 20	that didrasked are because it's not contact.	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying the the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18 20:23 19 20:34 19 20:36 20 20:40 21	that didrasked are because it's not contact.	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript on't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most reading the I guess it's the deposition. So you've never seen the expert report of	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p markets website?	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying once the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and the medical device on their own on the
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18 20:34 19 20:36 20 20:42 21	asked arbecause it's not control of the control of	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript on't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most reading the I guess it's the deposition. So you've never seen the expert report of	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p markets website?	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nee the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and the medical device on their own on the
20:18 8 20:19 9 20:21 10 20:23 11 20:24 12 20:26 13 20:27 14 20:29 15 20:30 16 20:31 17 20:33 18 20:34 19 20:36 20 20:42 21 20:42 22 20:42 23	asked ar because it's not contained. A. recently Q. Dr. Monta	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript n't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most reading the I guess it's the deposition. So you've never seen the expert report of t. I think I'm not sure, okay?	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p markets website?	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nee the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and the medical device on their own on the MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, lack of foundation. So
_	asked ar because it's not control of the control of	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript on't exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was not answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most reading the I guess it's the deposition. So you've never seen the expert report of t.	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p markets website? the quest	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying note the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and the medical device on their own on the MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, lack of foundation. So Are you aware of that, "yes" or "no"?
120:18 8 120:19 9 120:21 10 120:23 11 120:24 12 120:26 13 120:27 14 120:29 15 120:30 16 120:31 17 120:33 18 120:34 19 120:36 20 120:40 21 120:42 23 120:42 23 120:45 24	asked ar because it's not control of the control of	nis time. MR. COREY GORDON: You mean the transcript in the exist until about an hour ago? MR. ASSAAD: The expert report. MR. COREY GORDON: That was That was and answered. MR. ASSAAD: Well let me ask it again, I don't I was going through this list and on this list. MR. COREY GORDON: That's fine. MR. ASSAAD: It's not worth fighting about. MR. COREY GORDON: No, it isn't. No. I remember most reading most reading the I guess it's the deposition. So you've never seen the expert report of the interval of the expert report of the interval of the expert report of the expert interval of the expert into the expert report of the expert into the expert	11:21:34	the quest A. Q. to influer the quest A. Q. represen actually p markets website? the quest A. Q.	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion. I don't remember doing that, no. Are you aware that Blackwell Burke is trying nee the jury in Minnesota? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, move to strike. I'm not aware of that. Okay. Are you aware of any law firm that's ting a manufacturer of a medical device that outs out a website and promotes the and the medical device on their own on the MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of tion, lack of foundation. So

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 34 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
4	douate	125	4	127
1:22:05	understa		11:23:41 1	Q. You haven't seen his expert report; correct?
1:22:05 2		Are you aware of a law firm that actually	11:23:44 2	
1:22:06 3	_	a medical device for a company?	11:23:44 3	——————————————————————————————————————
1:22:08 4	Α.	No, I'm not.	11:23:48 4 11:23:48 5	· _ ·
1:22:13 5	Q.	Okay. You're not a You're not familiar		_
1:22:15	A.	n particles move in airflow; are you? No.	11:23:49 6	
1:22:19 7				-
1:22:19		Okay. Have you been provided the expert		3 ,
1:22:22 9	A .	Dr. Lampotang? No.	11:24:03 9 11:24:08 10	-,,
1:22:26 10	Q.		11:24:08 10	,
1:22:27 11 1:22:28 12		Do you know who Dr. Lampotang is?		of statistics, was going to look at the statistics
1:22:28 12	A. Q.	No, I don't. Well do you know who Dr. Mont is?	11:24:15 12	,
1:22:29 13	Q. A.	Dr. Mont, yes.	11:24:24 13	
1:22:31 15	Q. A.	Okay. I met him at	11:24:32 15 11:24:36 16	, ,
1:22:32 16	Q.		11:24:36 10	_
1:22:33 17		Science Day.		
1:22:34 18	A. Q.	Science Day. Are you	11:24:40 18	, ,
1:22:34 19 1:22:37 20	Q.	•	11:24:45 19	
1:22:37 20 1:22:39 21	Coioneo	Do you know any of the experts, like besides Day in this in this case?	11:24:47 20	
1:22:39 2 I 1:22:41 22		You mean like Holford?	11:24:47 2 I 11:24:51 22	A. So Dr. Borak was particularly interested in
		Yes.		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1:22:43 23	Q.	Just met him once.	11:24:59 23	
1:22:44 24 1:22:47 25	Α.	When?	11:25:00 24	(,,,
1:22:47 43	Q.		11:25:01 25	
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 126		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE 128
1:22:48	Α.	There was a meeting in Washington that	11:25:01 1	McGovern study.
1:22:51 2		was there and Jonathan blanking on his last	11:25:03 2	<u>,</u>
1:22:59 3	name no		11:25:04 3	
1:23:00 4	Q.	Borak?	11:25:04 4	
_	д . А.	Borak was there, yeah.	11:25:06 5	
•	Q.	So it was you	11:25:06 6	
1:23:01 0 1:23:02 7	α .	That's the first time that we met for a	11:25:08 7	• •
1:23:04		ours in Washington.	11:25:09	
1:23:06		It was you, Dr. Borak and Dr. Holford?	11:25:09 9	
1:23:10 10	Α .	Yeah.	11:25:11 10	_
1:23:10	Q.	Any other experts?	11:25:12 11	Q. Okay. And you wouldn't disagree with Dr
1:23:10	д. А.	No.	11:25:12 11	, ,
1:23:25 13	Q.	Was that the first time you met Dr. Borak?	11:25:15 12	•
1:23:28 14	д. А.	It was.	11:25:15 13	. ,
1:23:28 15	Q.	Was it the first time you met Dr. Holford?	11:25:25 15	
1:23:28 13	Q. Α.	It was.	11:25:25 16	_
1:23:31 17	Q.	Do you know Dr. Hannenberg?	11:25:28 17	-
1:23:34 18	α .	What's the name?	11:25:29 18	
1:23:35 19	Q.	Do you know Dr. Hannenberg?	11:25:32 19	
1:23:36 20	д . А.	No, I don't.	11:25:34 20	•
1:23:36 20		Have you looked at the expert report of Dr.	11:25:34 20	·
1:23:37 21	Hannent		11:25:36 21	
	A .	No.	11:25:36 22	
	Α.	What about Dr. Ho?	11:25:37 23	
1:23:39 23	\sim	winat about Di. 110!	11:25:39	And then I asked a mend of mille, I don't
1:23:39 23 1:23:40 24	Q. A	No	44.05 OE	know maybe a year and a half or cologo roughly
11:23:39 23 11:23:40 24 11:23:41 25	Q. A.	No.	11:25:41 25	
1:23:39 23 1:23:40 24	A.	No. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	11:25:41 25	know, maybe a year and a half or so ago, roughly, STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 35 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CC			131
		129		
11:25:44 1		thoracic surgeon to walk me through the	11:27:16	Well there's some experts believe, on the
11:25:48 2	-	g room to see the pre- and post-op and talk	11:27:18 2	defense side, that the air coming out of the Bair
11:25:51 3		e use of the Bair Hugger warmer which we use.	11:27:20 3	Hugger is less than 36 degrees.
11:25:55 4	Q.	Do you think using the Bair Hugger as a	11:27:21 4	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
11:25:58 5	office w	armer using it off label?	11:27:22 5	the question, that mischaracterizes the evidence,
11:26:01 6		(Laughter.)	11:27:24 6	misstates the evidence.
11:26:05 7	A.	I don't know about that.	11:27:29 7	Q. Because that would be ridiculous to think
11:26:06		MR. COREY GORDON: You have no idea what	11:27:30	that you'd blow cold air on a patient. That would be
11:26:07	goes on	in my office.	11:27:33	unethical. Correct?
11:26:09 10	_	Well have you have you I mean, have	11:27:36 10	A. These days what we know now, yes.
11:26:09 11		cked have you done any swabs on Corey	11:27:43 11	Q. Okay. Now you didn't rely
11:26:09 11	-	s skin to see if he has a higher bioburden than	11:27:45 12	Looking at Exhibit 5, in formulating your
		_		
11:26:15 13	anyone		11:27:47 13	opinions in this case you did not rely on any of the
11:26:16 14	A.	I don't really have to answer that, do I?	11:27:51 14	internal documents provided to you during the Walton
11:26:17 15	_	(Laughter.)	11:27:53 15	case; is that fair?
11:26:18 16	Q.	If you did, I really want you to answer it.	11:27:54 16	A. That's true.
11:26:20 17		(Laughter.)	11:28:07 17	Q. Okay. And in fact would it be fair to say
11:26:24 18	Α.	I like your sense of humor.	11:28:09 18	that you probably haven't looked at those documents
11:26:27 19		MR. GOSS: Kind of like walking next to pig	11:28:12 19	provided to you in Walton since 2015?
11:26:30 20	pen.		11:28:17 20	A. That's probably true.
11:26:31 21		(Laughter.)	11:28:18 21	Q. Okay. So if I asked you what documents are
11:26:31 22		MR. COREY GORDON: I don't get no respect.	11:28:20 22	in that set, you would have no idea.
11:26:34 23	Q.	Did you	11:28:23 23	A. That's probably right.
11:26:35 24	٦.	Did you look at the Bair Hugger device with	11:28:24 24	Q. Okay. Do you believe that 3M gave you all
11:26:37 25	a blanke	et attached?	11:28:27 25	the information necessary to formulate your opinions
11:20:37	a Dialike	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	11:28:27	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	٨	130		132
11:26:39	Α.	Yeah.	11:28:30	in this case? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
11:26:40	Q.	Okay.	11:28:31	MR. CORET GORDON: ODIECT TO THE TOTAL OF
11:00:40		, V I-	_	
11:26:40 3	Α.	Yeah.	11:28:31	the question.
11:26:40 3 11:26:42 4	Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of	11:28:31 3 11:28:32 4	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the
	Q. the u	And have you felt the air coming out of nderneath the blanket?	4	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what
11:26:42 4	Q. the u	And have you felt the air coming out of	11:28:32 4	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the
11:26:42 4 11:26:44 5	Q. the u A.	And have you felt the air coming out of nderneath the blanket?	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what
11:26:42 4 11:26:44 5 11:26:45 6	Q. the u A. warmth	And have you felt the air coming out of nderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was
11:26:42 4 11:26:44 5 11:26:45 6 11:26:47 7	Q. the u A. warmth Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of nderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean.	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6 11:28:41 7	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given.
11:26:42 4 11:26:44 5 11:26:45 6 11:26:47 7 11:26:48 8	Q. the u A. warmth Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah.	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6 11:28:41 7 11:28:42 8	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study
11:26:42 4 11:26:44 5 11:26:45 6 11:26:47 7 11:26:48 8 11:26:48 9	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah.	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6 11:28:41 7 11:28:42 8 11:28:45 9	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.?
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6 11:28:41 7 11:28:42 8 11:28:45 9 11:28:46 10 11:28:49 11	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing.
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. coming	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture.	11:28:32 4 11:28:35 5 11:28:39 6 11:28:41 7 11:28:42 8 11:28:45 9 11:28:46 10	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but
111.26.42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. coming tempera	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like,	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43,	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter.
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body inture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you.
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.)
11.26.42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient;	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct?	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD:
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient.	11:28:32	the question. A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air c	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that coming out of the Bair Hugger is below body	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct?
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air of	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that toming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct?	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air of	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that coming out of the Bair Hugger is below body	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct?
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air of	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that toming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct?	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct? A. I don't remember it actually, I'm sorry to
111.26.42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air of	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that coming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct? Yes. These are getting tough now, these	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct? A. I don't remember it actually, I'm sorry to say.
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air c tempera A.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that oming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct? Yes. These are getting tough now, these is.	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct? A. I don't remember it actually, I'm sorry to say. Q. So you did a lot of work on Walton; correct? A. I did. I tried to look at that carefully
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air c tempera A.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that oming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct? Yes. These are getting tough now, these is. They are, aren't they?	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct? A. I don't remember it actually, I'm sorry to say. Q. So you did a lot of work on Walton; correct? A. I did. I tried to look at that carefully Q. Okay.
11:26:42	Q. the u A. warmth Q. A. Q. coming tempera A. 42, 43, Q. below b patient; A. Q. the air of tempera A. question Q.	And have you felt the air coming out of inderneath the blanket? Yeah, you can feel it, yeah. Getting The you mean. Yeah. Yeah. You agree that the temperature of the air out of the blanket is warmer than the body iture. I think it is. I mean, it's set at, like, and I mean, because if the air coming out was ody temperature it would actually cool the correct? It would cool the patient. Okay. It would be ridiculous to think that oming out of the Bair Hugger is below body iture; correct? Yes. These are getting tough now, these is.	11:28:32	A. I didn't rely on 3M to provide me all the information. I really did much as I can to find what was in the literature in addition to whatever was given. Q. Are you aware that 3M is doing a pilot study in the U.K.? A. I'd heard that in one of the depositions but I don't remember I don't know any details, nothing. Q. All right. MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for the court reporter. THE REPORTER: Thank you. (Recess taken from 11:29 to 11:43 a.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. I just want to go back with respect to Exhibit Number 5. That was attached to your report in Walton. You don't disagree with that; correct? A. I don't remember it actually, I'm sorry to say. Q. So you did a lot of work on Walton; correct? A. I did. I tried to look at that carefully

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 36 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	133		135
11:43:42 1	A. and I just can't remember that.	11:45:31 1	Q. Would you agree with me that most of your
11:43:45 2	Q. And in fact you you know, a lot of the	11:45:33 2	income that you've received since 2013 was was most
11:43:48 3	work you did in Walton, except for, you know, stuff	11:45:37 3	likely from working on the Bair Hugger case?
11:43:51 4	dealing directly with Walton with the medical records,	11:45:41 4	A. No, I would disagree with that. I would
11:43:54 5	you used in your report or you had that information	11:45:44 5	guess somewhere a quarter to a third maybe in the last
11:43:56 6	that you used in your report in this case; correct?	11:45:52 6	couple years
11:43:58 7	A. I'm sure there are parts in both, yeah.	11:45:52 7	Q. Okay.
11:44:00	Q. Okay. I mean, you didn't start from scratch	11:45:52	A of the total.
11:44:06	in this case.	11:45:54	Q. Now I'm not talking about your pension
11:44:07 10	A. No.	11:45:55 10	income. I'm talking about non-pension income.
11:44:07 11	Q. Okay. Do you know how much you billed in	11:45:58 11	A. Oh, of non-pension income, yeah. This
11:44:10 12	Walton?	11:46:00 12	This is a large portion of that.
11:44:11 13	A. Total?	11:46:02 13	Q. What percentage?
11:44:11 14	Q. Yes.	11:46:05 14	A. Oh, it's probably, you know, except for
11:44:12 15	A. I don't remember. I don't Maybe somebody	11:46:09 15	It's huge. It's probably 80 percent or more, yeah.
11:44:15 16	here has it, but.	11:46:13 16	Q. Okay. Can you give me roughly how much
11:44:18 17	Q. Well by the way, when did you when did	11:46:13 17	you you billed in Walton?
11:44:18 17	you retire from Virginia Commonwealth University?	11:46:17 17	A. I'm guessing 90,000, something like that,
11:44:23 19	A. So, formally 2013.	11:46:22 19	but
11:44:27 20	Q. 2013. So you were retired by the time you	11:46:22 20	Q. Okay.
11:44:29 21	started the Walton case; correct?	11:46:24 21	A don't hold me to it. Go ask them.
11:44:32 22	A. Well, you know, if you were to ask me why'd	11:46:25 22	Q. Around that, give or take 10,000?
11:44:34 23	you do that, it was a lot of it was timing, you	11:46:27 23	A. Go ask them. Yeah.
11:44:37 24	know, I've always been interested in taking care of	11:46:28 24	Q. Do you have those invoices still?
11:44:39 25	these patients. I've never done really a lot	11:46:30 25	A. I don't think so, but they do, I think, so
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	134		136
11:44:42 1	medical/legal.	11:46:30 1	you
11:44:43 2	Q. Well that really wasn't my question.	11:46:32 2	Q. Greenberg Traurig?
11:44:44 3	My question was you were retired by the time	11:46:33 3	A. Yeah. I would just If you need that.
11:44:46 4	you started the Walton case.	11:46:35 4	Q. Did you bill any time for Johnson?
11:44:48 5	A. Yeah, that's right.	11:46:38 5	A. Probably, yeah.
11:44:48 6	Q. Okay.	11:46:39 6	Q. Do you know how much you billed for Johnson?
11:44:49 7	A. Right about that time, yeah.	11:46:41 7	A. No. I think I lumped them together when
11:44:50	Q. Okay. And so after you retired was was	11:46:41 8	I
11:44:51 9	your was most of your income based on doing the	11:46:41 9	Q. Okay.
11:44:54 10	Walton case?	11:46:44 10	A gave you that figure, so and I'm not
11:44:54 11	A. No. I was fine without it, and the motive	11:46:46 11	trying to be cagey, I just don't remember.
11:44:59 12	wasn't income, because I've never really done much of	11:46:49 12	Q. So basically since two thousand since you
11:45:02 13	this. It was just curiosity and timing.	11:46:53 13	began in began working on this case
11:45:04 14	Q. So what were your sources of income after	11:46:53 14	A. Yeah.
11:45:07 15	you retired?	11:46:54 15	Q you approximate over \$300,000.
11:45:07 16	A. Oh, I have a very good retirement from	11:46:57 16	A. Yeah.
11:45:10 17	TIAA-CREF.	11:47:00 17	Q. And my understanding is you you billed
11:45:13 18	Q. I understand you have a retirement plan, but	11:47:04 18	over \$300,000 to do a a literature review and to
11:45:15 19	my question is: Besides your retirement plan, what	11:47:10 19	formulate opinions off the literature.
11:45:17 20	other income did you do you have besides	11:47:12 20	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
11:45:18 21	A. Besides retirement?	11:47:14 21	the question.
11:45:19 22	Q. Uh-huh.	11:47:14 22	A. Yeah, to Yeah. I mean basically I
11:45:20 23	A. Occasionally giving talks, sometimes	11:47:17 23	reviewed the literature, came up with opinions, did my
11:45:25 24	yeah, I guess Social Security, if that's what you're	11:47:20 24	best to cite all the articles, pro or con.
		11:47:24 25	Q. Okay. So the answer to my question is
11:45:29 25	asking, as well.		
11:45:29 25	asking, as well. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		
11:45:29 25	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 37 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	137		139
11:47:26 1	"correct."	11:50:09	Q. So it seems that your first invoice on
11:47:27 2	A. Yeah. Yeah.	11:50:14 2	Exhibit Number 6 is dated December 7th, 2015; correct?
11:47:29 3	Q. Okay.	11:50:19 3	A. So I have the righ Oh, 6. I'm sorry. So
11:47:29 4	A. Well I just made sure that we're we're on	11:50:28 4	where What page are you on?
11:47:31 5	the same wavelength.	11:50:29 5	Q. Look on the first page of 6, it's December
11:47:32 6	Q. Okay. Did you	11:50:32 6	7th, 2015. Or that's invoice for Ms. Briley.
11:47:44 7	Did you keep an accurate accurate time of	11:50:34 7	A. That's for That's for Barbara Briley,
11:47:49 8	of what you did in this case?	11:50:36	yeah.
11:47:51 9	A. Yeah. I have the actual hours by month	11:50:37	Q. Okay. Well if you look on I guess your
11:47:53 10	Q. Okay.	11:50:50 10	first invoice, which is dated June 6, 2016 on Exhibit
11:47:54 11	A and by day.	11:50:55 11	6?
11:47:55 12	Q. Are they underestimated hours, or did you	11:50:56 12	A. Yeah. Let me go through it. I don't know
11:47:58 13	work on	11:50:58 13	where we are. Oh.
11:47:59 14	A. Oh, no. I When I sit down, you know, if	11:51:00 14	How many pages in are you?
11:48:01 15	it's 12:15 I put 12:15. If I get up for a break at 1,	11:51:01 15	Q. About six.
11:48:06 16	I put 1.	11:51:07 16	A. Okay.
11:48:07 17	Q. Okay. And you also had an assistant that	11:51:11 17	Q. Okay. And that's your invoice is for each month from December 2015 to May 2016; correct?
11:48:28 18	worked on this case; correct? A. Yes.		•
11:48:29 19		11:51:19 19	A. Should be, yeah.
11:48:29 20 11:48:30 21	Q. Ms. Briley? A. Yes.	11:51:19 20	Q. Okay. So basically the first invoice
11:48:30 2 I 11:48:30 22	Q. And who is she?	11:51:22 21 11:51:25 22	provided to defendants in this or to the plaintiffs in this case that we have is for December of 2015;
11:48:30 22	A. She's been my assistant for a long time, and	11:51:25 22	correct?
11:48:32 23	I don't pay her a salary any more, so she helps me do	11:51:29 23	A. Yeah. Looks like that's the first one
11:48:42 25	the legal things that I need done, you know, getting	11:51:34 24	there.
11.46.42	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	11.51.36 20	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 138		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 140
11:48:45 1		11:51:36 1	
11:48:45 1 11:48:50 2	138	11:51:36 1 11:51:40 2	140
11:48:50 2	138 the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper,	_	140 Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on
11:48:50 2	138 the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do	11:51:40 2	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015.
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case.	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases?
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary?	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3 11:51:44 4	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson.
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:56 5	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3 11:51:44 4 11:51:46 5	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:56 5 11:48:59 6	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah.	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3 11:51:44 4 11:51:46 5 11:51:47 6	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right?
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:56 5 11:48:59 6 11:49:00 7 11:49:02 8 11:49:03 9	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay.	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3 11:51:44 4 11:51:46 5 11:51:47 6 11:51:59 7 11:52:08 8 11:52:12 9	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:56 5 11:48:59 6 11:49:00 7 11:49:02 8	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.)	11:51:40 2 11:51:42 3 11:51:44 4 11:51:46 5 11:51:47 6 11:51:59 7 11:52:08 8	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right.
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:56 5 11:48:59 6 11:49:00 7 11:49:02 8 11:49:03 9 11:49:18 10 11:49:18 11	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That
11:48:50 2 11:48:53 3 11:48:54 4 11:48:59 6 11:48:00 7 11:49:02 8 11:49:03 9 11:49:18 10 11:49:18 11 11:49:18 12	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.)	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right?
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD:	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but.
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct?
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No.
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices?	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes.	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for?
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her.
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys?	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay.
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys? A. I provided them to the legal firm.	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay. A. I tried to keep that separate.
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys? A. I provided them to the legal firm. Q. When I say "3M," I'm referring to 3M or	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay. A. I tried to keep that separate. Q. And this money goes directly to you, it
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys? A. I provided them to the legal firm. Q. When I say "3M," I'm referring to 3M or their attorneys.	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay. A. I tried to keep that separate. Q. And this money goes directly to you, it doesn't go to Virginia Commonwealth University;
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys? A. I provided them to the legal firm. Q. When I say "3M," I'm referring to 3M or their attorneys. A. Okay.	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay. A. I tried to keep that separate. Q. And this money goes directly to you, it doesn't go to Virginia Commonwealth University; correct?
11:48:50	the manuscripts, writing various drafts of the paper, planning any kind of travel that I might have to do related to the case. Q. Is she a like a secretary? A. Yeah, sort of, but a more of a senior administrative type secretary, yeah. Q. Does she do any research for you? A. No. Q. Okay. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibits 6 - 7 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit Number 6 and Number 7 are invoices provided to the plaintiff in this case from you. Does that look like your invoices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And these are invoices that you provided to 3M in working on this case; correct? Or their attorneys? A. I provided them to the legal firm. Q. When I say "3M," I'm referring to 3M or their attorneys.	11:51:40	Q. But there are invoices that you've worked on a Bair Hugger case prior to December 2015. A. You're talking about the earlier cases? Q. Walton and Johnson. A. Yeah, that's right. Q. Okay. And based on my calculations, the invoices that were provided to us from you total about \$213,000. Does that sound about right? A. That's about right, I think. I don't know exactly, but it sounds right. Q. And for Ms. Briley it was \$6,860. That sound about right? A. I don't know. I didn't add up hers, but. Q. Okay. But you're not going to disagree with the invoices; correct? A. No. Q. Does she Does she keep all the money that she charges for? A. Yeah. It all It goes directly to her. Q. Okay. A. I tried to keep that separate. Q. And this money goes directly to you, it doesn't go to Virginia Commonwealth University;

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 1	0/03/17 Page 38 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		141		CO	143
11:52:36 1	Α.	That's true.	11:55:00 1	correct?	143
11:52:36	Q.	Okay. Do you have a company that it goes	11:55:00	A.	I'm not an expert in aerobiology.
11:52:37 2	-•	just goes to you personally?	11:55:01 2	Q.	You're not an expert in microbiology;
11:52:42	A.	No.	11:55:05 4	correct?	Tou Te flot all expert in fillerobiology,
11:52:42 5	Q.	Okay.	11:55:06 5	A.	In what?
11:52:42	-	I haven't become sophisticated like that.	11:55:06 6	Q.	Microbiology?
11:52:44 7		And it seems like you spent the total	11:55:07	Д. А.	Well, I'd caution you there. I mean, I
11:52:46		of hours spent is 380 hours 380.75 hours.	11:55:10		crobiology is the basis of infectious
11:52:59 9		and about right?	11:55:13		, and in that interface between micro and
11:53:01 10	Α.	Probably right.	11:55:17 10		s disease I am an expert.
11:53:02 11	Q.	Okay. And Ms. Briley spent about 196 hours;	11:55:19 11	Q.	
11:53:06 12	correct?		11:55:20 12	Α.	I'm not a
11:53:06 13	Α.	Well I didn't add that up, so I'm assuming	11:55:21 13		I don't have a degree in microbiology.
11:53:07 14	you're ri	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11:55:23 14	Q.	Okay. You don't consider yourself an expert
11:53:08 15	· _	Okay.	11:55:29 15		pedics; correct?
11:53:09 16	A.	If it matches this, you know.	11:55:32 16		Only the interface, again, between
11:53:10 17	Q.	Okay. So that's the total of, you know,	11:55:34 17		lics and infectious diseases. I'm not an
11:53:12 18	-) hours between you and Ms. Briley.	11:55:39 18		lic surgeon.
11:53:16 19	A.	Umm-hmm.	11:55:40 19	-	You don't consider yourself an expert in
11:53:16 20	Q.	Is that correct?	11:55:42 20		device design; correct?
11:53:17 21	A.	Yeah.	11:55:43 21		That's true.
11:53:19 22	Q.	Okay. And approximately how many hours did	11:55:44 22	Q.	You don't consider yourself an expert in
11:53:19 23		nd on the Walton-Johnson case?	11:55:45 23		device warnings; correct?
11:53:23 24	A.	I don't know. I mean, that's why I said the	11:55:47 24	A.	Warnings, no.
11:53:25 25		ght have been close to \$90,000, so.	11:55:48 25	Q.	You don't consider yourself an expert in
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	<u> </u>	ANEIDENTIAL OUR LEGT TO REGITE OTIVE ORDER			
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CO	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		142		CO	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 144
11:53:28	Q .		11:55:50 1		
11:53:28 1 11:53:30 2		142	11:55:50 1 11:55:50 2		144 varming; correct? In what?
	Q. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150	•	patient v	144 varming; correct?
11:53:30 2	Q. A. Q. hours.	142 And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take?	11:55:50 2	patient v A. Q.	144 varming; correct? In what?
11:53:30 2 11:53:30 3	Q. A. Q. hours.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right.	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3	patient v A. Q.	144 varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah.
11:53:30 2 11:53:30 3 11:53:35 4	Q. A. Q. hours.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3 11:55:52 4	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with
11:53:30 2 11:53:30 3 11:53:35 4 11:53:37 5 11:53:38 6 11:53:40 7	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case?	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3 11:55:52 4 11:55:54 5 11:55:55 6 11:55:58 7	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infec	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not
11:53:30 2 11:53:30 3 11:53:35 4 11:53:37 5 11:53:38 6	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes.	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3 11:55:52 4 11:55:54 5 11:55:55 6 11:55:58 7 11:56:00 8	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with
11:53:30 2 11:53:30 3 11:53:35 4 11:53:37 5 11:53:38 6 11:53:40 7 11:53:45 8 11:53:49 9	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed?	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3 11:55:52 4 11:55:54 5 11:55:55 6 11:55:58 7 11:56:00 8 11:56:03 9	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that.	11:55:50 2 11:55:51 3 11:55:52 4 11:55:55 6 11:55:55 6 11:56:00 8 11:56:03 9 11:56:03 10	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming.
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. the infect Q. be A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true.
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research.
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study?	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.)
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not?	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct?
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to to do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes.
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. A.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study?	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study? I mean, hey, why don't you recommend you	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A. room de:	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating sign; correct?
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. should r	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study? I mean, hey, why don't you recommend you ecommend to 3M to do a study?	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A. C. A.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating sign; correct? Correct.
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. should r A.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study? I mean, hey, why don't you recommend you ecommend to 3M to do a study? I have never asked them that.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A. C. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating sign; correct? Correct. Have you read any of the ASHRAE articles or
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. should r	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study? I mean, hey, why don't you recommend you ecommend to 3M to do a study? I have never asked them that. Okay. You're not an expert in aerobiology;	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A. C. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating sign; correct? Correct. Have you read any of the ASHRAE articles or regarding operating room design?
11:53:30	Q. A. Q. hours. A. Q. A. Q. counting 720 hou A. Q. let's t A. Q. should r A. Q.	And you charge how much per hour? Six hundred. So 90,000 divided by 600 equals about 150 This sound about right, give or take? That sounds about right. Okay. So so far between you and M Did Ms. Briley work on the Walton case? I think she did, yes. Do you know how many hours that she billed? I don't, actually. Don't remember that. So between you and Ms. Briley, and not her time on Walton, the two of you spent over rs on this case. Yeah. Sounds about right. Okay. Did you ever recommend to 3M to o do a study? No. Okay. Why not? I haven't met with 3M. Or their attorneys. Ask the attorneys to do a study? I mean, hey, why don't you recommend you ecommend to 3M to do a study? I have never asked them that.	11:55:50	patient v A. Q. A. Q. A. the infect Q. be A. Q. review a A. Q. A. Chapters	varming; correct? In what? Patient warming. A expert in patient warming? Yeah. Only as it is influenced in this case with tious disease part, but not And everything that you opine is going to warming is going to be based on a literature and not your own personal That's true directed research. Yes, that's (Interruption by the reporter.) (Discussion off the stenographic record.) Correct? Yes. Okay. You're not an expert in operating sign; correct? Correct. Have you read any of the ASHRAE articles or

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC NATIONAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	: 949-5	Filed 1	0/03/17 Page 39 of 95 DNFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	Α.	Don't think so.	1	including	147
11:56:26 1			11:58:41 1		g, at least, a downflow current towards the
_		Are you aware that it is estimated between			nipping up some kind of particles into the air
11:56:34 3		on to 900 million skin squames are shed			operative site, and therefore they think that
11:56:40 4	during a	two- to four-hour surgery?	11:58:56 4		Hugger, having done that, relates to
11:56:42 5		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:59:01 5		s. That's my understanding.
11:56:44 6	the ques		11:59:03		You don't disagree that the Bair Hugger
11:56:44 7		So I didn't go to the primary literature but	11:59:04 7	_	es heat; correct?
11:56:47	I've seer	n that in a couple depositions.	11:59:06	A.	It does generate some heat.
1:56:49	Q.	Do you disagree with that?	11:59:08	Q.	Well do you know how much heat?
1:56:50 10	Α.	No reason to disagree or agree.	11:59:10 10	Α.	I don't.
1:56:52 11	Q.	Okay. You have no experience in	11:59:10 11	Q.	Okay. Well you used the term "some." Do
1:57:02 12	operatin	g-room airflow; correct?	11:59:13 12	you kno	w You're just you're not
1:57:05 13	A.	Any experience, no.	11:59:15 13		You're not quantifying it; correct?
1:57:06 14	Q.	Okay. You don't consider you're an expert	11:59:16 14	Α.	I'm not.
1:57:07 15		ting airflow?	11:59:17 15	Q.	Okay. You do agree that the Bair Hugger,
1:57:09 16	-	That's true.	11:59:20 16	-	s are facing down; correct?
1:57:10 17		I think I've asked you this before, but	11:59:22 17	A.	Yes.
1:57:10 17		ot an expert in particle flow; correct?	11:59:22 17	Q.	Onto the patient?
	-		11:59:22 10	Q. A.	Yes.
1:57:13 19	_	In particle flow, no. I'm not.		_	
1:57:16 20	Q.	Do you agree with me that Dr. Elghobashi is	11:59:24 20	Q.	In an orthopedic surgery.
1:57:18 21	an expe	rt in particle flow and turbulent air?	11:59:25 21	Α.	Yes.
1:57:21 22		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	11:59:25 22	Q.	Okay. So you do agree that it creates
1:57:22 23	-	stion, lack of foundation.	11:59:27 23	current,	air currents.
1:57:22 24		I have no idea of his expertise.	11:59:29 24	A.	I think it does.
1:57:24 25	Q.	Well you've rea you've seen his report;	11:59:30 25	Q.	Okay. And you agree that
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	•	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com			1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CC	INFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		146			148
1:57:26	correct?		11:59:37		Do you know what the first law of
1:57:26 2	A.	Yeah. I didn't understand most of it.	11:59:38 2	thermod	lynamics is?
1:57:26 3	Q.	Did you	11:59:39 3	Α.	No. I know you like to ask that question,
1:57:27 4		And you didn't have an opportunity to	11:59:42 4		n't know it.
1:57:29 5	compare	e our expert's report to defense expert's	11:59:44 5		How do you know I like to ask that question?
1:57:31 6	report;				
1:57:32 7			11:59:46		Somewhere in you were deposing somebody
1.57.52	Δ		11:59:46 6		
1.57.25		No. Only what I saw on Science Day,	11:59:48 7	and it w	as one of your earlier questions.
•	basically	No. Only what I saw on Science Day,	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8	and it w	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less
1:57:36	basically Q .	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9	and it work Q. dense the	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less nan cold air? If you know.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10	basically Q. flow; con	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect?	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10	and it was Q. dense the A.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less han cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11	basically Q. flow; con A.	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11	and it work and it	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct?
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12	d. flow; contact A. turbulen	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow.	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12	and it would dense the A. Q. A.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13	pasically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q.	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:04 13	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct?
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 14:58:01 14	basically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case?	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:57 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14	and it won the second of the s	os one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise;
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15	pasically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case? A.	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in the flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:04 13	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 11:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15	basically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton,	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that.	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:57 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15	basically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton,	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in the flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15 1:58:09 16	basically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton,	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that.	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:04 13 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16	and it won Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of t	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:09 16 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q.	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17	and it w Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of t A.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less on cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you?
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15 1:58:09 16 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18	and it was Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of the A. thermod	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less nan cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15 1:58:09 16 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20	basically Q. flow; con A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent crect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in the flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19	and it won Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of the A. thermode	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the thermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:09 16 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20 1:58:17 21	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims in	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at J. What is your understanding of plaintiffs' at this case with respect to the mechanism of	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21	and it wong. Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of the A. thermode Q. engineer	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the rs in this case.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:02 16 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20 1:58:17 21 1:58:20 22	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims ir injury of	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at g. What is your understanding of plaintiffs' this case with respect to the mechanism of a Bair Hugger causing a an infection?	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:05 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21 12:00:14 22	and it wong. Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of the A. thermode Q. engineed A.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the rs in this case. To you.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15 1:58:09 16 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20 1:58:17 21 1:58:20 22 1:58:27 23	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims ir injury of A.	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rrect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at What is your understanding of plaintiffs' a this case with respect to the mechanism of a Bair Hugger causing a an infection? My understanding is that the plaintiffs are	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21 12:00:14 22 12:00:15 23	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of t A. thermod Q. engineer A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the rs in this case. To you. To me? You'd defer
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:06 15 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20 1:58:17 21 1:58:20 22 1:58:27 23 1:58:30 24	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims ir injury of A. saying the	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in tiflow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at J. What is your understanding of plaintiffs' in this case with respect to the mechanism of a Bair Hugger causing a an infection? My understanding is that the plaintiffs are that there is heat generated from the Bair	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21 12:00:15 23 12:00:15 24	and it wong. Q. dense the A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of the A. thermode Q. engineer A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the rs in this case. To you. To me? You'd defer Yeah.
_	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims ir injury of A. saying the	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in t flow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at g. What is your understanding of plaintiffs' in this case with respect to the mechanism of a Bair Hugger causing a an infection? My understanding is that the plaintiffs are that there is heat generated from the Bair and it creates currents, particularly	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21 12:00:14 22 12:00:15 23	and it w. Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of t A. thermod Q. engineer A. Q.	Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less nan cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the ris in this case. To you. To me? You'd defer Yeah to me as well. Okay.
1:57:36 9 1:57:44 10 1:57:45 11 1:57:48 12 1:57:49 13 1:58:01 14 1:58:09 16 1:58:12 17 1:58:13 18 1:58:14 19 1:58:15 20 1:58:17 21 1:58:20 22 1:58:27 23 1:58:30 24	basically Q. flow; cor A. turbulen Q. case? A. Walton, Q. A. anything Q. claims ir injury of A. saying tl Hugger,	No. Only what I saw on Science Day, Okay. And you're not an expert in turbulent rect? In turbulent flow? No, I'm not an expert in tiflow. Okay. Have you read the Complaint in this I think I may have read it at the time of and I remember seeing that. Okay. More recently I don't think I looked at J. What is your understanding of plaintiffs' in this case with respect to the mechanism of a Bair Hugger causing a an infection? My understanding is that the plaintiffs are that there is heat generated from the Bair	11:59:48 7 11:59:50 8 11:59:54 9 11:59:57 10 12:00:01 11 12:00:04 12 12:00:05 14 12:00:06 15 12:00:07 16 12:00:08 17 12:00:10 18 12:00:11 19 12:00:12 20 12:00:13 21 12:00:15 23 12:00:15 24	and it w Q. dense th A. Q. A. Q. correct? A. Q. laws of t A. thermod Q. engineer A. Q.	as one of your earlier questions. Okay. Do you agree that hot air is less an cold air? If you know. Yes, I think. Less dense, yes. You've seen a hot air balloon; correct? Yes. Okay. And hot air balloons actually rise; Yeah, they do. Okay. You're not going to disagree with the chermodynamics; are you? I have no idea what the law of lynamics is. Okay. Okay. You're going to defer to the rs in this case. To you. To me? You'd defer Yeah.

ı	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
I	149		151
12:00:18	Unfortunately, I can't testify.	12:03:00 1	A. So if if there, you know, was a study
12:00:10 2	(Laughter.)	12:03:03 2	that was being planned, one of the things I would do
_	Q. Which is a good thing, because I think Corey	_	is link the what was found in the air,
4			•
12:00:24 4	would love to take my deposition.	12:03:13	microbiologically, with what was found somewhere else,
12:00:26 5	And you agree with me that skin squames have	12:03:17 5	not on the patient flora, if you could do that.
12:00:38 6	a mass; correct?	12:03:20 6	Because you're positing that things come up from the
12:00:41 7	A. "Have a mass"? You mean they're not just	12:03:23 7	floor. And link what's on the floor, link what's in
12:00:44	energy, is that what you're asking?	12:03:27	the air and link what's in the patient's wound, and
12:00:45	Q. Yes.	12:03:31 9	show me it's the same pick a organism, Staph
12:00:45 10	A. Yes.	12:03:38 10	aureus, with the same fingerprint.
12:00:46 11	Q. Okay. And you agree with me that gravity	12:03:40 11	Q. Okay. And how many patients do you think
12:00:48 12	exists in an operating room; correct?	12:03:41 12	you would need to do that study?
12:00:49 13	A. It exists everywhere.	12:03:43 13	A. I don't know.
12:00:50 14	Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your	12:03:44 14	Q. Like Like 50, a thousand, 10,000?
12:01:06 15	opinion, assuming that the plaintiffs' engineering	12:03:48 15	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
12:01:06 13			
	theory is correct that the hot air causes contaminated	12:03:50 16	the question, lack of foundation.
12:01:20 17	air from underneath the operating table to rise to	12:03:50 17	A. Well
12:01:23 18	above the operating room surgical table, is it correct	12:03:51 18	Q. And I'm talking about with respect to a
12:01:27 19	that your opinion is going to be that since you	12:03:53 19	total hip or total knee arthroplasty.
12:01:30 20	believe that most of the surgical-site infections are	12:03:55 20	A. You'd need a lot of patients to show to
12:01:35 21	caused by the patient's flora, that the effect of the	12:03:58 21	show that. And you have to do a multi-centered study,
12:01:39 22	Bair Hugger is irrelevant?	12:04:02 22	and we'll get a statistician to look at what you'd
12:01:40 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	12:04:07 23	expect. But I, off the cuff, wouldn't come up with an
12:01:42 24	the question, incomplete hypothetical.	12:04:11 24	answer.
12:01:46 25	A. I've told you separately I think most	12:04:12 25	Q. So you'd want to do microbiological sampling
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	150		152
12:01:49	infections come from the patient flora, no question.	12:04:15	of, like, what's underneath the operating room table;
12:01:53	Now you're asking me a hypothetical assuming that	12:04:17 2	correct?
12:01:56 3	everything that the plaintiffs say is correct, would	12:04:17 3	A. Yeah, because you said that's where it
12:02:00 4	that have an influence. And it might, but that's an	12:04:19 4	starts.
12:02:05 5	assumption.	12:04:19 5	Q. And you want to do microbio
^	·	12.04.15	7 Tild you want to do Tillerobio
	() So So if the plaintiffs are correct that	12:04:21	microhiological sampling of the nationt's flora in the
-	Q. So So if the plaintiffs are correct that	12:04:21 6	microbiological sampling of the patient's flora in the
12:02:10 7	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath	12:04:25 7	wound.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8	wound. A. Right.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion?	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated,	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11 12:04:29 12	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11 12:04:29 12 12:04:30 13	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air,
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11 12:04:29 12 12:04:30 13 12:04:32 14	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11 12:04:29 12 12:04:30 13	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air,
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the	12:04:25 7 12:04:25 8 12:04:27 9 12:04:28 10 12:04:29 11 12:04:29 12 12:04:30 13 12:04:32 14	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections.	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there?	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct?
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:21 11 12:02:21 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data?	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah.	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:27 13 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following.	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct?
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:21 11 12:02:21 13 12:02:27 13 12:02:38 16 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:43 19 12:02:46 20 12:02:47 21	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:33 14 12:02:33 14 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18 12:02:43 19 12:02:46 20 12:02:47 21 12:02:49 22	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said we're not there yet. That was your That was your	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right. Q. And so for total hip and total knee you
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:33 14 12:02:38 16 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18 12:02:43 19 12:02:44 20 12:02:49 22 12:02:49 22 12:02:49 23	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said we're not there yet. That was your That was your answer. So how do we What would you do today to	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right. Q. And so for total hip and total knee you might need 10,000 patients.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 19 12:02:42 20 12:02:45 21 12:02:52 23 12:02:55 24	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said we're not there yet. That was your That was your answer. So how do we What would you do today to determine the answer to that question? Not looking at	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right. Q. And so for total hip and total knee you might need 10,000 patients. A. A lot of patients.
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:33 14 12:02:38 16 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18 12:02:43 19 12:02:44 20 12:02:49 22 12:02:49 22 12:02:52 23	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said we're not there yet. That was your That was your answer. So how do we What would you do today to determine the answer to that question? Not looking at literature in the past, but what would you do today?	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right. Q. And so for total hip and total knee you might need 10,000 patients. A. A lot of patients. Q. Okay. And so that study would be very,
12:02:10 7 12:02:12 8 12:02:16 9 12:02:19 10 12:02:21 11 12:02:24 12 12:02:33 14 12:02:36 15 12:02:38 16 12:02:41 17 12:02:42 18 12:02:42 18 12:02:43 19 12:02:45 20 12:02:47 21 12:02:49 22 12:02:52 23 12:02:55 24	the Bair Hugger causes contaminants from underneath the operating room floor to actually go into the above and into the surgical site, that may have an effect on your opinion? A. If everything that you say was validated, and I don't I don't think we're there yet, in this hypothetical situation, it might contribute. We have no data, I think, to really convince people that the Bair Hugger actually leads to infections. Q. Okay. How do we get there? A. How do we get the data? Q. Yeah. A. Well what I've tried to do is do the following. Q. Well I understand what you did. You said we're not there yet. That was your That was your answer. So how do we What would you do today to determine the answer to that question? Not looking at	12:04:25	wound. A. Right. Q. Okay. And I think you said one other microbiologic sample. A. It would have to be in the air Q. Okay. A because you said it comes up in the air, in your hypothetical. Q. So what's in the air before you turn the Bair Hugger on; correct? A. Before and during. Q. Okay, during. And then you want to also determine which patients obtained infections; correct? A. Right. Right. Q. And so for total hip and total knee you might need 10,000 patients. A. A lot of patients.

	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 343-3	Hiled III	0/03/17 Page 42 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		157		00	159
12:09:23	Q.	Well if you don't know you can say you don't	12:11:07	Δ.	I didn't count them all, but they're you
12:09:25	know.	Well in you don't know you can say you don't	12:11:09 2		ey're they're numerous, yeah.
	_	Yeah. So I don't know,	•		Okay.
4	Q.	All right.			This was the intravenous study. Is that the
12:09:25 4		there aren't	-		•
12:09:26 5	Α.		12:11:19 5	-	re referring to?
12:09:27 6	Q.	That's fine.	12:11:20 6		Yeah. Hold on one second, just pulling it
12:09:28	Α.	But I thought we were talking hypotheticals,	12:11:22 7	up so tha	at we're on the same page.
12:09:30	and that		12:11:35		They had four groups; correct?
12:09:31		Well you mentioned you discussed the	12:11:38		I don't remember exactly, but.
12:09:34 10	rabbit st	rudies and the mice studies; correct?	12:11:40 10	Q.	You have route of infection number IV here
12:09:35 11	A.	Yeah. Right.	12:11:42 11	at nea	r the top; correct?
12:09:36 12	Q.	And many of those studies, and we can go	12:11:43 12	A.	Okay. All right.
12:09:39 13	through	them if you want, but let's try to get here	12:11:44 13	Q.	And
12:09:41 14	A.	Yeah. No. That's	12:11:45 14	Α.	Oh, I see what you're saying. These four,
12:09:43 15	Q.	out of here by six o'clock.	12:11:47 15	yeah.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
12:09:45 16	A.	Yeah. No. That's fine. Yeah.	12:11:48 16	Q.	And
12:09:46 17	Q.	Most of those studies indicated that when	12:11:52 17	٦.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
12:09:47 18		an implant the infectious dose is much less	18		MR. COREY GORDON: Is that roman numeral,
		•		ou io thoi	
12:09:49 19		en there's no implant.	19	or is that	tintravenous?
12:09:51 20	_	I think in general that's true.	20		THE WITNESS: Oh, that's No, it's "I-V,"
12:09:51 21	Q.	Okay.	21	intraven	
12:09:52 22	A.	There's probably less based on the animal	22		MR. ASSAAD: Oh, it's "I-V"? Okay.
12:09:54 23	studies,		23		THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's why I thought
12:09:56 24	Q.	And in fact if you looked at the rabbit	12:12:07 24	you mea	nt the studies here.
12:09:57 25	study, a	nd let's go to	12:12:07 25	BY MR. A	ASSAAD:
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	•	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		СО	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		158			160
12:10:14	Α.	I'm thinking you're probably looking for the	12:12:07	Q.	The reason why I ask is they also have
12:10:18 2	end of th	ne	12:12:09 2	groups I	, II, III, IV in Roman numerals.
	end of th				
12:10:19 3	_	Yeah, you're right.	12:12:12 3		THE WITNESS: I'm glad you said something
12:10:19 3 12:10:23 4	_	Yeah, you're right. (Interruption by the reporter.)	12:12:12 3 12:12:14 4	there [to	THE WITNESS: I'm glad you said something counsel].
4	_	(Interruption by the reporter.)	4	there [to	counsel].
12:10:23 4 12:10:23 5	Q. A.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report.	12:12:14 4 12:12:14 5	there [to	counsel]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
12:10:23 4 12:10:23 5 12:10:25 6	Q. A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77.	12:12:14 4 12:12:14 5 12:12:14 6	there [to	counsel]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for
12:10:23 4 12:10:23 5 12:10:25 6 12:10:25 7	Q. A. Q. A.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah.	12:12:14 4 12:12:14 5 12:12:14 6 12:12:14 7		counsel]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.)
12:10:23 4 12:10:23 5 12:10:25 6 12:10:25 7 12:10:26 8	Q. A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So	12:12:14 4 12:12:14 5 12:12:14 6 12:12:14 7 12:12:14 8	BY MR. A	counsel]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) SSSAAD:
12:10:23 4 12:10:23 5 12:10:25 6 12:10:25 7 12:10:26 8 12:10:29 9	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct?	12:12:14	BY MR. A	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. and	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from,	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi	counsel]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct?
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. and	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay.	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes.
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample.	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means.
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. it's a condose van	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID, means. It's the dose of organism that will infect
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. it's a condose van	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect nt of the subjects
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. it's a condose varyou're in	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a cor dose var you're ir Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal.	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect nt of the subjects
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a cor dose var you're ir Q. medullar	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply imprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay.
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con dose var you're ir Q. medullar they act	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct?	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which
12:10:23	A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con dose var you're ir Q. medullat they act A.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right.	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU?
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con dose van you're ir Q. medullan they act A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right. Okay. The other ones they did not keep the	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay. as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU? In this case, yes.
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con dose van you're ir Q. medullan they act A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right. Okay. The other ones they did not keep the sis in; correct? The other three	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q. A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU?
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a condose varyou're in Q. medullar they act A. Q. prosthes	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right. Okay. The other ones they did not keep the	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q. A. correct?	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report t 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect nt of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU? In this case, yes. Okay. So in this case it would be a CFU;
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a con dose van you're ir Q. medullan they act A. Q.	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right. Okay. The other ones they did not keep the sis in; correct? The other three They had four different routes of infection;	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q. A. correct?	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU? In this case, yes. Okay. So in this case it would be a CFU;
12:10:23	Q. A. Q. A. and Q. A. it's a condose vanyou're ir Q. medullanthey act A. Q. prosthes	(Interruption by the reporter.) The end of the report. Okay. Page 77. Yeah. Okay. So And you've looked at these studies; correct? I have. That's where I made the table from, Okay. And this doesn't I don't mean to imply mprehensive look, but it's a sample. And what I come away with is the infecting ries by which animal and which mechanism that infecting the animal. But in the Southwood study of 1985, when a ry inoculation with prosthesis, which means ually kept the prosthesis in; correct? Right. Okay. The other ones they did not keep the sis in; correct? The other three	12:12:14	BY MR. A Q. Southwo of Exhibi A. Q. the jury A. 50 perce Q. A. required Q. A. correct? A.	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) (Wenzel Exhibit 8 marked for identification.) ASSAAD: Doctor, Exhibit Number 8 is the is the od article referred on page 77 of your report to 1; correct? Yes. Okay. Let's look at Let's explain to the ladies and gentlemen of what ID ₅₀ means. It's the dose of organism that will infect not of the subjects Okay as opposed to the dose, you know, which to infect 10 percent or a hundred percent. And a dose would be considered a CFU? In this case, yes. Okay. So in this case it would be a CFU;

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 43 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	161		163
12:13:21 1	Q. Let's turn to Figure 2 on page 230 of	12:15:38 1	A. Yeah.
12:13:23 2	Exhibit 8. It's the second page.	12:15:38 2	Q. Okay. Which are very large numbers;
12:13:26 3	A. Table 2, or Figure 2?	12:15:42 3	correct?
12:13:28 4	Q. Or Figure 2. I'm sorry.	12:15:42 4	A. They're big numbers. Bigger than 10 to the
12:13:30 5	And they talk about four different types of	12:15:45 5	
12:13:33 6	ways they infected the rabbit; correct?	12:15:45 6	Q. So you agree with me then when at least
12:13:37 7	A. Yeah. I'm trying to remember the study.	12:15:47 7	in the rabbit case, that when the infective dose
12:13:39	Yeah.	12:15:53	when a bacteria gets on the implant is much lower than
12:13:39	Q. One was	12:15:58	when it's not on the implant.
12:13:40 10	The first one was medullary, they infected	12:16:00 10	A. That's what the study showed.
12:13:42 11	the actual implant; correct?	12:16:02 11	Q. And do you disagree with that study?
12:13:44 12	A. Yes.	12:16:03 12	A. No.
12:13:45 13	Q. Then they did medullary but they took out	12:16:04 13	Q. Okay. And in fact you agree with me that
12:13:46 14	the prosthesis; correct?	12:16:09 14	one skin squame can carry, you know, multiple CFUs.
12:13:48 15	A. Yes.	12:16:12 15	A. I think I've read that, that they can car
12:13:49 16 12:13:51 17	Q. And then they did a delayed intravenous and an intravenous; correct?	12:16:15 16	can carry, sometimes, several, up to three or four or something.
12:13:51 17	A. Yeah.	12:16:19 17	Q. Even more.
12:13:52 10	Q. Okay. And let's look down at the	12:16:20 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
12:13:56 20	calculations they did, and it says: "In Group I	12:16:22 20	the question.
12:13:59 21	(medullary peroperative inoculation) ID ₅₀ equals 1 .3	12:16:23 21	Q. I mean, you agree with me that there is 10
12:14:06 22	times 10 to the 1.114"; correct?	12:16:24 22	times more bacteria on our skin than actual skin
12:14:10 23	A. Where are we?	12:16:27 23	cells.
12:14:11 24	Q. The description of Figure 2. The small	12:16:28 24	A. Than actual what?
12:14:14 25	writing right below the figures.	12:16:29 25	Q. Than our skin cells.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	162		164
12:14:16	A. Oh, I see. Okay. The range of inocula?	12:16:30	A. Well it's not just skin, the what I cited
12:14:20 2	Yeah. (Witness reviewing exhibit.)	12:16:33 2	was the total flora on the body.
12:14:21 3	Q. Okay. That means how much bacteria	12:16:35	Q. I understand. But the total flora, there's
12:14:23 4	what's the effective dose for 50 percent when you	12:16:37 4	10 times more flora on our skin than actual skin
12:14:29 5	you add back add CFUs to the implant; correct? A. Yeah.	12:16:41 5	cells. A. Yeah.
-	Q. Okay. Have you calculated what 1.3 times 10	_	Q. Okay. And the flora is bacteria; correct?
12:14:34 /	to the 1.114 is?	12:16:41 / 12:16:45 8	A. When you say flora, it's bacteria, it's
12:14:38	A. No. It's low. It's a small number.	12:16:48	fungus
12:14:41 10	Q. Uh-huh. I'm going to calculate it for you,	12:16:48 10	Q. Okay.
12:14:44 11	let me see if you agree with me.	12:16:49 11	A some parts of the body it's virus.
12:14:46 12	A. It's probably 15 or 20.	12:16:50 12	Q. Okay. So in fact you could say that for
12:14:48 13	Q. 1.3 times 10 to the 1.114. [Calculating.]	12:16:54 13	every skin cell there's there's 10 flora, on
12:15:01 14	About 17; correct?	12:16:59 14	average.
12:15:02 15	A. I was pretty close.	12:17:02 15	A. So for every skin cell there are 10 Yeah.
12:15:03 16	Q. Okay. Or, I'm sorry, 1.7. Is it 1.7? I'm	12:17:07 16	Q. Okay.
12:15:08 17	sorry. Let me calculate it again. [Calculating.]	12:17:07 17	A. There might be more bacteria, yeah.
12:15:16 18	It's below 20; correct? Whatever it is, it	12:17:09 18	Q. So in fact a skin squame could carry more
12:15:25 19	is; correct?	12:17:11 19	than three or four bacteria.
12:15:26 20	A. It's low.	12:17:14 20	A. Okay. I haven't looked at that recently,
12:15:26 21	Q. That's a very low number; correct?	12:17:16 21	but yeah.
12:15:30 22	A. Yeah.	12:17:17 22	Q. But the math the math makes sense;
12:15:30 23	Q. Okay. Compared to the in the infection	12:17:19 23	correct?
12:15:32 24	dose for groups II, III and IV, which are 10 to the 5;	12:17:19 24	A. Okay.
12:15:37 25	correct?	12:17:19 25	Q. Do you agree?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
08/00/2017	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 08:27:40 AM Page 161 to	164 of 270	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 41 of 94 sheets
U8/U9/2017	U0;∠7;4U AM Page 161 to	104 OT 3/0	o 41 of 94 sheets

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC NEIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 44 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
12:17:20 1	Δ	I think I've seen up to	12:19:06 1	A genus and species and same fingerprint.
12:17:20	Q.	Okay.	12:19:06	Q. Let me ask you this question.
	Q. A.	four or five.	12:19:10 2	A. Yeah.
4	= ==	Okay. And some might have a cluster on it	12:19:12 4	Q. If Darouiche's study, the one that came out
2:17:24 4 2:17:26 5		ht have 20, 30.	12:19:14 5	recently which you emailed him about. Do you recall
•	_	Yeah, I don't know that.		that?
		Okay. I mean, bacteria go into clusters;	_	A. Yeah.
12:17:29 / 12:17:33 8	correct?	Okay. 1 mean, bacteria go into clusters,		Q. Okay. He did a microbiology study and it
•	A.	Thou do clump		indicated that the the the bacteria came from
12:17:33 9		They do clump.	12:19:21 9 12:19:26 10	
		Okay. And they could clump as few as 3 and		the air, you know, because of the increased bacterial
12:17:36	•	as hundreds.	12:19:30 11	load over over the surgical site. Would that
2:17:38 12		Yeah, I don't know about hundreds. I just	12:19:32 12	change your opinion in this case?
2:17:40 13	_	can't say I know that, but maybe.	12:19:34 13	A. What he showed was a correlation between
2:17:44 14	_	More than ten.	12:19:38 14	particles and bacteria and the four infections, and he
12:17:45 15	Α.	Yeah.	12:19:43 15	modeled that to get the correlation.
2:17:45 16	Q.	Probably more than twenty.	12:19:45 16	Q. And your criticism of him is that he didn't
2:17:46 17	Α.	I don't know.	12:19:48 17	do any microbiological testing.
2:17:48 18		Okay. So there is a difference with respect	12:19:49 18	A. That's one, yeah, sure. I think that's
12:17:53 19		fection dose of an implant if the bacteria	12:19:51 19	important.
2:17:58 20		an implant as compared to the if the	12:19:52 20	Q. Because you're not sure whether the bacteria
2:18:00 21		lands on on skin.	12:19:54 21	came from the flora or from the air; correct? The
12:18:02 22		That's not what they really showed. They	12:19:57 22	patient's flora or the air.
2:18:04 23		y "land on." They injected it.	12:19:58 23	A. Yeah.
2:18:07 24		Okay. Well	12:19:58 24	Q. Okay. If he did do microbiological testing
2:18:08 25	A.	That's different. Surgeons don't go in and	12:20:00 25	and indicated that the bacteria that caused the
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 166		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE 168
12:18:11 1	shoot a	number of organisms into the joint.	12:20:02	infections came from the bacteria that was in the air,
12:18:15		Well you agree with me that forget about	12:20:04	would that change your opinion with respect to whethe
		it the bacteria gets there, okay, whether	12:20:07 3	
2:18:21 4	-	's it's injected. I mean, the bacteria	12:20:10 4	on periprosthetic joint infections?
12:18:23 5		e joint in this case; correct? To the the	12:20:10 5	A. Well
12:18:27	prosthes		12:20:12 6	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
12:18:28 7	-	But how can I forget how they got there?	12:20:12 7	the question,
12:18:28	Q.	Okay.	12:20:12	A. Yeah.
12:18:30	Α .	I'm not sure	12:20:14	MR. COREY GORDON: misstate
12:18:31 10		So is that a limitation of the study?	12:20:14	mischaracterizes his testimony.
2:18:34 11	Δ .	Oh. Well if you want to posit that the air	12:20:16 11	THE WITNESS: Thank you. I didn't mean to
2:18:34 11		tant, nobody has done the infectious dose by	12:20:16 11	interrupt, but.
2:18:41 13	the air.	tant, hobody has done the infectious dose by	12:20:17 12	A. So one of the things you would like to know
2:18:41 13		Well that would be unethical, wouldn't it,	12:20:18 13	is if there's an organism in the air and if we did
2:18:43	in a hum		12:20:21 14	this hypothetical study where we actually had good
2:18:46 15		Well that would be unethical in a human, but	12:20:25 15	microbiology; did it start, first of all, in the flora
2:18:46 10 2:18:49 17		d count, in the study that I was proposing, or	12:20:29 16	of the patient, the microbiome, somehow get into the
2:18:49 17 2:18:52 18	-	er study, show me that one organism in the	12:20:32 17	air I mean, I can imagine how that might happen,
2:18:52 10 2:18:55 19		arkered orga markered species that landed	12:20:35 10	and then land or are we talking about a totally
2:18:55 13 2:19:01 20		the wound, not start with the wound and go	12:20:38 19	different organism that started on the ground, which
2:19:01 20 2:19:01 21		o the would, not start with the would and go	12:20:42 20 12:20:45 21	is what you postulated initially, got whipped up by a
2:19:01 21 2:19:01 22	out,	Let me ack you this		
2:19:01 22 2:19:04 23	Q.	Let me ask you this	12:20:49 22	device and then hung over the wound and then caused
2:10:04 /-5	A.	and then caused an infection with that	12:20:54 23	the infection.
	same	Okay	12:20:57 24	Q. Are you asking me a question?
2:19:06 24	\sim	LIVAV	12:20:59 25	A. Well, no. I'm just trying to answer you.
2:19:06 24	Q.	Okay.	12.20.39	
12:19:06 24 12:19:06 25		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	12.20.35	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc- CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 45 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	169		171
12:21:01	Q. Well let's see let's go to the Darouiche	12:22:56	or not any of those bacteria he found were involved in
12:21:04	article just a couple things.	12:23:00	the infections.
12:21:05	A. Okay.Q. You do understand that he found a	12:23:01 3	Q. Okay. So we need to do microbiological
12:21:05 4	correlation between bacterial load in the air and	12:23:04 4 12:23:06 5	testing. That's your criticism. A. Absolutely.
	periprosthetic joint infections, but no correlation		Q. Okay.
12:21:14 6 12:21:16 7	with superficial wound infections.	12:23:07 6 12:23:07 7	A. And, you know
12:21:18	A. That's what he said, yeah.	12:23:07	Q. Okay.
12:21:20 9	Q. Do you agree with that?	12:23:08	A what what, three Staph and one mixed
12:21:20 10	A. Yeah. No, he said that.	12:23:11 10	infection.
12:21:22 11	Q. Okay. But do you have any disagreement of	12:23:40 11	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
12:21:23 12	that,	12:23:47 12	MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break for lunch,
12:21:25 13	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	12:23:49 13	guys.
12:21:25 14	the question.	12:23:50 14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12:21:25 15	Q or criticism of that?	12:23:52 15	THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
12:21:27 16	A. He's reporting what he found, and I'm saying	12:23:55 16	(Luncheon recess taken at
12:21:29 17	if that's what he reported, that's what we'll go with.	17	approximately 12:23 p.m.)
12:21:31 18	Q. Well, doctor, you've done a huge literature	18	
12:21:34 19	review and you've agreed with some articles, you've	19	
12:21:37 20	disagreed with some articles. I'm asking: Do you	20	
12:21:39 21	disagree with that conclusion?	21	
12:21:40 22	A. On his? No.	22	
12:21:41 23	Q. Okay.	23	
12:21:41 24	A. I mean, that's what he found.	24	
12:21:42 25	Q. Okay. And you don't disagree with it.	25	OTIDEWALT & ACCOUNTED
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	170		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
12:21:44	A. Yeah.	1	AFTERNOON SESSION
12:21:44	Q. Okay. So you agree that the bacterial	2	(Deposition reconvened at
12:22:04 3	sampling over the surgical site in the Darouiche study	3	approximately 12:53 p.m.)
12:22:07 4	has a direct correlation with periprosthetic joint	4	BY MR. ASSAAD:
12:22:11 5	infection, you just don't know where that bacteria	12:53:42 5	Q. Are you ready to continue, doctor?
12:22:13 6	came from. Is that correct?	12:53:46 6	A. Sure. Thank you.
12:22:15 7	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	12:53:47 7	Q. Let's go to page 77 of your report regarding
12:22:16 8	the question.	12:53:50 8	the animal studies.
12:22:16 9	A. I surely don't know where the bacteria came	12:53:53	A. Okay.
12:22:19 10	from, and he certainly didn't match it to his four	12:53:54 10	Q. And you cited these studies because you
12:22:23 11	infections. It's a very small number of infections,	12:53:56 11	believe they help you formulate your opinion; correct?
12:22:25 12	but he didn't match it.	12:53:58 12	A. Yes.
12:22:27 13	Q. But we do know that when the bacterial load,	12:53:59 13	Q. And you believe that they're authoritative;
12:22:29 14	the CFUs were increased over the over the surgical	12:54:00 14	correct?
12:22:33 15	site that there was a statistically significant	12:54:01 15	A. Yes.
12:22:36 16	increase in periprosthetic joint infections; correct?	12:54:01 16	Q. Okay. Let's go to the New Zealand study of
12:22:38 17	A. That was his correlation, absolutely	12:54:07 17	white rabbits?
12:22:40 18 12:22:40 19	correct. Q. And you don't disagree with that.	12:54:09 18 12:54:10 19	MR. COREY GORDON: Exhibit 8? A. Oh, Craig? Okay.
12:22:40 19	A. No.	12:54:10 19	A. Oh, Craig? Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: Oh. I'm sorry.
12:22:41 20	Q. Okay. Your Your criticism is you don't	12:54:14 20 12:54:14 21	Q. And that's a They used 10 animals, and
12:22:42 21	know whether that bacteria came from the patient's	12:54:14 21	they inoculated the the rabbits with 10 times 5 to
12:22:48 23	flora or from somewhere else, and there needs to be	12:54:17 22	10 times 8 CFUs; correct?
12:22:52 24	further testing to determine that.	12:54:23 24	A. Yeah, I have 10 to the 2, 10 to the 4.
	_	12:54:28 25	Maybe I missed that somewhere.
12:22:53 25	A. has to be a lot more testing to know whether in	12:54:28	Maybe I missed that somewhere.
12:22:53 25	A. Has to be a lot more testing to know whether STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	12:54:28 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 46 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDEI
1	0	The third one down New Zealand		۸	Well the facus I had was on the infecting
12:54:30 1	Q. A.	The third one down, New Zealand Oh, third one down.	12:56:18 1	dose.	Well the focus I had was on the infecting
•		Yes.		Q.	Okay.
12:54:30 3 12:54:30 4	Д. А.	Oh, okay.	12:56:18 3	д. А.	That's what I was trying to get at.
12:54:32 5	Q.	I'm sorry, that's the second New Zealand.	12:56:24 5		Well this didn't really talk about infecting
12:54:34 6	д . А.	All right. Okay.	12:56:25		is was more of, like, what occurs when the
12:54:35	Q.	New Zealand likes their rabbits, I guess,	12:56:27		- when the when the rabbit gets infected,
12:54:37	huh?	Them Zediana intes their rassito, 1 gaess,	12:56:30	-	owing the infection by doing MRI; correct?
2:54:37		Yeah. Okay. Got it.	12:56:32	u	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
2:54:39 10		So you agree that study wasn't it was	12:56:33 10	the ques	_
2:54:41 11		how the mechanism of these implants getting	12:56:33 11		What
2:54:44 12	-	, they didn't look at inoculation dose.	12:56:34 12	Q.	Correct; "yes" or "no"?
2:54:48 13		Well a lot of studies in fact are trying to	12:56:35 13		In other words, I'm trying to find any data
2:54:51 14		igh a infected dose so they can actually track	12:56:37 14		ould, at least in a brief survey, of what it
2:54:56 15	-	oing on with these type of infections rather	12:56:40 15		infect the joint,
2:54:58 16	_	ling up the dose to know exactly what the ID _{so}	12:56:41 16		Okay. So you like
2:55:03 17	is, for ex	30	12:56:42 17	A.	and this was one of the studies.
2:55:04 18	Q.	Exactly.	12:56:44 18	Q.	So you like to take you like to take the
2:55:04 19		And this study, if you recall, they were	12:56:45 19	data tha	t supports your position
2:55:06 20	looking	about ho tracking the infection and they	12:56:46 20	A.	No.
2:55:10 21	did MRIs	and everything. Do you recall?	12:56:47 21	Q.	and then disregard data that doesn't
2:55:11 22	A.	Umm-hmm.	12:56:48 22	support	your position; correct?
2:55:14 23	Q.	"Yes"?	12:56:50 23	A.	No, that's not true.
2:55:14 24	A.	Yes.	12:56:51 24	Q.	So you think that
2:55:15 25	Q.	Okay.	12:56:52 25	A.	I've already shown you studies where there
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	•	I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	l-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		СО	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		174			176
2:55:28 1		(Discussion off the stenographic record.)	12:56:55 1	were dat	ta that I had, some clinical data, where it
2:55:28 2		(Wenzel Exhibit 9 marked for	12:56:57 2	_	ipport it, so you know that.
2:55:35		identification.)	12:56:59	Q.	But you disregard the the these
2:55:35 4		(Discussion off the stenographic record.)	12:57:01 4		here that did this study that said that the
2:55:35 5		ASSAAD:	12:57:05 5		hat the main source of contamination in total
2:55:35 6	_	Doctor, you've read this study; correct?	12:57:10 6	joint rep	lacement is wound infection via operating
2:55:37		I have.	12:57:12 7	room.	
2:55:38	_	And you relied upon this study; correct?	12:57:12	_	You disregard that; correct?
2:55:41 9	_	I did.	12:57:13		I disagree with that. That had nothing
2:55:41 10	Q.	Okay. Let's go to the "Discussion" section	12:57:16 10		- They didn't look at where the organisms
2:55:47 11		3 of this study.	12:57:18 11		om here. They had them in the syringe and
2:55:52 12		Okay.	12:57:21 12	injected	
2:55:54 13		On the second paragraph under "Discussion"	12:57:22 13		Okay. But that's why they injected them the
2:55:56 14	-	"Because the main source of contamination in	12:57:25 14	way they	y did; correct?
2:56:00 15	_	nt replacement is wound infection via	12:57:26 15		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
2:56:03 16	-	g room air, we attempted to mimic	12:57:27 16	-	stion, also lack of foundation.
2:56:05 17	-	rative contamination by inoculating the	12:57:28 17		I mean
2:56:07 18		into the joint immediately after wound	12:57:28 18		I don't know why they did what they did, but
2:56:10 19	closure.		12:57:30 19	-	say that they they think it's airborne. I
2:56:10 20		Did I read that correctly?	12:57:34 20	_	with that.
2:56:13 21		Yes. That's what they say.	12:57:34 21		It says
2:56:13 22		You disagree with that; don't you?	12:57:34 22		They injected animals, and that's the kind
2:56:15 23	Α.	I do.	12:57:37 23		that they used to get infection.
	Q.	Okay. So disagree with a study that you	12:57:38 24		"we attempted to mimic perioperative
2:56:15 24					
2:56:15 24	think is	authoritative; correct?	12:57:40 25	contamii	nation by inoculating the bacteria in the joint
12:56:15 24 12:56:16 25		authoritative; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	12:57:40 25		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5 - I	Filed 10/03/17 Page 47 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	177		179
12:57:42 1	immediately after wound closure."	12:59:53	model, yes, you can create an infection by injecting
	Did I read that correctly?		organisms directly into the joint or injecting
12:57:44	A. Yes.		organisms into the vein. That's not what surgeons do
12:57:44 3	Q. And they did that because the main source of		when they're putting a prosthesis in. They don't take
12:57:45 4	•		
12:57:47 5	contamination, according to them, in total re joint		a syringe of Staph, inject it directly into the joint
12:57:51 6	replacement is wound infection via operating room air;	_	or put it into the IV.
	correct? A. That's what they said.	13:00:11	Q. Can we agree at least that it's at least a
•	•		magnitude of 100 times less between a superficial and
12:57:56 9	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of		a prosthetic?
12:57:58 10	the question, lack of foundation.	13:00:19 10	A. I don't know I don't know what the number
12:58:21 11	Q. Going to page 78.		is, so I've told you that. I think it's going to be
12:58:23 12	A. Okay.		less. I don't know.
12:58:28 13	Q. Under the sheep model,	13:00:24 13	Q. How much less?
12:58:30 14	A. Yeah.	13:00:27 14	A. I don't know.
12:58:31 15	Q Williams D. L.,	13:00:27 15	You asked me to, you know, come up with a
12:58:33 16	A. Yeah.		number, and then you say, well don't guess, because
12:58:33 17	Q the Journal of Biomedical Materials;		there just aren't the data.
12:58:36 18	correct?	13:00:33 18	Now the other thing to tell you related to
12:58:36 19	A. Yes.		You want to jump from here to people, which is
12:58:37 20	Q. They inoculated the sheep with only 10 CFU;		fine
12:58:40 21	correct?	13:00:38 21	Q. I don't want to jump to people yet.
12:58:40 22	A. Yeah, on the membrane.	13:00:40 22	A you know, but, you know, to infect a
12:58:43 23	Q. Okay. And that's not that many CFU;		rabbit by injecting it into the joint, I would say,
12:58:45 24	correct?		yes, it takes very few bacteria.
12:58:46 25	A. That's a low number.	13:00:50 25	Q. Okay.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 178		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 180
12:58:47		13:00:50	
12:58:47 1 12:58:54 2	178		180
	178 Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate		180 A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or
12:58:54 2	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case.
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection?	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4 12:59:05 5	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4 13:00:57 5	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria.
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4 12:59:05 5 12:59:09 6	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4 13:00:57 5 13:00:58 6	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah.
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4 12:59:05 5 12:59:09 6 12:59:16 7	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection.	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4 13:00:57 5 13:00:58 6 13:01:01 7	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4 12:59:05 5 12:59:09 6 12:59:16 7 12:59:19 8	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4 13:00:57 5 13:00:58 6 13:01:01 7 13:01:01 8 13:01:04 9	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah.
12:58:54 2 12:58:58 3 12:59:03 4 12:59:05 5 12:59:09 6 12:59:16 7 12:59:19 8 12:59:22 9	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and?	13:00:53 2 13:00:54 3 13:00:55 4 13:00:57 5 13:00:58 6 13:01:01 7 13:01:01 8 13:01:04 9	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits;
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct?
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to?
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed";	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct?	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay?
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the infecting dose is; correct?	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population to infect; correct?
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the infecting dose is; correct? A. That's true. Q. But we could agree, based on some of the	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population to infect; correct? A. Of animals, right.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the infecting dose is; correct? A. That's true. Q. But we could agree, based on some of the rabbit models, that it could be as low as 17.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population to infect; correct? A. Of animals, right. Q. Okay. So that means 17 CFUs would infect 50 percent of the rabbits in that scenario.
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the infecting dose is; correct? A. That's true. Q. But we could agree, based on some of the rabbit models, that it could be as low as 17.	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population to infect; correct? A. Of animals, right. Q. Okay. So that means 17 CFUs would infect 50
12:58:54	Q. Okay. And in fact isn't it fair or accurate that in this point in time you have absolutely no opinion to the amount of CFUs required to cause a periprosthetic joint infection? A. What I would say is that I think I think it's fewer organisms to cause a periprosthetic infection than with a non-periprosthetic infection. If you asked me to come up with a number, it's harder to find that. You want me to pick a number and? Q. I don't want you to guess. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, I'm looking at your last paragraph. A. Yeah. Q. I mean, you do say, "It is generally thought that with a foreign body (joint prosthesis), the infecting dose of bacteria is less than that for surgeries in which no foreign device is placed"; correct? A. And I stand by that. Q. Okay. You just don't know what the infecting dose is; correct? A. That's true. Q. But we could agree, based on some of the rabbit models, that it could be as low as 17. A. No, that's not true. In the experimental	13:00:53	A. That's what I'll know from this study. Or sheep, in this case. Q. And as little When you're injecting as little as 17 bacteria. A. They're very low numbers, yeah. Q. But the rabbit study we showed 17 A. Yeah. Q bacteria based on the IV for 50 percent of the population from rabbits; A. Yeah. Q correct? A. I think that's right. Where was that where you're referring to? Q. On the first one, the Southwood. A. The Southwood. Okay. Yeah. Q. Okay? A. Yeah. Q. And that's for 50 percent of the population to infect; correct? A. Of animals, right. Q. Okay. So that means 17 CFUs would infect 50 percent of the rabbits in that scenario. A. If you inject them.

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC DIFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	: 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 48 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		181		183
13:01:34	Q.	If you inject them.	13:04:57	which he compared biological load and surgical-site
13:01:35		Which means that there is a percentage of	13:05:00 2	and periprosthetic joint infections; correct?
13:01:36 3	people t	hat percentage of rabbits that require less	13:05:03	A. Yes.
13:01:40 4	than		13:05:05 4	Q. And you found out that all patients were
13:01:40 5	A.	Might be.	13:05:05 5	used were given a warming device; correct?
13:01:41 6	Q.	17 CFU	13:05:06	A. That's what he said.
13:01:42 7	A.	Might be.	13:05:08 7	Q. Okay. That's all I have.
13:01:42	Q.	to cause an infection.	13:05:18	What is the difference between a superficial
13:01:43	A.	Yeah.	13:05:22	surgical-site infection and a periprosthetic joint
13:01:44 10	Q.	Okay.	13:05:24 10	infection?
13:01:51 11		(Interruption by the reporter.)	13:05:25 11	A. Well a deep infection would be that at the
13:01:51 12	BY MR.	ASSAAD:	13:05:28 12	fascia level or below.
13:01:53 13	Q.	And in fact if you go back to Exhibit Number	13:05:29 13	Q. Is a deep joint infection different than a
13:01:56 14		ee that under Figure 2 that as little as one	13:05:33 14	
13:02:12 15		ld cause an infection in the rabbits under the	13:05:33 15	
13:02:20 16		ry graph.	13:05:35 16	
13:02:22 17		1.3 times 10 to the something.	13:05:36 17	
13:02:24 18		No. I'm looking at the graph itself. You	13:05:37 18	
13:02:26 19		re You see where it says "Medullary (no	13:05:40 19	•
13:02:30 20		sis)", it starts around 20?	13:05:41 20	
13:02:32 21	•	Yeah.	13:05:42 21	•
13:02:32 22		Okay. That means for anything below 20	13:05:44 22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13:02:35 23		to the X there was no infection; correct?	13:05:45 23	·
13:02:39 24	_	Yes.	13:05:46 24	
13:02:39 25	Q.	But with the medullary where there was a	13:05:47 25	
10.02.00	٠	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	10.00.11	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		182		184
13:02:41	prosthes	sis you agree that it almost starts at zero.	13:05:49	superficial surgical-site infection; correct? Which
13:02:44 2	Α.	It's very low.	13:05:53 2	is
13:02:45	Q.	Very low. Less than 17.	13:05:53	A. There are superficial.
13:02:47	A.	Yes.	13:05:55 4	Q pretty much the skin area and the first
13:02:47 5		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:05:56 5	
13:02:47 6	the ques	-	13:05:57 6	
13:02:49 7	-	Okay. 17 CFUs was for the 50 percent;	13:05:57	Q. Okay. Then you have a deep joint, which can
13:02:51	correct?	, , ,	13:06:00 8	
13:02:53	A.	That's what they found.	13:06:03	So you could have a deep a deep
13:02:53 10	Q.	Okay.	13:06:07 10	
13:02:53 11		(Wenzel Exhibit 10 marked for	13:06:10 11	not include the joint; correct?
13:02:53 12		identification.)	13:06:11 12	_
13:02:53	BY MR.	ASSAAD:	13:06:11 13	
13:04:29 14		What's been marked as Exhibit 10 are emails	13:06:13 14	, , , ,
13:04:31 15	-•	you and Dr. Darouiche that was provided to us.	13:06:14 15	
13:04:35 16		k like the email that you've had between him?	13:06:15 16	_
13:04:37 17		Yes.	13:06:16 17	_
13:04:38 18		And I just want to talk about one thing.	13:06:17 18	
13:04:41 19		- During	13:06:20 19	
13:04:42 20	- 29	You questioned him about this study in	13:06:20 20	_
13:04:45 21	formulat	ring your opinions in this case; correct?	13:06:20 21	
13:04:45 21 13:04:47 22		Yeah.	13:06:21 22	
13:04:47 22	_	Okay. And in fact one of your questions was	13:06:21 22	
13.04.47		or not a forced-air warming device was used in	13:06:23 23	
12:04:50	wiletilet	_	13:06:25 24 13:06:26 25	
	the one		13:06:26 43	se. Unav. Dula deed jour illection may 101
	the oper	rating room during his during the study in	10.00.20	
13:04:50 24 13:04:52 25		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	10.000.20	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 49 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
4	185		187
3:06:26	include the peripros	13:09:12	A. Is it possible that
3:06:26 2	A. May not.	13:09:14 2	Q. Yes.
:06:37 3	Q. Okay.	13:09:15	A that it could happen?
:06:37 4	(Interruption by the reporter.)	13:09:16 4	Q. Yes.
:06:38 5	Q. A deep joint infection may not include a		A. I can't cite a study but, you know, I never say "always" or "never."
:06:41 6 :06:43 7	periprosthetic joint infection; correct? A. Yes.	13:09:19 6	•
		_	Q. Well, for example, if a person handling the
0	Q. Okay. And in fact you agree with me that you could have a periprosthetic joint infection and	13:09:31 8 13:09:38 9	implant prior to placing it into the into the joint, if the person's hands are not sterile and has
:06:48 9	not have a superficial surgical-site infection.	13:09:38 9 13:09:41 10	
	A. Yes.	13:09:41 10	contaminants you might contaminate the implant; correct?
06:54 11	Q. Okay. And in fact you could have a	13:09:43 11 13:09:44 12	A. So in a hypothetical situation if somebody
.06:55 12		13:09:44 12	
06:59 13	periprosthetic joint infection and not have a a	13:09:47 13	contaminates the implant, the implant is contaminated Q. Yes.
:07:03 14	deep wound infection.	13:09:50 14	A. Yes.
:07:06 15	A. Yeah, I can't cite anything where I know	13:09:50 15	
07:08 16 07:11 17	that, yeah. Q. And you agree that with respect to a	13:09:53 16	Q. Okay. And, I mean, with everything, even
			instruments, we sterilize instruments because we don
07:15 18 07:19 19	periprosthetic joint infection, that the most likely	13:09:57 18 13:09:59 19	want contaminated instruments to cause infection; correct?
	time that a a patient obtained the bacteria that		
07:22 20 07:26 21	causes the periprosthetic joint infection was during	13:09:59 20 13:10:00 21	A. That's right.Q. There's been studies that sterilization of
07:26 2 1 07:28 22	the time that the patient was in surgery.	13:10:00 2 1 13:10:03 22	
	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of		instruments reduces the incident of infection;
07:29 23	the question.	13:10:06 23	correct?
07:30 24	A. Yeah, most people think that's the time when	13:10:06 24	A. I think so.
07:33 25	things happen.	13:10:08 25	Q. I mean, otherwise I mean I mean,
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
4	New death discourse with that		188
07:34 1	Q. You don't disagree with that.	13:10:11 1	that's just common knowledge; correct?
07:37 2	A. No.	13:10:12 2	A. Yes.
07:38 3	Q. Okay. Now let's just assume that we're	13:10:13	Q. I mean in fact there's really no prospective
07:43 4	dealing with a a periprosthetic joint infection	13:10:17 4	study that washing hands reduces the incident of
07:46 5	that is not also a superficial wound infection. You	13:10:20 5	infection; is there?
07:53 6	agree that the bacteria that causes the infection	13:10:21 6	A. I think there's lots of studies that show
08:02	occurred perioperatively.	13:10:23 7	that.
08:05	A. Yes,	13:10:24	Q. Prospective or retrospective?
08:06 9	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:10:27 9	A. Probably I would go back to Semmelweis.
08:07 10	the question.	13:10:27 10	Q. Okay.
08:07 11	A I think so.	13:10:27 11	(Interruption by the reporter.)
08:08 12	Q. As compared to someone having an untreated	13:10:34 12	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
08:11 13	superficial wound infection that tunneled down to the	13:10:34 13	A. Do you understand his studies?
08:12 14	joint.	13:10:34 14	Q. I know the study, but wasn't that
08:14 15	A. I see what you're saying, yes.	13:10:37 15	retrospective?
08:15 16	Q. Okay. So you agree with that; correct?	13:10:38 16	A. He was there through the whole time.
D8:18 17	A. Yeah.	13:10:42 17	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
08:19 18	Q. And what is your opinion on what is getting	13:10:47 18	Q. But in any event, we agree that if devices
08:40 19	infect what where the bacteria is where the	13:10:51 19	that are used during a surgical procedure are
08:49 20	bacteria is when a periprosthetic joint infection	13:10:56 20	contaminated, they may cause infections.
08:52 21	And let me rephrase. That was a bad question. Strike	13:11:00 21	A. If you have a contaminated instrument, it's
08:55 22	that.	13:11:03 22	certainly possible that something might happen and the
08:55 23	You agree it's possible that the implant	13:11:06 23	patient could get infected.
9:06 24	itself could have bacteria on it before it's even	13:11:07 24	Q. And that that would be considered an
09:09 25	placed in the joint.	13:11:09 25	exogenous source; correct?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	1	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		OTINEWNET & MOODOINTED

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 50 of 95
			CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	189	1	And the reason why he changes his gloves is
13:11:12	A. It would be considered an exogenous source, but let's make sure that we have the terms down. If	13:13:19 1	And the reason why he changes his gloves is because he doesn't want to place any bacteria on the
13:11:14 2 13:11:19 3	the If the instrument that you are saying in this	13:13:22 2 13:13:25 3	implant; correct?
13:11:19 3 13:11:23 4	hypothetical case actually was contaminated with the	13:13:25 4	A. I think he wants to minimize any
13:11:26 5	patient's own flora, then we have to have a little bit	13:13:28 5	possibility.
13:11:29 6	more strict definition.	13:13:28 6	Q. Okay. And then after the im
13:11:31 7	Q. And I understand that. And that's why after	13:13:29 7	And then the implant is placed, and that
13:11:34 8	usually the first incision they change the scalpel so	13:13:31 8	bacteria, at a later point in time, may cause biofilm,
13:11:36	they don't infect the wound with the patient's flora;	13:13:35	which would make it very difficult for the body to
13:11:39 10	correct?	13:13:37 10	fight off.
13:11:40 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:13:38 11	A. In that scenario it could happen.
13:11:41 12	the question, assumes facts not in evidence.	13:13:40 12	Q. Okay. And in fact they do all this to not
13:11:43 13	A. As far as I know that's correct, yeah.	13:13:46 13	infect the patient; correct?
13:11:45 14	Q. Okay. I mean, you do understand that	13:13:47 14	A. Surgeons hate to have an infection.
13:11:48 15	orthopedic surgeons and the hospital staff in an	13:13:49 15	Q. And have you yourself looked at an implant
13:11:51 16	operating room have place procedures and techniques	13:13:55 16	under an electron microscope?
13:11:57 17	to reduce the risks of infection during an operating	13:13:57 17	A. No.
13:12:02 18	procedure.	13:13:57 18	Q. Okay. Are you aware that an implant is not
13:12:03 19	A. Surgeons hate to have an infection.	13:14:00 19	smooth and there are many crevices for bacteria to
13:12:05 20	Q. Okay.	13:14:05 20	place themselves in?
13:12:06 21	A. They really never want to have one.	13:14:06 21	A. Well I haven't looked at one, but it doesn't
13:12:08 22	Q. And in fact are you aware that many	13:14:09 22	surprise me, but I haven't looked at one.
13:12:09 23	surgeons, before they touch the implant, change their	13:14:11 23	Q. Okay. And you understand that the reason
13:12:12 24 13:12:12 25	gloves? A. Yes.	13:14:12 24 13:14:19 25	why the body has a difficult time removing an infection or bacteria from an implant is because
13:12:12 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	13:14:19 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 190		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 192
13:12:13 1		13:14:23 1	
13:12:13 1 13:12:18 2	190	13:14:23 1 13:14:25 2	192
_	190 $oldsymbol{Q}_{oldsymbol{.}}$ Okay. Because they don't want to infect the	_	192 there's very little vascularity to the implant.
13:12:18 2	190 Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct?	13:14:25 2	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead.
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4 13:12:21 5	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct?	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4 13:14:29 5 13:14:31 6 13:14:32 7	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection.	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4 13:14:29 5 13:14:31 6 13:14:32 7 13:14:36 8	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None.
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4 13:12:21 5 13:12:23 6 13:12:26 7 13:12:28 8 13:12:29 9	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4 13:14:29 5 13:14:31 6 13:14:32 7 13:14:36 8 13:14:36 9	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4 13:12:21 5 13:12:23 6 13:12:26 7 13:12:28 8 13:12:29 9 13:12:32 10	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant.	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4 13:14:29 5 13:14:31 6 13:14:32 7 13:14:36 8 13:14:36 9 13:14:38 10	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective.
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm.	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin.
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that.	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.)
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin.
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that.	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry.
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin.
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4 13:12:21 5 13:12:23 6 13:12:26 7 13:12:28 8 13:12:29 9 13:12:32 10 13:12:32 11 13:12:41 12 13:12:45 13 13:12:45 13 13:12:45 14 13:12:57 16 13:13:01 17 13:13:02 18 13:13:05 19 13:13:09 20	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question.	13:14:25 2 13:14:27 3 13:14:27 4 13:14:29 5 13:14:31 6 13:14:32 7 13:14:36 8 13:14:36 10 13:14:45 11 13:14:47 12 13:14:48 13 13:14:50 14 13:14:51 15 13:14:51 17 13:15:01 18 13:15:02 19 13:15:02 20	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients
13:12:18 2 13:12:19 3 13:12:21 4 13:12:21 5 13:12:23 6 13:12:26 7 13:12:28 8 13:12:29 9 13:12:32 10 13:12:32 11 13:12:41 12 13:12:45 13 13:12:45 13 13:12:57 16 13:13:01 17 13:13:02 18 13:13:05 19 13:13:09 20 13:13:09 21	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question. The surgeon changes his gloves because he	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients and I don't know totally what the follow-up is, so
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question. The surgeon changes his gloves because he doesn't want to contaminate the implant; correct?	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients and I don't know totally what the follow-up is, so but 6 to 12 months later, without having to take the
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question. The surgeon changes his gloves because he doesn't want to contaminate the implant; correct? A. I think that's correct.	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients and I don't know totally what the follow-up is, so but 6 to 12 months later, without having to take the implant out. This is a hot area that people are
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question. The surgeon changes his gloves because he doesn't want to contaminate the implant; correct? A. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And the reason why you don't want to	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients and I don't know totally what the follow-up is, so but 6 to 12 months later, without having to take the implant out. This is a hot area that people are trying to look at, because it's devastating to have
13:12:18	Q. Okay. Because they don't want to infect the implant; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Because if you if bacteria gets on the implant, it may form biofilm and cause a serious periprosthetic joint infection; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objection. A. What I would say about biofilm, biofilm is occurs after the organisms are onto the implant. So contaminated hands don't cause a biofilm. The organisms land on a site, there is a process under which quorum sensing occurs, and you know what I'm talking about. And with quorum sensing then the biofilm is formed. It's sort of like a broadcast email to the other organisms to start making biofilm. Q. And I understand that. My question was that the I'm not saying that the surgeon transfers biofilm. Listen to my question. The surgeon changes his gloves because he doesn't want to contaminate the implant; correct? A. I think that's correct. Q. Okay. And the reason why you don't want to cause an im STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	13:14:25	there's very little vascularity to the implant. A. It's the THE WITNESS: Go ahead. I'm sorry. MR. COREY GORDON: No. Go ahead. A. It's the low vascularity and the biofilm I think are a couple of key Q. Is there any vascularity to an implant? A. None. Q. Okay. So you would agree with me that once someone has an infected implant, giving the patient antibiotics without any type of vascularity is pretty much ineffective. A. That's not true. There are people in Switzerland that have actually gone to drugs that penetrate the biofilm. Examples of such antibiotics include the fluoroquinolones and rifampin. (Interruption by the reporter.) THE WITNESS: Fluoroquinolones. Sorry. Fluoroquinolones and rifampin. A. And they've been able to spare patients and I don't know totally what the follow-up is, so but 6 to 12 months later, without having to take the implant out. This is a hot area that people are trying to look at, because it's devastating to have the implant removed. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC DIFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 51 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		193		195
13:15:25 1	Q.	I understand. And And that's in	13:17:20 1	he He can't answer a compound question, and he
13:15:29 2		And that's in Switzerland, you said?	13:17:22 2	can't answer a one-size-fits-all question.
13:15:31 3	Α.	Yeah.	13:17:24 3	MR. ASSAAD: I'll Fair enough.
13:15:31 4	Q.	Okay. But in the United States are we using	13:17:25 4	BY MR. ASSAAD:
13:15:33 5		rugs yet?	13:17:26 5	_
13:15:34 6		We are.	13:17:30 6	A. I haven't actually, no.
13:15:35 7	Q.	Okay. And you don't know how effective they	13:17:31 7	Q. Have you seen a total knee surgery?
13:15:37	are.	oray. That you don't know how checkive they	13:17:33	A. No.
13:15:38		They look effective, and so when we're	13:17:34	Q. Have you seen how a patient's prepped during
13:15:36 3		these infections, we're you know, trying	13:17:34 3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
13:15:40 10	_		13:17:35 10	
		hings down if it's already infected, we will	13:17:37 1 1 13:17:40 12	A. Only the, you know, the description that Dr.
13:15:46 12		e a drug that penetrates biofilm; one of those		,
13:15:49 13		gs, plus other antibiotics. So that's going	13:17:42 13	
13:15:53 14	on.		13:17:42 14	,
13:15:54 15		Are there patients in this country where you	13:17:45 15	, ,
13:15:57 16		r some reason, maybe a very old person who	13:17:48 16	
13:16:01 17		tolerate a surgery, as an example. Are they	13:17:50 17	
13:16:05 18	_	these drugs? Yes, they are, to try to spare	13:17:52 18	
13:16:08 19	them to	have a surgery. With some success.	13:17:52 19	A. Yes.
13:16:10 20	Q.	Are these drugs done intravenously, or is it	13:17:53 20	Q. Okay. And there's other types of of skin
13:16:13 21	direc	are they inoculated directly with the	13:17:55 21	preps as well; correct?
13:16:15 22	antibioti	c right onto the implant?	13:17:56 22	A. Some people use iodophors.
13:16:17 23	A.	Actually both are bio-available orally.	13:17:56 23	Q. With alcohol?
13:16:20 24	Q.	Okay.	13:18:02 24	(Interruption by the reporter.)
13:16:20 25	A.	The fluoroquinolones and rifampin.	13:18:03 25	A. Today, Iodophor. And I think the tendency
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		194		196
13:16:23	Q.	But usually	13:18:06 1	is today if you're going to use an iodophor to use one
13:16:26 2		You agree with me that most like the	13:18:09 2	with an alcohol.
13:16:26 3	standard	d of care and the most predominant treatment	13:18:10 3	Q. Okay. And in fact do you agree with me that
13:16:29 4	for a per	riprosthetic joint infection is a two-stage	13:18:13 4	the CDC has stated that there's really no difference
13:16:32 5	revision.		13:18:15 5	between the iodophor with alcohol and the chlorhex
13:16:33 6	A.	Usually that's	13:18:18 6	with alcohol?
13:16:34 7		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:18:19 7	A. I'm not sure that's how they phrased it, but
13:16:34	the ques		13:18:21 8	they recommend a prep with an alcohol.
13:16:35		THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. Sorry.	13:18:24	· -
13:16:36 10		MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation.	13:18:28 10	
13:16:38 11	Δ	I don't know if	13:18:29 11	A. Yeah. I think they opened the door to have
13:16:39 12	Α.	I think that is a standard. I don't know	13:18:31 12	• •
13:16:41 13	across tl	he country how many people are doing that, but	13:18:31 13	<u>.</u>
13:16:41 13		n happened	13:18:31 13 13:18:34 14	
13:16:44 14 13:16:44 15		тпаррепец Okay.	13:18:34 14 13:18:36 15	
				,
13:16:45 16	_	that way.	13:18:38 16	
13:16:53 17	Q.	Now are you familiar with the preparation a	13:18:39 17	A. Yeah. I actually think that that there's
13:16:57 18		goes through with respect to skin prep and	13:18:43 18	probably advantages of chlorhexidine alcohol over
13:17:06 19	araping	for a total knee or total hip arthroplasty?	13:18:46 19	iodine alcohol, and that's based on the two MIMO
13:17:10 20		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:18:50 20	studies that I cite.
13:17:11 21	the ques		13:18:51 21	Q. And you actually reviewed the CDC
13:17:11 22	A.	I'm not a sur	13:18:56 22	prevention Guideline For the Prevention of
13:17:13 23		MR. ASSAAD: Basis?	13:18:57 23	Surgical-Site Infection in preparation of your report;
13:17:13 24		MR. COREY GORDON: A, it's compound; B,	13:18:59 24	
13:17:16 25	you're	- it's a one-size-fits-all question. So if	13:19:00 25	A. Yes.
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
08/09/2017		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	o 196 of 370	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 197	. 949-5	Filed 10	0/03/17 Page 52 of 95 NPIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 199
13:19:00 1	Q.	It's actually on Exhibit 2; correct?	13:21:23	Α.	infections with Propionibacterium
13:19:05	Д .	Do you want me to go to that?	13:21:23	Q.	So would you agree with me that
3:19:05 2	Q.	Well it's on your on your list.	13:21:23	A.	otherwise.
4	д. А.	Okay. Yeah. Yeah.			that if a patient had P. acnes infection
3:19:08 4 3:19:46 5	Q.	What is the mechanism Well, strike that.	_		robably did not come from the patient, or if
	Q.			-	was through some sort of direct contact
3:19:49 6	noros s	Skin flora is on the skin and may be in the	_	it uiu, it	_
13:19:55	-	orrect, either the sweat glands or the		0	MR. COREY GORDON: Object
3:19:58 8 3:19:58 9		correct? Yes.	13:21:36	Q. A.	of a hip or knee? Oh. Oh.
	_		13:21:37 9	Α.	
3:19:59 10	Q.	Does it go any deeper than that?	13:21:37 10	#la a	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
3:20:01 11	Α.	Normally, no.	13:21:38 11	the ques	
3:20:03 12	Q.	Okay. So we have the we have flora	13:21:38 12		No. I mean, it's not I think I've cited
3:20:04 13		the skin and in the sweat glands and and	13:21:41 13		ally it can happen in either hips or knees, I
3:20:07 14		le the hair follicles and nowhere else.	13:21:45 14	forgot w	
3:20:10 15	_	And sebaceous glands.	13:21:45 15	_	I think articles on shoulder surgery.
3:20:12 16	Q.	What are the sebaceous glands?	13:21:47 16	Α.	Pardon me?
3:20:13 17	Α.	What are they?	13:21:48 17		It was shoulder surgery that you were citing
3:20:14 18	Q.	Yeah.	13:21:51 18	it to.	
3:20:14 19	A.	They're the glands that are primarily found	13:21:51 19		Yeah, but also if you look at Tande and
3:20:17 20		rete they're also below the dermis. They	13:21:53 20	-	think I found 1 percent.
3:20:22 21		I have a picture of it, I think.	13:21:54 21		How many percent?
3:20:25 22	Q.	I believe that's where we're going right	13:21:55 22	Α.	One percent. So it's very low. In In
3:20:27 23	now.		13:21:58 23	either hi	ps or knees, I don't remember which cite I
3:20:27 24	A.	Yeah. And	13:22:00 24	had.	
13:20:30 25		Do you want to wait and go to the picture?	13:22:00 25	Q.	But your
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	•	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1	I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CO	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		198			200
3:20:42 1		MR. GOSS: 23?	13:22:01 1		But that could have come from I mean that
3:20:42 2	Q.	23.	13:22:05	there	was no microbiologic study done in that case
3:20:46 3	A.	Yeah.	13:22:07 3	in which	you know it came from the patient, it could
3:20:47 4	Q.	Okay.	13:22:09 4	have cor	me from one of the staff members by direct
3:20:48 5	A.	So do you want me to explain what sebaceous	13:22:12 5	contact.	
3:20:50 6	glands a	re?	13:22:12 6	Α.	There are no
3:20:50 7	Q.	Well I asked	13:22:13 7		Not that I'm aware of any microbiologic
3:20:51		So they're they're between the skin	13:22:17	studies t	to confirm that the same one came there. But,
3:20:53	surface	and the fat; correct?	13:22:20 9	you know	w, we have sebaceous glands primarily in this
3:20:58 10	A.	Yeah. They're below the the dermis	13:22:23 10	area [ind	dicating], but they're not zero other places
3:21:02 11	there, th	e the skin surface, right.	13:22:25 11	of	
3:21:04 12		And you're saying that bac that flora	13:22:26 12	Q.	I understand that. But if someone has P.
3:21:05 13		in the sebaceous glands?	13:22:29 13	acnes in	fection in the hip or knee,
3:21:07 14		There's no question about it.	13:22:30 14		Yeah.
3:21:09 15		bacterium acnes has been recognized to be	13:22:31 15		I mean it's very unlikely that it came
3:21:12 16	there.	, and the second se	13:22:33 16	from the	
3:21:12 17	Q.	And that's P. acnes?	13:22:34 17		I don't know if it's unlikely.
3:21:14 18	Α.	P. acnes.	13:22:35 18		So you don't know one way or the other; do
3:21:15 19		Okay. But that's mostly found on the	13:22:37 19	you?	,
3:21:17 20		rs; correct?	13:22:37 20	•	That's right.
3:21:18 21		Shoulder and back.	13:22:37 21	Q.	Okay. You just don't know.
3:21:18 21		And back, but not it's not it's not	13:22:37 21		I don't know.
		found in the knee or hip; correct?	13:22:38 22 13:22:39 23	Q.	
0.04.00	-	-		-	
3:21:20 23	Α.	It's very unusual to find	13:22:48 24		sebaceous gland and the hair follicle or the
3:21:22 24	_	Okay		Chicat al	and underneath the elde coefe == ?
3:21:22 24	_	Okay.	13:22:51 25	sweat gl	and underneath the skin surface?
	Q.	Okay. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES -800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	13:22:51 25		and underneath the skin surface? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 53 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	201		203
13:22:53	A. I don't know.	13:24:37	Q. And you agree with me that there's no
13:22:54 2	Q. A millimeter?	13:24:40 2	bacteria in the blood if the person doesn't have some
13:22:55 3	A. I don't know. Never seen any data on that.	13:24:41 3	sort of blood infection.
13:22:58 4	I'm not sure.	13:24:42 4	A. By definition.
13:22:59 5	Q. You don't know how thick the skin is?	13:24:44 5	Q. Okay. Because in fact if someone had sepsis
13:23:01 6	A. No. Don't know.	13:24:47 6	or a blood infection it probably wouldn't be a good
13:23:04 7	Q. Okay. You've never	13:24:50 7	time to do elective surgery; correct?
13:23:08	A. Don't remember looking at it.	13:24:52 8	MR. COREY GORDON: Object
13:23:09	Q never done in medical school did on	13:24:52 9	A. To do what?
13:23:12 10	a cadaver and cut through the skin?	13:24:53 10	Q. Elective surgery.
13:23:15 11	A. I did I did do that, yeah.	13:24:54 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
13:23:15 12	Q. Okay.	13:24:55 12	the question, also lack of foundation.
13:23:16 13	A. Wasn't very far, but I don't know.	13:24:56 13	A. I don't think I understand the question I
13:23:17 14	Q. I mean, are we talking two inches?	13:24:57 14	guess.
13:23:19 15	A. Probably not two inches. Less.	13:24:57 15	Q. Well if someone had an infection, an ongoing
13:23:21 16	Q. An inch?	13:24:59 16	infection,
13:23:21 17	A. I don't know. I already	13:24:59 17	A. Oh.
13:23:21 18	Q. So you don't know?	13:25:00 18	Q it wouldn't be it wouldn't be proper
13:23:22 19	A. told you I don't know.	13:25:01 19	to do
13:23:24 20	Q. Okay. All right.	13:25:01 20	A. Oh, I see.
13:23:24 21	How far is it between the the sweat	13:25:04 21	Q elective surgery.
13:23:34 22	gland, which I think is the lowest, and a knee joint?	13:25:04 22	A. I'm sorry. Didn't understand the que
13:23:41 23	A. I don't know.	13:25:05 23	Yeah. I try to
13:23:42 24	Q. How far is it between a sweat gland	13:25:05 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Wait until he finishes.
13:23:44 25	Well you agree the sweat gland look likes	13:25:07 25	THE REPORTER: Yes, please.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
4	202		204
13:23:47 1	it's the lowest in this picture here? A. Well in the picture it looks like it's at	13:25:08 1	A. So to answer the question. One of the things that you want to do for any surgery that's
13:23:49 2 13:23:51 3	the same level as the sebaceous glands roughly, so.		elective is not to have any source of infection
	Q. Okay. Well let's just say whatever is	_	anywhere.
_	lowest, how far do you think the bacteria is that's on	13:25:18 4	Q. Okay. So you mentioned that there is the
13:23:56 5	a patient's skin or in the glands or from a knee		the chlorhex with alcohol and the io iophorm [ph]?
13:23:59 6 13:24:04 7	joint?	13:25:29 b	A. Iodophor.
13:24:06	A. I don't know how what the distance is in	13:25:36	Q. Iodophor with alcohol.
13:24:08	millimeters or not.	13:25:37	What percentage of the bacteria do those
13:24:09 10	Q. Okay. Well you agree that there's no I	13:25:39 10	prep solutions kill?
13:24:13 11	mean, if a person is not doesn't have sepsis or an	13:25:42 11	A. I don't think I know the answer to that, but
13:24:15 12	infection there's no bacteria in the fat; correct?	13:25:43 12	a high proportion.
13:24:22 13	A. I think that's true.	13:25:44 13	Q . 99.9?
13:24:23 14	Q. Okay. And	13:25:46 14	A. I don't know.
13:24:24 15	A. No. No. Well in the fat, yeah. I think	13:25:47 15	Q. You don't know?
13:24:27 16	that's true.	13:25:48 16	A. Might be, but I don't know. I can't cite
13:24:27 17	Q. And you agree with me there'd be no bacteria	13:25:51 17	any And if I answer you I want to try to cite the
13:24:29 18	in the muscle if a person doesn't have an infection.	13:25:54 18	reference, that's what I'm saying.
13:24:29 19	A. Yes.	13:25:54 19	Q. Okay. So sitting here today, you don't
13:24:32 20	Q. Ongoing infection; correct?	13:25:56 20	know.
13:24:33 21	A. If they don't have an infection?	13:25:56 21	A. No.
13:24:33 22	Q. Ongoing infection, yeah.	13:25:57 22	Q. Okay. And does it kill the bacteria that's
13:24:33 23	A. Yes.	13:25:59 23	in the the subacaneous or the sebaceous gland?
13:24:33 24	Q. Okay. And you agree with me that the	13:26:06 24	A. No, it doesn't.
13:24:37 25	(Interruption by the reporter.)	13:26:07 25	Q. Okay. What about the sweat glands?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
i .	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

Filed 10/03/17 Page 54 of 95
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 205 No. 1 **Q.** What type of bacteria are in the glands? 1 13:26:08 13:28:50 2 Q. What about the hair follicles? 2 The one that I've talked about is P. acnes. 13:26:09 13:28:51 A. Okay. So that's the only bacteria that 13:28:54 13:26:10 Okay. So is it your opinion that the most 4 you're aware of --13:26:11 13:28:56 5 likely cause of a periprosthetic joint infection is 13:28:56 That's the only one that I'm aware of --13:26:17 6 that the bacteria is most likely coming from the --6 Okav. 13:26:22 either the sweat gland, the sebaceous gland or the -- and it links to the --13:28:58 Q. So would it be fair to say that if a person hair follicle? 8 13:26:31 8 13:29:00 9 **A.** That's too general a statement. For g has a Staph aureus or a Staph epidermis or -- Strike 13:29:03 13:26:32 example, the reason I say that, there are people 13:29:10 10 that -- if a person doesn't have a P. acnes infection, 10 13:26:35 11 who've done things like skin preps. You first -- You 13:29:14 11 that the most likely -- according to the most likely 13:26:37 13:26:43 12 know, Daeschlein did a study just to look -- from 13:29:17 12 source of the infection would be from the skin and not 13 Germany -- using an alcohol skin prep and he still 13:29:21 13 the glands. For Staph aureus, the source -finds bacteria in about 8 to 10 percent of people 13:29:24 14 Α. 14 13:26:49 13:26:53 15 after the prep. And then during the surgery you can 13:29:26 15 Q. Staph aureus, MRSA, Staph epidermidis. 13:26:58 16 find more. 13:29:30 16 Everything besides P. acnes. 13:26:59 17 If I go back to the people who've looked at, 13:29:31 17 A. Yeah. Let me just refine a little bit. 18 let's say, shoulder surgery, first of all, you know, 13:29:33 18 So carriers of Staph in the nose are, you 13:27:03 you saw from my report that I -- one study that was 13:29:40 19 know, always at higher risk than non-carriers, two to 13:27:07 19 13:27:12 20 very large showed 21 percent of infections of the 13:29:43 20 three times fold for Staph infection. It turns out if 13:27:15 **21** shoulder due to P. acnes. That's the implant. If you 13:29:48 21 you're a carrier in the nasal microbiome, you have a 13:27:20 **22** 13:29:51 22 look at just rotator cuff we're talking 50, 55 percent high chance of carrying it somewhere else, perineum, 13:27:24 23 of infections, rotator cuff, are P. acnes. If you 13:29:56 23 groin, axilla, as you know. 13:27:28 24 look at spine repair for scoliosis, again about 50 13:29:59 24 Q. And I'm just talk --13:27:32 25 percent are P. acnes. That's where the organism 13:29:59 25 We're going to get there, and I promise you STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 206 208 1 we're going to get to the nose issue. 1 lives. 13:27:36 2 2 Now if you -- peo -- I've -- I've quoted I'm talking about where we're looking at the 13:27:37 13:30:02 3 Sethi and Matsen and the -- a Japanese study that 3 skin here --13:27:40 13:30:04 showed the organisms are there at the time of the 4 Α. 4 Yep. 13:27:46 13:30:05 5 incision, before the -- after the prep, before the Q. -- on page -- on -- I'm just trying to 13:30:05 13:27:51 incision. And Shiono's study with the spine and the determine what's the most likely source of the 13:30:09 13:27:55 back where they're repairing scoliosis. So 36 percent 7 different type of bacteria. 13:28:00 of the time after the prep they can find P. acnes. 8 8 So if you look at page 23, okay? 13:30:12 13:28:04 9 And then when they go in and actually look at the 9 A. Yeah. I've got it. 13:28:09 13:30:19 10 lamina, immediately exposing the lamina, it's already 13:30:26 10 Q. The only bacteria that you are aware of that 13:28:12 11 colonized in something like 25 or 35 percent. would reside in the glands or the hair follicles is P. 13:30:28 11 13:28:16 13:28:19 12 So to me that comes back to the microbiome, 13:30:33 12 acnes; correct? 13 back to the fact that we don't have a perfect skin 13:30:33 13 A. That's all I know. 13:28:23 disinfectant or antiseptic, rather, and the organism's 13:30:34 14 **Q.** Okay. So if a patient was infected with 14 13:28:33 15 there. 13:30:36 15 anything besides P. acnes, the most likely source, 13:28:34 16 Q. For P. acne. from looking at this picture, Figure 4 on page 23, 13:30:40 16 13:28:34 17 **A.** Yeah. That's the marker organism because 13:30:44 17 would be the skin surface; correct? 13:28:36 18 it's hard to track, you know, a Staph epi, for 13:30:46 18 **A.** That's my current hypothesis. I haven't 19 example. seen a lot of studies. I can tell you about the 13:28:40 13:30:49 19 13:28:41 20 sternal surgery for CABG with or without. **Q.** Is there Staph epi in the hair follicles? 13:30:52 20 13:28:43 21 Not that I'm aware of, no. 13:30:56 21 **Q.** Well I just want to know what your opinion 13:30:58 **22** 13:28:44 22 Is there Staph epi in the -- in the glands? is 13:30:58 23 13:28:47 23 A. Yeah. Don't think so. 13:28:48 24 What about Staph aureus? 13:30:59 24 Q. I don't need to know your studies. 13:28:49 25 No. 13:31:00 25 No. I'm just trying to say why I say what I STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com Page 205 to 208 of 370 08/09/2017 08:27:40 AM 52 of 94 sheets

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 55 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
209		211
13.31:02 1 do or don't say what I do.	13:33:27	deep infections. I don't
O Co Co was and antend in a in that the	_	Q. Which article are you
	•	A I think
4 other skin prep, would be able to reach the all the	13:33:30 4	Yeah. I thought that the Darouiche study on
bacteria that's on the skin part of the patient's	13:33:36 5	his first study that I've quoted here on Let me
6 flora except for P. acnes; correct?	13:33:41 6	see if I can find the date. Comparing So I think
13:31:36 7 A. No, that's not true. They're ineffect	13:33:46 7	it's Well, let me just not guess. (Witness
13:31:39 8 They could reach the area.	13:33:46	reviewing exhibit.)
13:31:40 9 Q. That was my question. They could reach it.	13:33:53	Wait. That'll be So, you know, it's a
13:31:42 10 A. But they don't they're not effective in	13:33:59 10	New England Journal paper. Oh, I'm sorry. December
13:31:45 11 eradicating all the flora there.	13:34:05 11	2010 New England Journal of Medicine.
13:31:47 12 Q. That wasn't my question. I said they could	13:34:08 12	Q. And can you point me to the page you're
13:31:47 13 reach it.	13:34:10 13	referring to?
13:31:47 14 A. Yeah.	13:34:12 14	A. I just remembered, so let me try to find the
13:31:47 15 Q. Correct?	13:34:14 15	page I'm referring to.
13:31:47 16 They can't reach P. acnes because it's	13:34:15 16	MR. COREY GORDON: In his report, or in the
13:31:56 17 underneath	13:34:17 17	article?
13:31:56 18 (Interruption by the reporter.)	13:34:17 18	MR. ASSAAD: In his report.
13.31:56 19 Q. They can't reach P. acnes because it's below	13:34:19 19	A. Yeah, it's in my report. Okay.
13.31:59 20 the skin; correct? The The skin prep.	13:34:19 19	
		So it'll be probably in the microbiome
13:32:01 A. The currently used antiseptics don't	13:34:30 21	section.
13:32:03 22 reach	13:34:30 22	Q. Would it be page 25?
13.32.03 Q. Okay.	13:34:32 23	A. Let's look. (Witness reviewing exhibit.)
13.32.05 24 A. down into the sebaceous glands.	13:34:41 24	Yes. And I thought he talked about both.
13:32:08 Q. Okay. But they could reach the skin	13:34:51 25	My recollection he talks about some deep as well as
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
210		212
13:32:10 1 surface; correct?	13:34:54 1	superficial.
13:32:10 2 A. They reach the surface. It's put on the	13:34:57 2	Q. Are you aware that the surgeries that he
13:32:12 3 surface.	13:34:59 3	looked at were colorectal, small intestinal,
13:32:13 4 Q. Okay. And therefore the question is how	13:35:06 4	gastroesophageal, biliary, thoratic, gynecologic or
13:32:16 5 much of the bacteria do they eradicate, the	13:35:11 5	urolo urologic operations?
13:32:19 6 effectiveness of the skin prep; correct?	13:35:12 6	A. Yes.
13.32:22 7 A. So say it again to make sure I got you.	13:35:13 7	Q. None of them had to do with total hip or
13:32:25 8 Q. It reaches all the bacteria on the skin	13:35:13	A. That's
9 surface, the skin prep, the issue is what percentage	13:35:15	Q total knee?
13:32:35 10 of the bacteria it kills.	13:35:15 10	A. true.
13:32:38 11 A. It's better to go back to the Darouiche	13:35:16 11	Q. None of them had to do with implants;
13:32:44 12 study to say that if you start with a you know, an	13:35:18 12	correct?
13.32.48 13 iodophor and compare it to chlorhexidine alcohol,	13:35:18 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Wait. Wait until he
13.32.48 13 lodophor and compare it to chlorhexidine alcohol,	13:35:18 13	asks his
	13:35:18 14 13:35:20 15	A. That's true.
13.32.55 15 prep than iodophor, reducing all surgical-site		
13:33:02 16 infections by 40 percent. Follow-up study with Tuul	13:35:20 16	Q. Okay. So can you can you identify me
13:33:06 17 with Tuuli, thirt 45 percent, so it's very	13:35:24 17	today a study that shows that using a chlorhex with
13:33:10 18 consistent.	13:35:32 18	alcohol reduces the incident of a periprosthetic joint
13:33:12 19 Q. And you would agree with me that all those	13:35:37 19	infection?
13:33:14 20 studies you're referring to are looking at superficial	13:35:44 20	A. I don't think a study's been done just on
24 wound infections	13:35:46 21	the joints. I'm trying to remember.
13:33:17 21 wound infections.	13:35:46	
13:33:17 21 wound infections.	13:35:46 21	Q. So sitting here today there is no evidence
		Q. So sitting here today there is no evidence that a skin prep such as chlorhex with alcohol reduces
13:33:18 22 A. Well	13:35:48 22	
13:33:18 22 A. Well 13:33:20 23 Q. "Yes" or "no"?	13:35:48 22 13:35:51 23	that a skin prep such as chlorhex with alcohol reduces
13:33:18 22 A. Well 13:33:20 23 Q. "Yes" or "no"? A. I'm trying to think whether there were any	13:35:48 22 13:35:51 23 13:36:05 24	that a skin prep such as chlorhex with alcohol reduces the incident of surgical of periprosthetic joint
13:33:18 22 A. Well 13:33:20 23 Q. "Yes" or "no"? 13:33:21 24 A. I'm trying to think whether there were any 13:33:23 25 deep infections in those. I think Darouiche had some	13:35:48 22 13:35:51 23 13:36:05 24	that a skin prep such as chlorhex with alcohol reduces the incident of surgical of periprosthetic joint infections; correct?

Filed 10/03/17 Page 56 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER **A.** Well I would say there's no study out there, they get from the flora to the wound. And I've said 1 1 13:36:10 13:40:21 2 but if you take skin, the -- what we're really talking 2 that in my report. 13:36:12 13:40:25 about is controlling the microbiome. And if you said Q. Okay. So you have no opinion of how the 3 13:40:28 13:36:17 to me today, I've got to get a hip replacement, I 4 bacteria get from the flora, patient's flora into the 4 13:40:31 13:36:20 would tell you chlorhexidine alcohol, just as Dr. Reed 13:40:36 5 wound; correct? 13:36:25 6 did in his study, after awhile. 6 A. Not in detail. I just know that they're 13:36:28 Q. You would agree with me that if -- if a --7 already present at the time of the incision. 13:37:35 13:40:39 Strike that. 8 Q. Now do they jump from the patient's skin 13:37:43 8 13:40:41 If the bacteria comes from the patient's right into the -- into the joint, or would they go 13:40:46 13:37:44 skin -- Let's take out P. acnes, okay? We could agree 13:40:49 10 through the fascia and the mu -- and the muscle? 10 13:38:08 11 that P. acnes is a very unlikely cause of a infection 13:40:51 11 A. I don't know. 13:38:12 for a total hip or total knee arthroplasty; correct? 12 13:40:52 12 Q. Okay. 13:38:16 A. Yes. 13:40:52 13 MR. COREY GORDON: Wait for him to --13 13:40:54 14 13:38:19 14 **Q.** Okay. Let's just assume all my questions is THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 13:38:22 15 excluding P. acnes when I talk about bacteria going 13:40:54 15 MR. COREY GORDON: You gotta wait for him forward. Correct? Do you understand that? 13:40:55 16 to finish the auestion. 13:38:24 16 13:38:27 17 **A.** If you want to make an assumption, yes. 13:40:56 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Apologize. 13:38:30 18 Q. Yes. How does the bacteria get from the 13:40:57 18 Q. Okay. So --13:40:58 19 skin to the periprosthetic joint to cause an infection And you're aware that in many total hip and 19 13:38:44 during the operation? If you know. 13:38:51 20 13:41:00 20 total knee arthroplasties, if not all, that patients 21 **A.** Well I have to go back to P. acnes, because 13:41:07 21 are given a prophylactic dose of antibiotics. 13:38:57 **22** it's the only study that shows that it's already there 13:41:12 22 **A.** Patients are given antibiotics, yes, 13:39:03 23 at the time of the incision, so it -- it's there. The 13:41:15 23 preoperatively, perioperatively. 13:39:06 24 other study I'd point to would be Tammelin's study of 13:41:17 24 Perioperatively. Actually before even 13:39:10 25 CABGs and Staph epi where he tried to do 13:41:19 25 incision. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 216 fingerprinting to say if I look at the air, if I look 1 A. Yes. 1 13:39:14 2 Q. Okay. And in fact that has shown to reduce 2 at the surgeons and if I culture the patient's legs 13:41:20 3 where the graft is for the CABG, or if I culture the 3 the incident of superficial wound infection for total 13:39:21 13:41:26 sternum, he could find the only match that -- with any 4 hip and total knee arthroplasty; correct? 4 13:39:25 13:41:31 5 high numbers in the sternum for Staph epi. These are A. More than that. I mean, if I go back to 13:41:33 13:39:30 heart studies, but it comes back to what I've said Lidwell's study, he -- when he looked at the patients 13:41:35 13:39:34 earlier. If you have an organism, a marker organism 7 who had perioperative antibiotics, their deep-joint 13:39:37 and you can follow it, so he's able to do a 8 infection rate was four times greater in the group 8 13:41:47 that didn't have antibiotics. 9 fingerprint on those Staph epi on the sternum. I 9 13:39:42 13:41:49 10 think I --13:41:50 10 MR. COREY GORDON: You said "greater." 13:39:46 13:39:47 11 Q. Well I'm asking --13:41:53 11 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 13:39:47 12 I mean, my understanding is, and it's a very 13:41:54 12 A. The people who didn't get perioperative limited understanding, that bacteria either need to be 13:41:57 13 antibiotics had a four times risk of the prosthetic 13 13:39:49 transferred by direct contact or they can be joint infections compared to the ones who did. 14 13:42:03 14 15 aerosolized. They don't have legs; correct? They 13:42:05 15 **Q.** So we agree that perioperative antibiotics 13:42:07 16 16 don't move. decreases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? 13:39:58 13:39:59 17 **A.** They can move, on the surface. 13:42:09 17 Α. Yes. 13:40:00 18 Q. How do they move? 13:42:10 18 Q. Okay. You do agree with me that the **A.** I don't know how they move, but, you know, 13:43:23 19 bacteria has to get to the -- to the joint area to 13:40:02 13:40:04 20 they're -- if there -- if there is an incision made 13:43:26 20 cause a periprosthetic joint infection 13:43:29 21 perioperatively; correct? 13:40:08 **21** across a group of bacteria, then why would you not 13:40:12 **22** think that they're actually going to fall into the 13:43:30 22 A. Bacteria are necessary, not sufficient, yes.

13:43:33 23

13:43:37 24

13:43:41 25

Q. Okay. And when we say "get to the joint

area," we're getting to the prosthesis during the

1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

total hip or total knee arthroplasty; correct?

wound? That's a hypothesis that I have --

-- but nobody -- nobody knows exactly how

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

Q. Is there any evidence --

13:40:16 23

13:40:18 24

13:40:19 25

<u> </u>	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 217	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 57 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 219
13:43:43	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:45:51	Q. Okay. Now with respect to people that are
-			
13:43:45 2	the question.	13:45:53 2	carriers for MRSA or MSSA in their nose, okay, the What's the correct word? What is the
13:43:46	A. I don't know exactly, you know, does it	13:46:03	correct word for that?
13:43:48 4	start above and then get moved to the joint, but that	13:46:05	
13:43:53 5	could happen, yeah.	13:46:07 5	A. You talking about a nasal?
13:43:54 6	Q. But for the biofilm to form it has to be in	13:46:08 6	Q. Yeah.
13:43:56 7	the prosthesis.	13:46:09 7	MR. COREY GORDON: Nares?
13:43:57	A. Yeah, it has to be on a foreign body. Well	13:46:11 8	A. Nares?
13:44:01 9	I think in	13:46:11 9	Q. Yeah, the nares.
13:44:02 10	Q. Most likely.	13:46:12 10	And you've talked about that in your report;
13:44:03 11	A. I think it's more likely, you know. In some	13:46:14 11	correct?
13:44:06 12	chronic wounds they've shown biofilm. You probably	13:46:14 12	A. Yeah.
13:44:08 13	know that.	13:46:14 13	Q. They're carriers; correct?
13:44:09 14	Q. But with respect to total hip and total knee	13:46:16 14	You're not offering the opinion that the
13:44:11 15		13:46:19 15	bacteria in the nose is actually reaching the surgical
13:44:11 16	A. Yeah.	13:46:25 16	site and the prosthesis and causing an infection; are
13:44:11 17	Q. the bacteria has to get to the prosthesis	13:46:27 17	you?
13:44:13 18	to form biofilm; correct?	13:46:28 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
13:44:14 19	A. I think that's right.	13:46:29 19	the question.
	-		·
13:44:16 20	Q. Okay. So during the operation it's your	13:46:29 20	A. What I think happens is that if you're a
13:44:27 21	opinion that a bacteria on the patient's skin gets to	13:46:32 21	carrier in the nose you're frequently a carrier
13:44:37 22	the prosthesis at some point in time to cause an	13:46:37 22	elsewhere on the body; it can be in the hands, as
13:44:40 23	infection to cause a periprosthetic joint	13:46:40 23	shown by Reagan, et al. If you want to look at Mermel
13:44:42 24	infection.	13:46:46 24	and colleagues, it's carried in the groin and the
13:44:43 25	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	13:46:50 25	perineum and axilla as well.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	218		220
13:44:44 1	the question.	13:46:54	So if you look at all the people who are
13:44:47 2	A. So I think the source of al of almost all	13:46:55 2	carriers of Staph, the most sensitive spot is going to
13:44:51 3	infections, including periprosthetic joint infections	13:47:02 3	be in the nose. We also know that there are carriers
13:44:57	are the patient's flora, and again the skin would be	13:47:08 4	of, you mentioned MRSA, 15, 20 percent carry it only
13:44:59 5	the site primarily.	13:47:13 5	in the throat. And again I think that the nose is a
13:45:03	And I'm not sure that I understood the	13:47:19 6	marker for the increased likelihood of carriage in
13:45:05 7	complex question.	13:47:23 7	other places of the body.
13:45:06	Q. Well the bacteria that's on the patient's	13:47:25	Q. What's the likelihood that if you have MRSA
•	flora has to reach the the	_	or MSSA it's going to be on your knee?
			A. The knee? I don't known. I haven't seen
13:45:11 10	A. Has to get to the area	13:47:30 10	
13:45:13 11	Q the prosthesis	13:47:32 11	data.
13:45:13 12	A. I'm sorry.	13:47:33 12	Q. There's no evidence that that the fact
13:45:14 13	Q has to get to the prosthesis during the	13:47:34 13	that you're positive in your nose or even throat,
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14	operation.	13:47:36 14	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee;
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15	operation. A. Yes.		means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct?
13:45:14	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the	13:47:36	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're
13:45:14	operation. A. Yes.	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct?
13:45:14	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the	13:47:36	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're
13:45:14	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:24 19	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the	13:47:36	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:28 19 13:45:33 20	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa	13:47:36	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper.
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:23 20 13:45:33 20	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the farther the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape?	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:53 21	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:25 19 13:45:35 21 13:45:36 22	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape? A. I think, my my feeling today, is that	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:53 21 13:47:54 22	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the surgery; correct? A. It is isolated. I don't know how effective
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 15 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:28 19 13:45:38 20 13:45:36 21 13:45:36 22 13:45:40 23	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape? A. I think, my my feeling today, is that it's primarily in the skin near the incision, and	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:54 21 13:47:54 22 13:47:56 23	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the surgery; correct? A. It is isolated. I don't know how effective that is.
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:24 19 13:45:35 20 13:45:36 21 13:45:36 22 13:45:40 23 13:45:40 24	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape? A. I think, my my feeling today, is that it's primarily in the skin near the incision, and again the P. acnes studies would actually demonstrate	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:53 21 13:47:54 22 13:47:56 23 13:47:57 24	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the surgery; correct? A. It is isolated. I don't know how effective that is. Q. Okay. Do you know what whether or not
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:28 19 13:45:35 21 13:45:36 22 13:45:40 23 13:45:40 23 13:45:40 24 13:45:50 25	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape? A. I think, my my feeling today, is that it's primarily in the skin near the incision, and again the P. acnes studies would actually demonstrate that.	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:54 21 13:47:54 22 13:47:56 23	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the surgery; correct? A. It is isolated. I don't know how effective that is. Q. Okay. Do you know what whether or not the drapes are permeable or impermeable in an
13:45:14 13 13:45:17 14 13:45:17 15 13:45:18 16 13:45:22 17 13:45:24 18 13:45:24 19 13:45:35 20 13:45:35 21 13:45:36 22 13:45:40 23 13:45:40 24	operation. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now when we talk about where the bacteria's coming from, are you talking about the skin where there it's been prepped and where the surgical site is, or are we talking about the fa the bacteria that's on the face of the patient that's underneath the drape? A. I think, my my feeling today, is that it's primarily in the skin near the incision, and again the P. acnes studies would actually demonstrate	13:47:36 14 13:47:40 15 13:47:40 16 13:47:42 17 13:47:47 18 13:47:49 19 13:47:50 20 13:47:53 21 13:47:54 22 13:47:56 23 13:47:57 24	means that you have MSSA or MRSA on your knee; correct? A. No. But if it's the groin and you're talking about hip, for example, or a knee, is it possible? Could it happen? I don't can't cite a paper. Q. But the groin is isolated during the surgery; correct? A. It is isolated. I don't know how effective that is. Q. Okay. Do you know what whether or not

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 58 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	221		223
13:48:03	operating room?	14:05:37	Propionibacterium, both Staphylococcus, they didn't
13:48:03	A. No, I don't. I haven't looked at that.	14:05:43 2	differentiate epi and aureus in the brief summ
13:48:05	Q. Okay. But you're not saying, just so I	14:05:43	(Interruption by the reporter.)
13:48:06	understand you, that if you have MRSA in the nose or	14:05:47	THE WITNESS: epi from aureus, and also
_	MSSA in the nose, that as the patient breathes out	_	Pityrosporum. So I want to add that to my statement,
13:48:12 5	•	14:05:47 5	
13:48:15	that bacteria is coming out of your nose and infecting	14:05:51 6	and thank you for letting me amend.
13:48:19 7	the prosthesis.	14:05:51 7	BY MR. ASSAAD:
13:48:20	A. I don't know how if	14:05:54	Q. Do you know how prevalent the Staph
13:48:21 9	Let's say, imagine in a scenario that we're	14:05:56 9	A. No. I have to do a lot more looking at it,
13:48:25 10	just making up to have the discussion, it's a carrier	14:05:58 10	but
13:48:30 11	only in the nose. How it gets from the nose to the	14:05:59 11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
13:48:33 12	wound, I don't know completely. Is it possible that	14:05:59 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Let him
13:48:37	that could happen? Maybe. I don't know. There are	14:06:00 13	Q. So sitting here today, you don't know, like,
13:48:41 14	no studies that show the organism in the nose can't	14:06:02 14	what percentage or or where in the human biome they
3:48:44 15	move, can't be blown out.	14:06:08 15	did the sampling.
3:48:46 16	Q. Okay. You do understand that in a total hip	14:06:09 16	A. They They sampled the sebaceous glands.
3:48:51 17	or total knee arthroplasty there is a huge drape that	14:06:11 17	Q. But where?
3:48:53 18	goes three feet above two to three feet above the	14:06:12 18	A. I don't know.
3:48:57 19	patient; correct?	14:06:12 19	Q. Could it have been on the shoulder or back?
3:48:58 20	A. Yes.	14:06:15 20	A. Well you're asking me questions I don't
3:48:59 21	Q. Okay. That separates the head of the	14:06:16 21	know,
13:49:00 22	patient	14:06:16 21	Q. Okay.
	·		<u>-</u>
3:49:01 23	A. That's right.	14:06:17 23	A. but I gave you a reference and wanted to
3:49:02 24	Q. from where the surgical site is; correct?	14:06:18 24	clear up the fact that Staphylococci can live there.
3:49:04 25	A. Yes. Sorry.	14:06:22 25	Q. What's the name of the reference?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
	222		224
13:49:08	Q. And you agree with me that	14:06:24	A. General Clinical Micro, 1984, Lemming,
13:49:17 2	So are you saying that it's possible that	14:06:28 2	L-E-M-M-I-N-G. I don't have the first initial.
3:49:21	the bacteria could come out of the nose and over the	14:06:31 3	Q. Lemming, L-E-M-M-I-N-G?
13:49:26 4	drape or around the drape and into the surgical site?	14:06:33 4	A. Yeah.
_	A. I don't know.	_	Q. Okay. Do you know who doctor
•			·
13:49:31 6	Q. Okay.	14:06:36	MR. GOSS: It's actually L-E-E-M-I-N-G.
13:49:31 7	A. I mean, I I know that people who have	14:06:39 7	THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Did I get
3:49:35	colds certainly disperse when they sneeze or cough or	14:06:41 8	that wrong?
3:49:40	something, with Staph.	14:06:41 9	Q. And you just looked that up where?
3:49:40 10	Q. But if the ventilation is doing what it's	14:06:43 10	A. Yeah. Just now.
3:49:43 11	supposed to be doing, it would push the bacteria down;	14:06:44 11	Q. On your phone?
3:49:46 12	correct?	14:06:45 12	A. I used his phone.
3:49:46 1 2 3:49:47 13	A. I think so.	14:06:45 12	·
3:49:48 14	Q. Okay. Unless there was something else out	14:06:49 14	A. Yes, Peter Goss.
13:49:50 15	there that was causing the bacteria to go up; correct?	14:06:50 15	Q. Did he provide the article to you?
3:49:54 16	A. I think so.	14:06:51 16	A. He did.
3:50:26 17	MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break.	14:06:51 17	Q. Okay. So you didn't look it up, he just
3:50:27 18	THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.	14:06:53 18	gave
3:50:28 19	(Recess taken from 1:50 to 2:05 p.m.)	14:06:53 19	A. I did. We were both looking things up just
4:05:17 20	THE WITNESS: Can I make just a you	14:06:55 20	to check.
4:05:18 21	asked said earlier you didn't mind, Mr. Assaad, if	14:06:56 21	Q. Well who pulled up the article; was it
4:05:22 22	I made changes, and just on break looked up the	14:06:58 22	you
4:05:26 23	microbiome of the sebaceous glands, and in fact I can	14:06:58 23	A. He did.
14:05:29 24	point to a reference for you, General Clinical Micro	14:06:58 24	Q or Peter Goss?
	1004 Learning And in addition to D. across	14:07:00 25	A. He did. Peter did.
14:05:33 25	1984, Leeming. And in addition to P. acnes,		
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

Q. Okay. So my understanding is that while I'm sking you questions Peter Goss is doing some research or you during this deposition? A. Yeah, I guess you could say that. MR. GOSS: Object to form. A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:08:54	than Dr. McGovern at the time. A. That was my understanding. Q. He was more of the advisor and overlooking the whole study; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study. MR. COREY GORDON: Oh.
sking you questions Peter Goss is doing some research or you during this deposition? A. Yeah, I guess you could say that. MR. GOSS: Object to form. A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:08:56	 A. That was my understanding. Q. He was more of the advisor and overlooking the whole study; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. Yeah, I guess you could say that. MR. GOSS: Object to form. A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:08:57 3 14:09:00 4 14:09:01 5 14:09:01 6 14:09:03 7 14:09:05 8 14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	 Q. He was more of the advisor and overlooking the whole study; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
 A. Yeah, I guess you could say that. MR. GOSS: Object to form. A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature? 	14:09:00 4 14:09:01 5 14:09:01 6 14:09:03 7 14:09:05 8 14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	the whole study; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
MR. GOSS: Object to form. A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:01	 A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. He just checked a reference for me. I was rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:01 6 14:09:03 7 14:09:05 8 14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:20 17 14:09:32 19 14:09:42 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:47 23	 Q. Okay. And you know that Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
rying We were both trying to find stuff. Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:03 7 14:09:05 8 14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:32 17 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	Are you aware that at one time Dr. Reed was in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
 Q. All right. Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of ne question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature? 	14:09:05 8 14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	in Minneapolis and wanted to talk to the people at 3M to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
Do you know who Dr. Reed is? A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:07 9 14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:32 19 14:09:42 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:47 23	to discuss his findings? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. Doctor who? Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:09 10 14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
 Q. Reed. Michael Reed? A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of ne question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature? 	14:09:10 11 14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	the question, and assumes facts not in evidence. A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. I don't know him, but I know who he is, eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:11 12 14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	A. I had heard that possibility, but I don't know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
eah. He's Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:14 13 14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	know anything about that. Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
Q. Okay. Are you aware he's doing a pilot tudy for 3M right now? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:16 14 14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	 Q. And are you aware that 3M didn't want to talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:18 15 14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	talk to him? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:19 16 14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:20 17 14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. I think that came up earlier, and I think I ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:30 18 14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	Q. Okay. Well I'm going to read you what the objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
ad heard that it might be, but I don't have any vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:32 19 14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	objective of the study was, and tell me if it's MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
vidence or, let's say, direct knowledge of that. Q. Do you know Dr. Harper? A. No. Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:44 20 14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	MR. COREY GORDON: You talking about McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
Q. Do you know Dr. Harper?A. No.Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:45 21 14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	McGovern? MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
A. No.Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:45 22 14:09:47 23	MR. ASSAAD: No. The pilot study.
Q. Have you read any of his literature?	14:09:47 23	
		MR. CORFY GORDON: Oh.
A 1, . 1 , 1	14:10:10 24	THE CONET CONDOINT ON
A. Don't think so.		Q. Strike that.
Q. Okay. So have you read Dr. Reed's	14:10:10 25	Are you aware that 3M is funding a pilot
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
226		228
eposition?	14:10:13	study in which they are assessing the risk of
A. I think so, yeah.	14:10:19 2	postoperative orthopedic implant infection which may
Q. Have you read Dr. McGovern's deposition?	14:10:23 3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
A. Yes.	14:10:26 4	warming technology?
Q. Have you read Dr. Legg's deposition?	14:10:27 5	A. I don't think I know that, no.
A. I think so, yeah.	14:10:28 6	Q. Okay. Would that be information helpful to
Q. Have you read Dr. Nachtsheim's deposition?	14:10:32 7	you to see what the the data in that study, to
A. No.	14:10:35	formulate your opinions of whether or not the Bair
Q. Have you read Dr	14:10:38 9	Hugger has an effect on periprosthetic joint
A. I don't remember. I may have, but I don't	14:10:41 10	infections?
emember.	14:10:43 11	A. So I don't
Q. Have you read Dr. Legg's deposition?	14:10:44 12	What was the hypothesis of the study? And
A. I think so.	14:10:46 13	you're asking me to
Q. So And you're aware, from reading	14:10:46 14	Q. The hypothesis is this: We postulate that
rticles by Dr. Reed, that he has written articles	14:10:49 15	the risk of postoperative orthopedic implant infection
	14:10:52 16	may be influenced by the choice of intraoperative
ritical of the Bair Hugger safety; correct?	14:10:56 17	warming technology. We plan to investigate this
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:10:58 18	through a multicenter superiority trial comparing
	14:11:04 19	forced-air warming and resistive warming in adults
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:11:08 20	undergoing hemiarthroplasty following hip fracture.
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of ne question.	14:11:13 21	Health/economic evaluations will form the secondary
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of ne question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring	14:11:16 22	aim of this study.
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of ne question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring o.		Are you aware that 3M is provi funding a
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring ob. Q. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; parrect?		study?
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring to. Q. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; porrect? A. Yes.		· _
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring of the question. Q. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that actually Dr. McGovern	14:11:18 24	A. NO.
MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring to. Q. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; porrect? A. Yes.		A. No. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	A. I'm not sure which articles you're referringQ. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; rect?	A. I'm not sure which articles you're referring Q. Well McGovern was Dr. Reed was on that; rect? A. Yes. Q. And you're aware that actually Dr. McGovern 14:11:04 19 14:11:08 20 14:11:13 21 14:11:16 22 14:11:17 23

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc.	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 60 of 95
	CASE 0:15 md 02666-JNE-DTS DOC CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	0400	Filed 10/03/17 Page 60 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	229		231
14:11:20 1	Q. Is that the type of study that might be	14:14:02	periprosthetic. Certainly warming, I showed you the
14:11:22 2	helpful in determining whether or not forced-air	14:14:10 2	study, I guess, from Holland.
14:11:26 3	warming has an effect on periprosthetic joint	14:14:14 3	Q. I'm just ask
14:11:29 4	infection?	14:14:14 4	I'm asking one question.
-	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:14:15 5	A. Yeah.
	-	•	
14:11:30 6	the question.	-	Q. Just identify a study that indicates that
14:11:30 7	A. Hard to know, but I love information. So if	14:14:19 7	forced-air warming or the Bair Hugger does not cause a
14:11:33	you tell me there's more information out there, I'd	14:14:23	periprosthetic joint infection.
14:11:36 9	love to see it.	14:14:25	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:11:38 10	Q. Do you think a company should suppress	14:14:25 10	the question.
14:11:42 11	research regarding the safety of a device if there is	14:14:27 11	A. Yeah. I mean, I can't come up with an
14:11:45 12	liti ongoing litigation regarding that device?	14:14:29 12	answer for that right now.
14:11:48 13	A. So hypothetically if there's ongoing	14:14:31 13	Q. Okay. And are you awa
14:11:51 14	litigation a company tries to suppress?	14:14:36 14	You've read Dr. Kurz's deposition; correct?
14:11:54 15	Q. Research.	14:14:39 15	A. I have.
14:11:56 16	A. And this is hypothetical?	14:14:39 16	Q. You're aware that she told 3M that her 1996
14:11:57 17	Q. Yes. Hypothetically.	14:14:42 17	study only applies to colorectal surgeries.
14:11:59 18	A. Yeah.	14:14:46 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:12:00 19	Q. You think that's okay?	14:14:47 19	the question, misstates the evidence, assumes facts
14:12:02 20	A. I don't think	14:14:49 20	not in evidence.
14:12:02 21	Q. Regarding the safety of a device.	14:14:49 21	A. Don't remember what she told 3M, but that's
14:12:02 22	A. Huh?	14:14:52 22	that's the study that she did was colorectal
14:12:02 22	Q. Regarding the safety of a device.	14:14:52 22	patients.
			•
14:12:05 24	A. Regarding the safety, hiding data?	14:14:55 24	Q. And it only applied to colorectal patients;
14:12:06 25	Q. Or or not or not	14:14:58 25	correct?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	230		232
14:12:09	Or suppressing research.	14:14:58 1	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:12:10 2	A. Oh, suppressing research. I don't know the	14:14:59 2	the question.
14:12:14 3	details of what you're getting at here.	14:14:59 3	A. I don't know that she said that but, you
14:12:14 4	Q. Okay.	14:15:01 4	know, if she said I'm not sure that that would be so.
14:12:16 5	A. You're trying to say somebody suppressed	14:15:03 5	Q. And you're aware that Dr. Augustine and Dr.
14:12:19 6	research maybe.	14:15:07 6	Sessler used that information and marketed the Bair
14:12:20 7	Q. Well hypothetically speaking, if a a	14:15:10 7	Hugger across the world to increase sales.
14:12:23	decision was made by 3M not to perform any research	14:15:12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:12:27	regarding the safety and efficacy of the Bair Hugger	14:15:14	the question, and assumes facts not in evidence.
14:12:29 10	during this litigation, would you consider that being	14:15:16 10	A. I'm not aware that they did that, but if
14:12:34 11	responsible by a corporation?	14:15:19 11	that was the best data, and again if I
14:12:34 11	A. Well I think the question is really do they	14:15:19 11	Q. Well you love data, don't you?
14:12:36 12	have information already on the safety and efficacy of	14:15:22 12	A. I love data. That's why I'm saying it, for
14:12:39 13	the Bair Hugger, and will this add more and they will	14:15:24 13	you. If I You know, if I said to you, look, here's
	need it. I don't know. I'd like to see the whole		
14:12:50 15		14:15:29 15	a device that cuts down your infections by two thirds,
14:12:53 16	thing laid out and what the circumstances are for or	14:15:35 16	you're saying, well I'm getting a little different
14:12:57 17	not.	14:15:37 17	operation than that one, I would still advise you this
14:13:18 18	Q. Can you identify one study that indicates	14:15:42 18	is the best data.
14:13:22 19	that the Bair Hugger does not cause periprosthetic	14:15:43 19	Q. Where do you get that it cuts down by two
14:13:26 20	joint infections?	14:15:46 20	thirds?
14:13:30 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:15:46 21	A. You mean the Kurz study?
14:13:31 22	the question.	14:15:47 22	Q. Yeah.
14:13:38 23	A. "Does not cause."	14:15:48 23	A. Yes, 15 percent in five, I'm off by maybe a
14:13:55 24	So I've put in my report, you know, I think	14:15:51 24	little bit.
14:13:57 25	everything from the two clinical trials, but	14:15:51 25	Q. Okay. And And you heard her say recently
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
58 of 94 she	=	232 of 370	=
30 01 34 3110			

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 61 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
14:15:53	that that that study would not be scientifically	14:17:55 1	235 A. Yes.
14:15:53	valid today; correct?	14:17:55	Q. Okay. With respect to the Leeming
14:16:00 3	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:17:55 2	Leeming article that we just referenced, are you aware
14:16:00 4	the question and misstates the testimony.	14:19:16 4	that the biopsies of the skin were taken on the back?
14:16:01 5	A. I actually read the whole response that she	14:19:24 5	A. No. I did you know, we this was a
14:16:04 6	said, and then later on she was questioned. Did you	14:19:27 6	very quick look and wanted to see the punch line.
14:16:09 7	And she said, did I really say that? Because I	14:19:30 7	Q. So you would agree with me that just assume
14:16:13	You know, then she went on to say, I would need a	14:19:31	that I'm reading this correctly, that the samples were
14:16:16 9	bigger study because, you know, so many things have	14:19:34 9	taken on the back skin okay, the back the back
14:16:20 10	been done and everybody has to have a warmer. And the	14:19:37 10	skin, that that doesn't indicate that there's data
14:16:22 11	second thing, she said it may not be two thirds, she	14:19:40 11	that these types of bacteria are on the glands in the
14:16:26 12	said 30 percent reduction is probably what I would see	14:19:45 12	knee or hip; correct?
14:16:29 13	today.	14:19:47 13	A. If that's true, then that's what the study
14:16:31 14	Q. In colo	14:19:50 14	would say.
14:16:32 15	A. Still humongous, she said.	14:19:50 15	Q. Okay.
14:16:34 16	Q. Do you think there's a difference between	14:19:50 16	A. I'm not questioning your
14:16:36 17	colorectal surgery and and a knee surgery?	14:19:54 17	Q. All right.
14:16:38 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:19:54 17	(Mr. Ben Gordon departed the proceedings.)
14:16:40 19	the question.	14:19:54 10	Q. And as an expert that's doing a literature
14:16:40 20	A. Of course there's a difference, I mean. But	14:20:48 20	review, the best evidence to rely upon are going to be
14:16:42 21	if you said does the skin react differently, you know,	14:20:54 21	peer-reviewed studies; correct?
14:16:47 22	or the microbiome, the body's physiology whether a	14:20:56 22	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:16:51 23	knife is on the abdomen or on a hip, I'm not sure.	14:20:58 23	the question.
14:16:55 24	Q. You think, sitting here today, that the	14:20:58 24	A. In general I think that's better.
14:16:57 25	primary source of the bacteria in a colorectal surgery	14:21:01 25	Q. Because there are many studies that are
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
Í	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	234		236
14:17:02	which has a high incidence of infection, is the skin	14:21:03	performed, even internally at 3M, that they might just
14:17:05 2	and not the colon?	14:21:07 2	be trying to determine which is the best way to study
14:17:07 3	A. Well they had both, actually. When you look	14:21:12 3	and might try different types of techniques; correct?
14:17:09 4	at the organisms, if you found a Staph aureus, which	14:21:17 4	A. Yeah, I don't know what 3M's doing in trying
14:17:13 5	they certainly found, that was part of the finding.	14:21:17 5	to come up with techniques.
14:17:16 6	That's not an organism commonly in the GI tract. Can	14:21:18 6	Q. But, for example, let's talk about, you
14:17:20 7	be. They also found enterococcus, they had one	14:21:26 7	know, culturing glands, okay? Let's see what grows in
14:17:24 8	candida. So they certainly had a mixture of what was	14:21:29 8	glands. There might be some techniques that work to
			giands. There might be some techniques that work to
14:17:26 9	in the GI tract and what was on the skin. So if	14:21:31 9	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the
14:17:26 9 14:17:29 10	in the GI tract and what was on the skin. So if that's what you're asking, yes.	14:21:31 9 14:21:33 10	
			determine whether or not there's bacteria in the
14:17:29 10	that's what you're asking, yes.	14:21:33 10	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a	14:21:33	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct?
14:17:29	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct?	14:21:33	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes.
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a	14:21:33	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
14:17:29	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data,
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee,	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct?
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always.
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:37 14 14:17:37 14 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery.	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah.	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:41 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct?
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13 14:17:37 14 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:41 16 14:21:41 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time.
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:34 13 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21 14:17:49 22	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying Q. Well that's all I that's all I need.	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 13 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21 14:21:57 22	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time. Q. Okay. Happens all the time.
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:37 14 14:17:37 14 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21 14:17:49 22 14:17:50 23	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying Q. Well that's all I that's all I need. A. Okay.	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21 14:21:57 22 14:21:59 23	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time. Q. Okay. Happens all the time. And when you try a method that doesn't work,
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21 14:17:49 22 14:17:50 23 14:17:51 24	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying Q. Well that's all I that's all I need. A. Okay. Q. So, I mean, we agree that total hip and	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21 14:21:59 23 14:22:01 24	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time. Q. Okay. Happens all the time. And when you try a method that doesn't work, do you publish that?
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:37 14 14:17:37 14 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21 14:17:49 22 14:17:49 23	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying Q. Well that's all I that's all I need. A. Okay. Q. So, I mean, we agree that total hip and total knee are considered clean surgeries.	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21 14:21:57 22 14:21:59 23	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time. Q. Okay. Happens all the time. And when you try a method that doesn't work, do you publish that? A. You might.
14:17:29 10 14:17:30 11 14:17:32 12 14:17:37 14 14:17:38 15 14:17:39 16 14:17:41 17 14:17:42 18 14:17:42 19 14:17:45 20 14:17:47 21 14:17:49 22 14:17:50 23 14:17:51 24	that's what you're asking, yes. Q. I mean you agree with me that colorectal surgery has a high incidence of infection because it's a whether it's a clean contaminated or a contaminated surgery; correct? A. That is correct. Q. It's a much different surgery than a total hip and total knee, A. It's Q which is a clean surgery. A. It's different from those operations, yeah. But what I'm saying Q. Well that's all I that's all I need. A. Okay. Q. So, I mean, we agree that total hip and	14:21:33 10 14:21:35 11 14:21:37 12 14:21:37 14 14:21:39 15 14:21:41 16 14:21:44 17 14:21:49 18 14:21:51 19 14:21:54 20 14:21:56 21 14:21:59 23 14:22:01 24	determine whether or not there's bacteria in the glands, and there might be other techniques that might not work; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Hypothetically, yes. Q. And as a scientist you're trying to determine, you know, if you want to collect data, which is the best way to collect data; correct? A. I'd like to know the best way always. Q. Okay. And sometimes you might try a method that might not work; correct? A. Happens all the time. Q. Okay. Happens all the time. And when you try a method that doesn't work, do you publish that?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc- CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 62 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
14:22:03	Q. You may if you've gone through a whole	14:24:16 1	authors said, look, we tried three different ways in
14:22:06 2	study; correct?	14:24:19 2	five different studies to try to find colony-forming
14:22:07	A. You might.	14:24:21 3	units when the Bair Hugger was working, we couldn't.
14:22:08 4	Q. Okay. But you might not publish it;	14:24:24 4	So collectively I think those are use useful data.
14:22:11 5	correct?	14:24:30 5	Q. Did you look at the studies?A. I did.
14:22:12 6	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical.	14:24:32 6 14:24:33 7	Q. Okay. And they were not peer reviewed;
14:22:13 / 14:22:15 8	A. I don't I don't know. I If you're	14:24:33	correct?
14:22:18 9	getting to the maybe seven studies that were done by	14:24:39	A. Don't even know I whether how many were
14:22:24 10	Dr. Reed and Dr and his colleagues that were not	14:24:42 10	even sent for peer review. You mean the seven that
14:22:27 11	published that were important data, then I probably	14:24:45 11	I'm talking about?
14:22:33 12	won't agree with you.	14:24:46 12	Q. Were you provided any studies from 3M
14:22:35 13	Q. Oh. So you could have unpublished data	14:24:48 13	internally?
14:22:37 14	that's important?	14:24:49 14	A. No.
14:22:40 15	A. I guess what I'm saying is	14:24:49 15	Q. Okay. So 3M just provided you the studies
14:22:42 16	Q. Is that what you're saying?	14:24:51 16	to call talk about hidden studies of actual
14:22:43 17 14:22:44 18	Answer my question, please? MR. COREY GORDON: He's about to answer	14:24:56 17 14:24:58 18	researchers that are trying to solve a problem, and they did not provide important internal studies that
14:22:44 10	your question.	14:24:58 10	they have; correct?
14:22:47 19	A. No. I'm trying	14:25:00 13	A. Well
14:22:47 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Don't cut him off.	14:25:02 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:22:47 22	A. I'm trying to answer your question. So	14:25:04 22	the question.
14:22:47 23	let's go back to	14:25:04 23	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
14:22:50 24	MR. ASSAAD: Simple question.	14:25:04 24	A. Well I guess what I found out about the
14:22:50 25	A. Let's go back to particles	14:25:05 25	studies was primarily through the depositions.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 238		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 240
14:22:51 1	MR. ASSAAD: A very simple question.	14:25:08	Q. That wasn't my question. Just please answer
14:22:55 2	Q. Okay. I'm talking to my colleague.	14:25:09 2	my question.
14:22:56	A. Yeah, that's fine.	14:25:09	A. Yeah.
14:22:57	Q. I'm just saying it was a simple question,	14:25:10 4	Q. Did they provide you studies or not?
14:22:59 5	but you go ahead and answer.	14:25:12 5	A. Okay. Look. Maybe I didn't understand. Go
14:23:01 6 14:23:04 7	A. Okay. So, you know, one of the studies, you know, a series of studies that looked at particles as	14:25:12 6 14:25:12 7	ahead. Q. Did they provide you internal studies? Just
14:23:04 / 14:23:10 8	opposed to bacteria. And the real question is just,	14:25:12 / 14:25:13 8	answer my question, sir.
14:23:10	you know, you might find more particles, you might	14:25:13	MR. COREY GORDON: Asked Objection
14:23:18 10	find more heat, you might find, you know, smoke, for	14:25:15 10	(Interruption by the reporter.)
14:23:23 11	example, but if the the question then is, do are	14:25:16 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and
14:23:29 12	the particles actually associated or linked with the	14:25:17 12	answered.
14:23:32 13	colony-forming units.	14:25:18 13	Q. Did they provide you any internal studies?
14:23:33 14	So in my report I have eight studies that	14:25:20 14	MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and
14:23:36 15	show that no obvious signal, at least with the Bair	14:25:22 15	answered.
14:23:40 16	Hugger in use, that you're going to get colony-forming	14:25:22 16	MR. ASSAAD: Fair enough.
14:23:45 17	units. And then through discovery find out that there	14:25:24 17	A. So internal studies, I don't think I saw
14:23:50 18 14:23:53 19	were seven studies, you know, for the other side, if you will, that were not published that also showed you	14:25:26 18 14:25:33 19	anything from 3M. Q. And please, doctor, listen to my questions.
14:23:53 19	cannot find colony-forming units when the Bair Hugger	14:25:33 19	A. I'll try better.
14:24:01 21	is in use.	14:25:36 21	Q. We have very few hours left. Let's not try
14:24:03 22	So when you say that that the	14:25:39 22	to go on tangents.
14:24:05 23	peer-reviewed literature is important, I totally	14:25:43 23	Are you aware that 3M manipulated particle
14:24:07 24	agree, I want that. But if there are other studies,	14:26:18 24	data that they on a study that they funded?
14:24:10 25	and I've shown you the seven, including ones where the	14:26:23 25	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
60 of 94 she	=	246 6 = =	_

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 63 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
44:00:00 1	the question, assumes facts not in evidence.	14:29:26 1	243 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:26:23 1	A. Don't know anything about that.		
•	Q. So 3M did not provide you the data that they	_	the question, also lack of foundation. A. I don't know what they think about
14:26:25 3 14:26:28 4	did particle tests out in Holland?	14:29:28 3	particles, no.
14:26:30 5	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.	14:29:31 5	Q. I mean, have you worked with orthopedic
14:26:30	A. I don't have that data.	14:29:34 6	surgeons in the past?
14:26:32 7	Q. Okay. Are you surprised that that data	14:29:35 7	A. Only clinically
14:26:34	exists?	14:29:35	Q. When you say clini
14:26:34	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.	14:29:37	A. where you take care of their patients.
14:26:35 10	A. I don't know how to answer that. I have	14:29:39 10	Q. After they've had the infection; correct?
14:26:37 11	just haven't gotten it yet.	14:29:40 11	A. That's correct, yeah.
14:26:39 12	Q. Are you aware that 3M funded a study to do	14:29:41 12	Q. Okay. Do the numbers of bacteria arriving
14:26:42 13	the effects of the Bair Hugger on particles in a	14:30:09 13	in the surgical wound correlate directly with the
14:26:46 14	laminar operating room?	14:30:12 14	probability of surgical-site infection?
14:26:48 15	A. No.	14:30:16 15	A. Well I would point to Stocks article first,
14:26:49 16	Q. Did you do independent research to determine	14:30:19 16	and he has a correlation for those particles that are
14:26:52 17	whether or not there were particle tests conducted on	14:30:24 17	greater than 10 microns in size. And then there is
14:26:56 18	the Bair Hugger?	14:30:28 18	the study we talked about, the Darouiche study, that
14:26:57 19	A. Did I do research?	14:30:32 19	modeled bacteria and particles.
14:26:58 20	Q. Yeah.	14:30:37 20	Q. So you agree with Stocks' paper?
14:26:59 21	A. No. I Everything that I did is in my	14:30:40 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:27:02 22	report.	14:30:41 22	the question.
14:27:02 23	Q. So you did not do any PubMed searches or	14:30:41 23	A. Let me Let me Let me finish.
14:27:05 24	researches to search with particle tests for a Bair	14:30:43 24	You know, and then there's Birgand's study
14:27:08 25	Hugger?	14:30:46 25	who in fact shows the correlation between in
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	242		244
14:27:08	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:30:48	general between particles and bacteria. But he also
14:27:10 2	the question.	14:30:52 2	did something else, he looked at the relationship
14:27:10 3	A. Yeah, I did. I I think I have those	14:30:55 3	between the number of particles in the air and the
14:27:13 4	listed.	14:31:01 4	contamination of the wound. That did not correlate at
14:27:14 5	Q. You don't have the Dr. Sessler and Russ	14:31:03 5	all. So Birgand talked about those studies in his
14:27:16 6	Olmsted study; do you?	14:31:11 6	article that there were many that showed a correlation
14:27:18 7	A. No, I don't think so.	14:31:14 7	and also many that didn't show a correlation.
14:27:19 8	Q. Okay. So the one study that was funded by	_	
	Q. Okay. 30 the one study that was fullded by	14:31:19	Q. So can you answer my question "yes" or "no"?
14:27:22 9	3M, you don't have.	14:31:19 8 14:31:21 9	Q. So can you answer my question "yes" or "no"? I want to know what your opinion is, not what other
14:27:22 9 14:27:24 10		_	
_	3M, you don't have.	14:31:21 9	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other
14:27:24 10	3M, you don't have. A. Correct.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say.
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah.
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles.
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:00 19	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:00 19 14:28:10 20	 3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:08 19 14:28:10 20 14:28:12 21	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also assumes facts not in evidence.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20 14:31:44 21	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, move to strike counsel's commentary.
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:00 19 14:28:10 20	 3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:10 20 14:28:12 21 14:28:13 22	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also assumes facts not in evidence.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20 14:31:44 21	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, move to strike counsel's commentary. A. So when you say those, you're talking about the studies that correlate particles and bacteria are
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:08 19 14:28:10 20 14:28:12 21	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also assumes facts not in evidence. A. No, I don't know any of that.	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20 14:31:44 21 14:31:46 22	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, move to strike counsel's commentary. A. So when you say those, you're talking about
14:27:24 10 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:01 19 14:28:12 21 14:28:13 22 14:28:13 23	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also assumes facts not in evidence. A. No, I don't know any of that. Q. Doctor, you are aware that many orthopedic	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:23 11 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20 14:31:44 21 14:31:46 22 14:31:49 23	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, move to strike counsel's commentary. A. So when you say those, you're talking about the studies that correlate particles and bacteria are
14:27:24 10 14:27:24 11 14:27:25 12 14:27:26 13 14:27:27 14 14:27:29 15 14:27:29 16 14:27:30 17 14:28:00 18 14:28:01 19 14:28:10 20 14:28:11 21 14:28:13 22 14:29:18 23 14:29:14 24	3M, you don't have. A. Correct. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Q. That was done in 2011. You don't have that study. A. I don't think I have that study. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M has relied heavily on the Sessler study in trying to market the Bair Hugger device and its safety? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also assumes facts not in evidence. A. No, I don't know any of that. Q. Doctor, you are aware that many orthopedic surgeons care about increase of particles in above	14:31:21 9 14:31:23 10 14:31:26 12 14:31:29 13 14:31:29 14 14:31:29 15 14:31:30 16 14:31:35 17 14:31:38 18 14:31:41 19 14:31:43 20 14:31:44 21 14:31:46 22 14:31:49 23 14:31:51 24	I want to know what your opinion is, not what other people say. A. No. I understand. I mean I'm MR. COREY GORDON: Let him finish his Q. I could read their I could their articles. A. Yeah. Q. My question is: Does Dr. Wenzel, you, do you agree that the number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound correlate directly with the probability of surgical-site infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, move to strike counsel's commentary. A. So when you say those, you're talking about the studies that correlate particles and bacteria are those that land in the wound,

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Do CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	c. 949-5 Filed 10/03/17 Page 64 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	245	247
14:31:53	A you're saying?	14:33:46 1 surgical-site infections?
14:31:53 2	Q about not the studies, I'm talking	14:33:47 2 A. I haven't seen that, no.
14:31:56 3	about what Dr. Wenzel's opinion is.	14:33:48 3 Q. So you disagree
14:31:59 4	A. Yeah.	14:33:48 4 A. I don't know.
14:31:59 5	Q. Okay. Based on what whatever you've read.	14:33:49 5 Q. with that.
14:32:02 6	A. Yeah.	14:33:50 6 A. I don't know.
14:32:02 7	Q. Okay. I don't want to know the studies, I	14:33:51 7 Q. You don't know. Okay.
14:32:05	know what the studies are. Because I know some of	14:33:52 8 You don't have an opinion whether or not OR
14:32:06 9	them you agree with and some of them you don't agree	
14:32:06 3	with; correct?	14:34:39 10 is that correct?
14:32:09 10	A. That's right.	14:34:40 11 A. I think in general OR traffic's been linked
14:32:11 11	-	_
	Q. Okay. So I want to know what your opinion	to increasing particles. It's hard to know whether
14:32:13 13	is, not what the studies' opinion is. A. Umm-hmm.	those increased surgical-site infections, but I think
14:32:13 14		14:34:50 14 there are some studies. I'm having trouble
14:32:15	Q. Fair enough?	remembering which ones show that it might, but it
14:32:15 16	A. Yeah.	14:34:54 16 might be important. But then there is some
14:32:16 17	Q. Okay. Does Dr. Wenzel agree, you, that the	14:34:57 17 contradictory evidence and I was just, in my report,
14:32:20 18	number of bacteria arriving in the surgical wound	14:35:01 18 trying to show that.
14:32:23 19	correlate directly with the probability of a	14:35:02 19 Q. Well just so I understand, at trial you're
14:32:26 20	surgical-site infection?	14:35.05 20 not going to have an opinion that OR traffic caused a
14:32:28 21	A. I can't answer that for all studies, there	14:35:12 21 surgical-site infection.
14:32:31 22	is a disparity of that. But my opinion is that it's	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:32:36 23	not been linked to surgical-site infections.	14:35:17 23 the question.
14:32:39 24	Particles and bacteria have been linked, but not	14:35:20 24 A. At this point I don't know. Yeah.
14:32:42 25	necessarily that link of CFUs and infection.	14:35:23 25 Q. Well I
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	
	246	248
14:32:46	Q. I wasn't talking about particles.	14:35:24 1 A. Yeah.
14:32:48	Listen to the question.	14:35:24 Q. here's the thing, doctor, and I'm not
14:32:49 3	A. Yeah. Go ahead.	14:35:26 3 trying to be difficult. I know the studies as well as
14:32:50 4	Q. Do the numbers of bacteria arriving in the	14:35:29 4 you do.
14:32:54 5	surgical wound correlate directly with the probability	14:35:29 5 A. Yeah.
14:32:57	of surgical-site infection; "yes" or "no"?	14:35:30 6 Q. Okay. And Not as well, but I know them
14:33:01 7	A. Well Birgand would say no, he can't find a	14:35:33 7 fairly well. You probably know them better.
14:33:07	correlation with contamination of the wound.	14:35:35 8 I'm not I could read the studies as well.
14:33:09	Q. What about Dr. Wenzel?	14:35:37 9 I want to know based on your reading of the studies
14:33:11 10	A. I don't know.	14:35:39 10 what Dr. Wenzel's opinion is, okay? Not what the
14:33:12 11	Q. Okay. You don't know.	14:35:42 11 literature says, but what your opinion is. You could
14:33:12 12	A. I mean, I'm not sure.	support it with the literature, but at this point in
14:33:13 13	Q. Okay. What about this question: Do the	14:35:46 13 time I've read your report, I know what literature
14:33:16 14	number of bacteria in the operating room environment	14:35:49 14 you're relying upon.
14:33:19 15	correlate directly with the probability of SSI, "yes"	14:35:50 15 I just want to know, okay, do you think that
14:33:24 16	or "no," according to Dr. Wenzel?	14:35:56 16 OR traffic increases the risk of surgical-site
14:33:25 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:36:03 17 infections in a total hip or total knee arthroplasty?
14:33:27 18	the question, incomplete hypothetical. It's not a	14:36:06 18 A. It might, yes.
14:33:29 19	yes-or-no question.	14:36:07 19 Q. It might
14:33:33 20	Q. "Yes" or "no"?	14:36:07 20 A. Yeah.
14:33:34 21	A. So the total number of bacteria in the air?	14:36:07 21 Q. or it does?
14:33:36 22	Q. I'll read it again.	14:36:08 22 A. I don't know. It might.
14:33:37 23	A. Yeah.	14:36:09 23 Q. Can you say that within a reasonable degree
14:33:38 24	Q. Do numbers of bacteria in the operating room	14:36:11 24
14:33:42 25	environment correlate directly with the probability of	14:36:11 25 A. Yeah.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
14:33:38 24	Q. Do numbers of bacteria in the operating room environment correlate directly with the probability of STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	14:36:11 24 14:36:11 25 A. Yeah. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

A. Y Q. C agree the orticles, a the open A. A orrelation Q. V A. T EUs in so ose. Q. I Contain hip an effect of tal hip an equestic A. I N eswered. Q. I A. I A	vectording to some people who've shown s. Well do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about I'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or orthroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:38:38	But you're not going to do a study if you know the answer; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. You do a study to find out the answer. A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
A. Y Q. C agree the orticles, a the open A. A orrelation Q. V A. T EUs in so ose. Q. I Contain hip an effect of tal hip an equestic A. I N eswered. Q. I A. I A	Teah, I think so. Okay. So if that's the case, then you have not the the OR traffic increases and therefore increases the bacterial load rating room; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown so. Well do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about "m just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and	14:38:40 2 14:38:42 4 14:38:44 5 14:38:46 6 14:38:48 7 14:38:51 9 14:38:51 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	know the answer; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. You do a study to find out the answer. A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
Q. Cagree the articles, at the open of the	Okay. So if that's the case, then you have not the the OR traffic increases and therefore increases the bacterial load rating room; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown s. Well do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about "m just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has n surgical-site infections in total knee or othroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, t might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:42	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. You do a study to find out the answer. A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
agree the articles, a the open of the open	nat the the OR traffic increases and therefore increases the bacterial load rating room; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown s. Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about 'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or othroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. Timewalt & ASSOCIATES OD-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:42	 Q. You do a study to find out the answer. A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
e question A. A rrelation Q. V A. T Us in so ose. Q. I effect o tal hip al e question A. I swered. Q. I 1-8	and therefore increases the bacterial load rating room; correct? AR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown s. Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about I'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? AR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, it might. AR. COREY GORDON: also asked and it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 100-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:44 5 14:38:46 6 14:38:51 8 14:38:51 9 14:38:54 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:09 13 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:21 20 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	 Q. You do a study to find out the answer. A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
the open A. A prelation Q. V A. T Us in so ose. Q. I contal hip an e question A. I nswered. Q. I A. I	rating room; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown s. Well do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about I'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and MR. COREY GORDON: STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 100-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:46 6 14:38:48 7 14:38:51 9 14:38:58 11 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:21 20 14:39:22 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	A. Yeah, you do, and but you always want confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
e question Q. V A. T FUs in so ose. Q. I n effect of tal hip an e question A. I N nswered. Q. I A.	AR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on. According to some people who've shown s. Well do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about 'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or orthroplasty? AR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, th might. AR. COREY GORDON: also asked and AR. COREY GORDON: also asked and AR. COREY GORDON: STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES O0-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:48 7 14:38:51 8 14:38:51 9 14:38:54 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	confirmation, I think. I guess that's what I'm saying. Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
e question A. A prelation Q. V A. T FUs in so ose. Q. I c effect of tal hip an e question A. I nswered. Q. I nswered.	on. According to some people who've shown s. Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about 'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, th might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and It might. Okay. MR. MR. COREY GORDON: STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 100-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:51 8 14:38:51 9 14:38:54 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:01 13 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
A. A prelation Q. V A. T FUs in so ose. Q. I c effect o tal hip al e question A. I nswered. Q. I A	According to some people who've shown s. Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about 'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and It might. Okay. MR. May Not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 100-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:51 9 14:38:54 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:09 13 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	Q. I understand that. But are you But sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
orrelation Q. V A. T FUs in so ose. Q. I ceffect of tal hip al de question A. I nswered. Q. I A 1-8	Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about I'm just saying with the OR traffic. Oo you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, traininght. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and traininght. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:54 10 14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:16 17 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:22 21 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	sitting here today you cannot state, with any degree of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
Q. V. A. T. FUs in so ose. Q. I. In effect of tall hip and tall hip an	Vell do you agree with that? They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about I'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? TR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, training the might. TR. COREY GORDON: also asked and training the may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES TIREWALT & SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:38:58 11 14:39:06 12 14:39:09 13 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	of medical certainty, that maintaining normothermia reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
A. To The second of the second	They'll show correlations with particles and me studies, and I've already talked about "m just saying with the OR traffic." To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, th might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and the might. Okay. MR. Mand it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:06 12 14:39:09 13 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infection because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
FUs in so ose. Q. I I effect of tall hip and tall hip a	me studies, and I've already talked about 'm just saying with the OR traffic. To you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or ethroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, to might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and to might. Okay. MR. Mait may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 100-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:09 13 14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	because that has never been looked at; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
ose. Q. I c effect of tal hip al e question A. I nswered. Q. I A	I'm just saying with the OR traffic. Do you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or orthroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, training the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and training the might. Okay. MR. May and it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:12 14 14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
Q. I offect of tall hip and ta	Do you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or orthroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and the might. Okay. MR. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and the might. Okay. MR. Traffic May. MR. Tra	14:39:12 15 14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	the question. A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
n effect of tall hip all hip a	Do you agree that the OR traffic has has in surgical-site infections in total knee or orthroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, the might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and the might. Okay. MR. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and the might. Okay. MR. Traffic May. MR. Tra	14:39:13 16 14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	 A. So that part is true, they haven't studied just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
n effect of tall hip and tall hip and tall hip and tall his means and	n surgical-site infections in total knee or othroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, t might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. and it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:16 17 14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	just joints in a prospective way, yes. Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
tal hip and North	rthroplasty? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, t might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:18 18 14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	 Q. So further research would be needed to answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
e questic A. I N nswered. Q. I A	AR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of on, t might. AR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:20 19 14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	answer that question. A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
e question A. I Nonswered. Q. I A 1-8	on, t might. MR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:21 20 14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	A. Further research would really help answer it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
A. I nswered. Q. I A	t might. AR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:24 21 14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	it. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
nswered. Q . I A	IR. COREY GORDON: also asked and t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:25 22 14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M never did a safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
nswered. Q. I A 1-8	t might. Okay. And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:44 23 14:39:47 24	safety validation of the Bair Hugger device? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
Q. I	And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:47 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
<i>F</i> 1-8	And it may not; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		the question, lack of foundation, assumes facts not STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
1-8	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	14:39:49 25	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	00-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	FIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		
CON			CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	250		
_		_	252
	eah.	14:39:51	in evidence.
	Okay. So sitting here today you don't know	14:39:52	A. I'm not.
	r the other.	14:39:53	Q. Are you aware that the Bair Hugger device
A. Y		14:39:55 4	was based off a 1937 cast warmer?
	Okay. Going on.	14:39:59 5	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	Oo you agree that the incidence of	14:40:00 6	the question.
eriprosth	etic joint infection is related to surgical	14:40:01 7	A. No, I didn't know that.
ne?		14:40:02	Q. Okay. Are you aware that the older Bair
	Surgical time has been shown to be a risk	14:40:12	Hugger device warned for air airborne
ctor, yes		14:40:16 10	contamination?
	_	14:40:16 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
		14:40:19 12	the question, assumes facts not in evidence.
_	•	14:40:21 13	A. Say that again.
		14:40:21 14	Q. That the older version, the mod the
sk factors		14:40:21 15	series 200 Bair Hugger devices warned about airborne
		14:40:24 16	contamination?
search w	with per with respect to the effects of	14:40:24 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.
potherm	ia on periprosthetic joint infection?	14:40:26 18	A. And I don't know that. I don't re
N	IR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:40:29 19	Q. Are you aware that competing products of the
e questi	on.	14:40:30 20	Bair Hugger, such as the Mistral, that are forced-air
A . V	Vell, you know I love data. Any more	14:40:36 21	warming, warn about airborne contamination?
formatio	n that would be added to what I what we	14:40:37 22	A. Don't know that either.
ve here,	I'm always I mean, there's never I'm	14:40:38 23	Q. Would that influence your opinion in any
ever goin	g to say, no, don't do a study.	14:40:40 24	way?
Q. I	understand that.	14:40:40 25	A. I'd have to see what they say.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
			1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
se /p e	A. Y Q. C A. I a factors Q. C earch w otherm N questic A. V ormation re here, rer goin Q. I	 Q. Okay. A. I have a example of that in my section on factors. Q. Do you agree there still needs to be further earch with per with respect to the effects of othermia on periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of question. A. Well, you know I love data. Any more ormation that would be added to what I what we see here, I'm always I mean, there's never I'm there going to say, no, don't do a study. Q. I understand that. 	A. Yeah. Q. Okay. A. I have a example of that in my section on factors. Q. Do you agree there still needs to be further earch with per with respect to the effects of othermia on periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of question. A. Well, you know I love data. Any more or mation that would be added to what I what we re here, I'm always I mean, there's never I'm regoing to say, no, don't do a study. Q. I understand that. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	373 3	Filed 10/03/17 Page 66 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
Ī	253		255
14:40:42	Q. Okay. But the	14:43:11 1	orthopedic surgery.
14:40:44 2	But 3M has not shown you that information;	14:43:15 2	Q. Are you aware that the general consensus
14:40:45 3	correct?	14:44:10 3	among orthopedic surgeons have the opinion that
14:40:46 4	A. I haven't seen that.	14:44:18 4	periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne
-	Q. And you love data; correct?	_	contaminants?
•	A. I do.		
14:40:50 6		_	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
14:40:50	Q. I mean, you the more data the better for	14:44:23	the question, lack of foundation, mischaracterizes,
14:40:52	you; right?	14:44:24 8	assumes facts not in evidence.
14:40:53	A. I like it.	14:44:26 9	A. No, I'm not aware of their general opinions.
14:40:53 10	Q. I mean, you spent over 300 hours going	14:45:33 10	MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break.
14:40:56 11	through data; correct?	14:45:35 11	THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
14:40:57 12	A. That's true.	14:45:37 12	(Recess taken from 2:45 to 2:55 p.m.)
14:40:57 13	Q. And if you had to do a hundred hours more	14:55:50 13	BY MR. ASSAAD:
14:41:00 14	you would do it; correct?	14:56:03 14	Q. One of your critiques of the McGovern study
14:41:01 15	A. I love it.	14:56:06 15	was the change in anti the prophylactic
14:41:01 16	Q. Love data.	14:56:13 16	anticoagulant; correct?
14:41:02 17	And if 3M gave you more data you would have	14:56:14 17	A. Yes.
14:41:08 18	reviewed it; right?	14:56:17 18	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any studies that
14:41:09 19	A. I would.	14:56:20 19	compared the two the two drugs used in McGovern for
14:41:10 20	Q. Okay. And so sitting here today do you	14:56:31 20	anticoagulation and compared with infection rates?
14:41:19 21	agree with me that there is some data that 3M did not	14:56:36 21	A. I thought that Brimmo's study actually
14:41:22 22	provide you?	14:56:41 22	looked at the two, Rivaroxaban versus other
14:41:22 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	14:56:46 23	anticoagulants.
14:41:23 24	the question, assumes facts not in evidence, lack of	14:56:50 24	Now, you know, did your question partly
14:41:26 25	foundation.	14:56:52 25	was did it go only with enoxaparin. I don't think so.
14:41:26	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	14:50:52	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 254		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
			256
			I think there were entires. The infection rate of
14:41:26	A. I don't know that.	14:57:00 1	I think there were options. The infection rate of
14:41:26 2	A. I don't know that.Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the	14:57:02 2	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent
•	A. I don't know that.Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss		course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number,
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant.
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7 14:57:31 8	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:41 9	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7 14:57:31 8 14:57:35 9	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7 14:57:31 8	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7 14:57:31 8 14:57:35 9	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? 	14:57:02 2 14:57:06 3 14:57:12 4 14:57:16 5 14:57:19 6 14:57:26 7 14:57:31 8 14:57:35 9 14:57:42 10	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent.
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14 14:42:56 15	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14 14:42:55 15 14:42:58 16	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative.
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:44 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:53 13 14:42:54 15 14:42:58 16 14:42:58 17 14:42:58 17 14:42:59 18	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B.
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14 14:42:56 15 14:42:58 16 14:42:58 17	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:34 4 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:31 8 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:45 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14 14:42:56 15 14:42:58 16 14:42:59 18 14:43:02 19 14:43:03 20	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list.
14:41:26 2 14:42:29 3 14:42:35 5 14:42:37 6 14:42:39 7 14:42:41 8 14:42:44 9 14:42:46 10 14:42:49 11 14:42:52 12 14:42:53 13 14:42:55 14 14:42:56 15 14:42:58 16 14:42:59 18 14:43:02 19 14:43:03 20 14:43:03 21	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh.
14:41:26	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert in orthopedic surgery; correct? 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh. Q. And you mention the Berrios-Torres article,
14:41:26	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert in orthopedic surgery; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh. Q. And you mention the Berrios-Torres article, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline
14.41:26 2 14.42:29 3 14.42:34 4 14.42:35 5 14.42:39 7 14.42:41 8 14.42:44 9 14.42:46 10 14.42:49 11 14.42:52 12 14.42:53 13 14.42:55 14 14.42:58 16 14.42:58 16 14.42:59 18 14.43:02 19 14.43:03 20 14.43:03 21 14.43:05 23 14.43:05 23 14.43:05 23	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert in orthopedic surgery; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh. Q. And you mention the Berrios-Torres article, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline For the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection 2017 as
14.41.26	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert in orthopedic surgery; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. A. I don't know if he's an expert or not in 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh. Q. And you mention the Berrios-Torres article, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline For the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection 2017 as being authoritative?
14.41.26	 A. I don't know that. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the international consensus of orthopedics that discuss periprosthetic joint infections? A. I don't think I know that. Q. It was sponsored by 3M. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. You're asking if I know that? I don't. Q. Okay. Do you know who Dr. Parvizi is? A. I know who he is, yeah. Q. Okay. Do you know You know Dr. Gregory Stocks; correct? A. I don't know him, no. Q. But you've read his his you know who he is. A. Yes. Q. Okay. And you've actually cited to one of his articles; correct? A. I did. Q. Okay. And you would consider him an expert in orthopedic surgery; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. 	14:57:02	course was two and a half percent versus .2 percent or the, you know, with rivaroxaban the high number, and the other anticoagulants .2 percent, which was significant. So independent of the McGovern study I guess there were two parts of that study. I mean, Jensen's study was separate, and he found two and a half percent versus I think one percent, again with rivaroxaban. And then somewhere along the line, I think it was Albrecht who said, if you keep the antibiotics constant you get something like 4.2 percent versus 1.7 percent. So these are the data that come to mind comparing rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin, or rather the the alternative. Q. Are you awa Okay. Let's go to your Exhibit Number 2, your Exhibit B. A. What am I going to? Q. Your document list. A. Oh. Q. And you mention the Berrios-Torres article, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Guideline For the Prevention of Surgical Site Infection 2017 as

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	,. 949-3	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		257		259
14:58:37	Α.	Which number is this?	15:00:28	A. Yeah.
•	Q.	Exhibit Number 2.	_	Q evidence suggested no difference between
14:58:38			•	
14:58:40 3	Α.	I'm sorry.	15:00:31	injectable enoxaparin and oral rivaroxaban and risk of
14:58:43 4	Q.	It's a list of documents you considered.	15:00:35 4	SSI.
14:58:44 5	Α.	Yeah.	15:00:37 5	Do you agree or disagree with the CDC?
14:58:47 6	Q.	Remember we talked about the CDC?	15:00:39 6	A. So that's what they found, that's what they
14:58:49 7	A.	Yeah.	15:00:41 7	believe. I was just trying to clarify, and I don't
14:58:49	Q.	Okay. And you thought it was authoritative?	15:00:44	necessarily disagree with them, I have a different
14:58:52		Are you aware that in this article it	15:00:47	interpretation based on, you know, the studies of
14:58:54 10	states h	igh-quality evidence suggested no difference	15:00:51 10	Jensen and Brimmo.
		injectable enoxaparin and oral rivaroxaban and	15:00:53 11	Q. What was the number of people in those
14:58:58 11				
14:59:03 12	risk of S		15:00:55 12	populations in Jensen?
14:59:06 13	Α.	I think I do remember that, yeah.	15:00:57 13	A. They were They were much smaller than the
14:59:07 14	Q.	Okay. And you're disregarding that.	15:00:58 14	thousands in this.
14:59:10 15	Α.	No, I'm not I wouldn't disregard	15:01:00 15	Q . 12,383.
14:59:11 16	anything	J.	15:01:01 16	A. Yeah.
14:59:12 17	Q.	And this was based on no difference in SSI	15:01:02 17	Q. Okay.
14:59:14 18	=	e meta-analysis, 12,383 patients of four,	15:01:02 18	A. But But again, I just want to point out,
4:59:23 19	_	controlled trials in elective primary or	15:01:05 19	when Jensen opens up his article he said, look, we
14:59:26 20		total hip or total knee arthroplasty, and no	15:01:08 20	don't have a good handle on surgical-site infections.
				-
14:59:30 21		e in hemorrhagic wound complications or	15:01:10 21	They focused on bleeding, they focused on which was a
14:59:35 22	drug-rel	ated adverse effects.	15:01:14 22	comparable or a different thromboprophylaxis from the
14:59:35 23		Do you disagree with that or agree with	15:01:20 23	point of view of a DVT or a pulmonary embolus. And
14:59:37 24	that?		15:01:23 24	then Borak, when he was asked similar questions, said
14:59:37 25		MR. COREY GORDON: What are you reading	15:01:26 25	he couldn't even find the definition that they used.
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
		NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDE
		258		260
14:59:38	from?	200	15:01:29	And so it comports with the same finding that Jensen
•	Q.	He knows where I'm reading from.	_	said in his study, and the same for Brimmo. They both
_	-	_		think that
14:59:40 3		So I think you're referring to the capital	15:01:41 3	
14:59:42 4		or what are they called, the RECORD studies,	15:01:41 4	Q. What's Dr. Wenzel's opinion? Does Is
14:59:45 5	_	Is that the reference that you're talking	15:01:44 5	there a difference in the risk of surgical-site
14:59:49 6	about, C	DC said that?	15:01:48 6	infection between rivaroxaban and enoxaparin?
14:59:50 7	Q.	They're referring to	15:01:51 7	MR. COREY GORDON: You're asking about
14:59:51 8	A.	The four large studies?	15:01:52	enoxaparin,
14:59:53	Q.	Eriksson, Kakkar?	15:01:52	A. Yeah. Not
14:59:57 10	A.	I think they're all part of the RECORD	15:01:52 10	MR. COREY GORDON: not tinzaparin.
14:59:59 11	studies.	,	15:01:52 11	A. Yeah.
15:00:00 12		And do you disagree with the CDC?	15:01:52	Q. I'm asking.
				_
15:00:03 13		Well I think I have to clarify that, because	15:01:56 13	A. Yeah. I mean, in those studies CDC is
15:00:05 14		lid a study, and he said unfortunately the	15:02:00 14	probably right.
15:00:10 15		studies didn't do a very good job looking at	15:02:06 15	Q. And you're aware that the CDC put
15:00:13 16	surgical-	site infections, and that's why that's	15:02:09 16	enoxaparin, dalteparin, tinzaparin and fondaparinux as
-	prompte	d him to do a study.	15:02:16 17	one category.
		So you disa	15:02:17 18	A. I didn't know, but I'm not surprised.
5:00:15		30 you disa	15:02:17	Tu I didn't know, but I'm not bui pribedi
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18		And Bremo		
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19	Q. A.	And Bremo	15:02:19 19	Q. Because they're all the same pretty much;
5:00:15 17 5:00:17 18 5:00:17 19 5:00:19 20	Q. A. Q.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC.	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20	Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct?
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21	Q. A. Q. A.	And Bremo	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21	Q. Because they're all the same pretty much;correct?A. I think they're
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21 15:00:23 22	Q. A. Q. A. sense.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC. I think it needs some clarification, in that	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21 15:02:23 22	 Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct? A. I think they're MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21 15:00:23 22 15:00:23 23	Q. A. Q. A. sense.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC. I think it needs some clarification, in that So	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21 15:02:23 22 15:02:23 23	 Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct? A. I think they're MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21 15:00:23 22 15:00:23 23	Q. A. Q. A. sense.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC. I think it needs some clarification, in that	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21 15:02:23 22	 Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct? A. I think they're MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21 15:00:23 22 15:00:23 23 15:00:23 24	Q. A. Q. A. sense. Q.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC. I think it needs some clarification, in that So	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21 15:02:23 22 15:02:23 23	 Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct? A. I think they're MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:00:15 17 15:00:17 18 15:00:17 19 15:00:19 20 15:00:19 21 15:00:23 22 15:00:23 23 15:00:23 24 15:00:25 25	Q. A. Q. A. sense. Q.	And Bremo You disagree with the CDC. I think it needs some clarification, in that So But you disagree with their statement that	15:02:19 19 15:02:20 20 15:02:21 21 15:02:23 22 15:02:23 23 15:02:22 24	Q. Because they're all the same pretty much; correct? A. I think they're MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A in the same family.

Turning to page 73 of your report. Is page 73 the entire critique, in your report, of Dr. Jarvis? 73 he entire critique, in your report, of Dr. Jarvis? 74 C. Yes. 75 Q. Yes. 76 Q. Yes. 77 report. 78 Q. And would - 78 Q. And would - 78 Q. And would - 78 Q. Yes. 78 Q. Yes. 78 Q. Yes. 78 Q. Yes. 79 And would you agree with me that the bottom 79 Or proper 23 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And would you was a your entire critique of Dr. 79 And you would agree with me, doctor, that you be the self more of with superficial surgical-site infections and not with five yer both infections, 79 A. Yesh. 79 A		CASI 0:15-md-02666-JNL-DIS DOC CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	343 3	Filed 10/03/17 Page 68 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
Turning to page 73 or your report, is page 2 73 Me of the rotic critique, by now report, of to Pri. Javvis? A. Did I write anything else; is that what 2 7 Report. 1 Same 3 7 Peport. 1 Same 3 7 Peport. 1 Same 4 Very Same 3 9 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 2 Peport. 1 Same 3 9 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 2 Peport. 1 Same 4 Very Same 3 9 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 2 Peport. 1 Same 7 Peport. 1 Same 7 Peport. 1 And would you agree with me that the bottom 3 of page 73 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 2 And would you agree with me that the bottom 3 of page 73 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 3 A. Very Same 4 Very Same 4 Same 4 Same 4 Same 5 Same				
2 73 the entire critique, in your report, of Dr. Janvis? 3 A. Did I write anything else; is that what 3 You're asking? 5 Q. Yes 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 3 Teport. 5 Q. Yes 8 Q. And would — 5 And would you agree with me that the bottom 5 Samet? 6 A. Yesh. 5 And You would agree with me that the bottom 5 Samet? 7 A. Yesh. 5 Samet? 8 Q. And would — 6 A. Yesh. 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 7 You know, if you would agree with me that the 8 Q. And would — 7 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 7 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 8 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 9 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 10 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 11 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 12 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 13 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 14 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 15 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 16 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 17 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 18 You kn	15:02:27 1		15:05:27	
4 you're asking? 4 you're asking? 5 Q. Yes. 5 Q. Yes. 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 6 Proport. 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 6 Proport. 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the 6 Proport. 7 Proport. 8 Q. And would you agree with me that the bottom 9 And would you agree with me that the bottom 9 And would you agree with me that the bottom 9 And would you agree with me that the bottom 9 And would you agree with me that the bottom 10 Page 73 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 10 Page 74 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 10 Page 74 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 10 Page 74 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. 10 Pag	_		_	
you're asking? 5 Q. Yes. 5 Q. Yes. 6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the report. 7 report. 7 report. 8 Q. And would	_			_
Section 1 Sect	4			•
6 A. I think I don't have anything else in the report. 4058 7 Port. 4058 8 Q. And would — And would you agree with me that the bottom of And would you agree with me that the bottom of Poage 73 and 74 is your entire critique of 0r. 4058 10 Of Samet? 50 Samet? 50 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 11 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 11 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 11 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 13 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the uses 15 MR. COREY G	_	•	_	
8 Q. And would 30 And would you agree with me that the bottom 40 first hour that a patient's being warmed the patient 50 And would you agree with me that the bottom 50 first hour that a patient's being warmed the patient 51 A. Yeah. 52 II Same? 53 A. Yeah. 530 II Same? 54 A. Yeah. 550 II Same? 55 A. I think that's 56 You know, if you ask what proportion of the 56 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 57 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 58 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 58 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 58 A. Yeah. 59 A. Yeah. I haven't counted them up, but many 59 A. Yeah. 50 A. I man surgical with superficial 59 C. And even though they're both infections 50 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 50 May 19 A. Yeah. 50 C. And even though they're both infections 50 With superficial surgical-site infections and not 50 With superficial surgi	•	_		
## 15	-		_	
And would you agree with me that the bottom of page 73 and 74 is your entire critique of Dr. And Yeah. And Y	_	• _	_	
15 Samet? 16 A. Yeah. 17 Samet? 18 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of MR. COREY G		•	_	
Same 12		, -		
12				
MR_COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR_COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the unaporty of the articles that you cite deal more with superficial surjectical surjectical surjectical surjecticies unguistical-site infections and not superficial surjecticies unguistical-site infections and not superficial surjecticies unguistical-site infections and not superficial surjecticies unguistical-site infections and not surject surjections. A Yeah. I haven't counted them up, but many of them deal with sur- with the superficial surjections. C And even though they're both infections, the surjections are surjected as a surject surject to a surject surject surject surject surject surjections. C And even though they're both infections, the surject sur				
the question. Source 14 Q. Now you would agree with me, doctor, that the majority of the articles that you cite deal more as 18 periprosthetic joint infections. A. Yesh. I haven't counted them up, but many of them deal with sure with the superficial infections. Q. And even though they're both infections, infections. Q. And even though they're both infections, work 20 Q. And even though they're both infections, work 21 Q. And even though they're both infections, work 22 Q. And even though they're both infections, work 23 Q. And even though they're both infections, work 24 A. Thin not sure that's correct. In other words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-583-1983 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 264 1 is quite similar. You have an or —an organism 265 or the wascular prosthetic device it begins to go 3 both. The organism gets to the woundy, that's the 3 include of the start of the flora; to me that's the origin in 3 both. The organism gets to the woundy that's the 3 include of	15:03:11 12	A. Yeah.		time, I don't know, but they are hypothermic for
the majority of the articles that you cite deal more with superficial surgical-site infections and not with superficial surgical-site infections and not your surgical surgical-site infections. A. Yeah, I haven't counted them up, but many of them deal with su — with the superficial with superficial infections. A. Yeah, I haven't counted them up, but many of them deal with su — with the superficial infections. A. Yeah, I haven't counted them up, but many of them deal with su — with the superficial infections. A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@sitewattoom CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 Sould 1 is quite similar. You have an or — an organism that she origin in 3642 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the 3642 4 same. And it's there at — usually at the time of 5 incision. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly of 3646 11 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3646 16 (ap. I understand that. But you do defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the form of 4646 16 (ap. I under	15:03:12 13	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:06:37 13	awhile.
the majority of the articles that you cite deal more with superficial surgical-site infections and not showed 19 periprosthetic joint infections. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Cokay. Standard 19 A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Cokay. Standard 19 A. Yes. A. Yes. Cokay. Standard 19 A. Yes. A. Yes. Cokay. Standard 19 A. Yes. Cokay. Standard 20 A. And over though the superficial stretchions, there is some difference in the mechanism of cause. A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 264 That's part of the fibra; to me that's the origin in share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at share at usually at the time of share at us	15:03:13 14	the question.	15:06:37 14	Q. Okay. Even with forced-air warming.
15 with superficial surgical-site infections and not 15 periprosthetic joint infections. 15 periprosthetic joint infections. 26	15:03:32 15	Q. Now you would agree with me, doctor, that	15:06:39 15	A. Umm-hmm.
18 periprosthetic joint infections. 19 A. Yeah. I haven't counted them up, but many 20 of them deal with su with the superficial 22 periprosthetic joint infections. 23 periprosthetic joint infections. 24 Infections. 25 periprosthetic joint infections. 26 periprosthetic joint infections. 27 periprosthetic joint infections. 28 periprosthetic joint infections. 29 periprosthetic joint infections. 20 periprosthetic infections. 21 periprosthetic infections. 22 periprosthetic infections. 23 periprosthetic infections. 24 periprosthetic infections. 25 periprosthetic infections. 26 periprosthetic infections. 26 periprosthetic infections. 27 periprosthetic infections. 28 periprosthetic infections. 29 periprosthetic infections. 20 periprosthetic infections. 20 periprosthetic infections. 21 periprosthetic infections. 22 periprosthetic infections. 23 periprosthetic infections. 24 periprosthetic infections. 25 periprosthetic infections. 26 periprosthetic infections. 27 periprosthetic inf	15:03:36 16	the majority of the articles that you cite deal more	15:06:40 16	Q. Is that a "yes"?
19 A. Yeah. I haven't counted them up, but many 19 with the superficial 19 infections. 22 of them deal with su with the superficial 19 infections. 22 with the superficial 29 infections. 24 infections. 25 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 29 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 29 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 29 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 29 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 29 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection 3TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection with forced-air warming and without 40 infected-air warming. Do you recall that testimony? 41 words 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection with forced-air warming. Do you recall that testimony? 41 words 20 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection with forced-air warming. Do you recall that testimony? 41 words 20 words, my own concept is the interior of the question. 42 words 20 words	15:03:39 17	with superficial surgical-site infections and not	15:06:41 17	A. Yes.
20 of them deal with su with the superficial 1 infections. 2	15:03:48 18	periprosthetic joint infections.	15:06:41 18	Q. Okay.
21 Infections, 100000 22 Q. A. And even though they're both infections, 100000 24 A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other 100000 25 Words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 150400 3 1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 150400 4 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 150400 5 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 150400 1 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 150400 4 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 150400 7 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go 150400 1 1 OL understand that. 150400 1 O	15:03:50 19	A. Yeah. I haven't counted them up, but many	15:06:41 19	A. Sorry.
22 Q. And even though they're both infections, 19500 24 A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-53-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 15 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 21 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the same. And it's there at usually at the time of 3 strickion. After that, as I said, once the organism 3 pets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to 90 build up the biofilm, and that's different, 20 L understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 3 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 3 swell as the host can't fight it off; correct? When you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 3 swell as the host can't fight it off; correct? A. Yeah, You know, we talked about this 4 source 15 source 25 and 8. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going \$TIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 1500-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 264 2 50 control with forced-air warming. Do you recall that testimony? A. No, STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 264 4 50 control of the form of the question. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question of the ques	15:03:52 20	of them deal with su with the superficial	15:06:44 20	Q. And you recall Dr. Kurz, in her deposition,
tose 24 A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other some of infection of infection strike with part of the flora; to me that's the origin in some of the flora; to me that's the origin in some of the flora; to me that's the origin in some of the strike some of the flora; to me that's the origin in some of the strike so	15:03:54 21	infections.	15:06:48 21	discussing the types of infections that they were
tose 24 A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other some of the florary of the flora	15:03:55 22	Q. And even though they're both infections,	15:06:53 22	counting with respect to to calculate the incident
4 A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the same. And it's there at usually at the time of 3 incision. After that, as I said, once the organism 3 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 4 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 4 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 4 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 5 through the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 5 through the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 5 through the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 5 through the biofilm and that's different 5 through 4 through the stating 5 through the stating 5 through 4	15:04:01 23		15:06:59 23	-
sovered 25 words, my own concept is the initiation of infection STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 150413 1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in that's part of the flora; to me that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in that's different. 100428 1	15:04:07 24	A. I'm not sure that's correct. In other	15:07:00 24	<u>-</u>
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@estrewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@estrevalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@estrewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@estrewa		words, my own concept is the initiation of infection		
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 1008413 1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 1008427 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 1008428 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the 1008429 6 After that, as I said, once the organism 1008429 6 After that, as I said, once the organism 1008429 7 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go 1008429 8 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 1008429 10 Q. I understand that. 1008429 11 Q. I understand that. 1008429 11 Q. I understand that. 1008429 12 But you agree one of the differences is the 1008429 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 1008429 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 1008429 15 infection with the prosthesis. 1008429 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 1008429 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 1008429 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 1008429 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 13 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1008429 15 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to				
CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 262 1504.13 1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 1504.17 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 1504.29 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the 1504.29 4 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 1504.27 5 incision. 1504.29 6 After that, as I said, once the organism 1504.29 7 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go 1504.29 8 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 1504.29 10 different. 1504.29 11 Q. I understand that. 1504.29 12 But you agree one of the differences is the 1504.29 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 1504.29 15 infection with the prosthesis. 1504.29 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 1504.29 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 1505.29 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 1505.29 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 1505.29 19 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1506.29 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 15 the question. 1507.29 15 the question. 1507.29 15 the question. 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 15 the question. 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 11 MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. 1507.29 11 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 12 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 1507.29 18 MR. COREY GORDON: Obj				
1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the 3 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 3 incision. 4 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 3 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go 4 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 5 billd up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 5 ulid up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 6 A. I understand that. 6 But you agree one of the differences is the 6 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause the infection. 6 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 6 G. And And one of the reasons is because 6 And And one of the reasons is because 7 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 8 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 9 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 1005022 21 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 1005022 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 1005022 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 1005022 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 1005022 25 Say if you can't control the microbiome you're going 1005025 1- STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1 Individual interval interv		-		
1 is quite similar. You have an or an organism 150447 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in 150428 3 both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the 150429 4 same. And it's there at usually at the time of 150429 5 incision. 150429 6 After that, as I said, once the organism 150429 7 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go 150429 8 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 150429 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 150429 10 different. 150429 11 Q. I understand that. 150429 11 Q. I understand that. 150429 12 guantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150429 15 infection with the prosthesis. 150429 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 150429 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 150429 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 150529 21 the nost can't fight it off; correct? 20 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 21 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 26 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 27 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the interpretation of her own study; correct? 28 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 29 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 20 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 20 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 21 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 25 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to				
the question. 2 that's part of the flora; to me that's the origin in both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the flora; to me that's there of incision. 500427 5 incision. 500429 6 After that, as I said, once the organism flows 8 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly 10 different. 500449 11 Q. I understand that. 500449 12 But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 500449 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 500449 15 infection with the prosthesis. 6 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 1 some 1 some 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 20 then host can't fight it off; correct? 5 A I don't remember that. 9 Q. Do you recall her stating that many of the infections that they were identifying were non-clinically significant infections that they were identifying were non-clinically significant infections? 6 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the quantity significant infections that they were identifying were non-clinically significant infections? 6 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the quantity of bacteria 2507:19 9 6 MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. 6 MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. 7 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the infection of her own study; correct? 8 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the quantity of the quantity of the quantity of the quantity of the interpretation of her own study; correct? 8 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the form of the study and answered. 9 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the quantity of the quantity of the quantity of the quantity of the form of the quantity of t	15:04:13		15:07:03	
both. The organism gets to the wound; that's the same. And it's there at usually at the time of fiso427 5 incision. 6 After that, as I said, once the organism gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go fiso438 8 through some changes through quorum sensing, it does fiso449 9 build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. 9 L understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150445 11 150445 12 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an fisof450 15 160450 17 150450 18 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics fisof550 19 160550 19 160550 19 160550 19 160550 20 17 180550 20 180550 20 180550 19 180550 20 180550 20 180550 19 180550 20 180550 19 180550 20 180550 19 180550 20 180550 19 18	_	· -	_	-
same. And it's there at usually at the time of incision. After that, as I said, once the organism gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go through some changes through quorum sensing, it does though the build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly glifferent. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because fifetive at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the vidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the vidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the vidence.				'- I
incision. After that, as I said, once the organism gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go blild up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. 50445 10 different. 50446 11 Q. I understand that. 50446 12 But you agree one of the differences is the guantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 50446 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause an infection with the prosthesis. 50446 15 Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more the feffective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the vascularity and therefore the provide that the potential provide in the provide that the provide in the provide in the provide in the provide in			4	
fised 429 6 After that, as I said, once the organism gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly gould up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly gould fifterent. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because as as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, aske	_		_	
9 gets on the vascular prosthetic device it begins to go through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. 9 Li understand that. 150446 11 Q. I understand that. 150446 12 But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150446 15 4 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. 150456 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? 150522 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes 150719 11 A. I don't remember that, 150719 10 MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. 150719 11 A but I'd be happy to look at it again. 150721 12 Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? 150726 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150726 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150727 16 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150726 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150727 18 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150727 16 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150728 17 each correct when you know, we talked about this each called that whatever she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 150737 20 would defer to her. 150738 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? 150740 22 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	_		_	· -
through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. 5507-48 10 different. G. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 5508-48 11 Q. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. G. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON:	_			non-clinically cignificant intections?
build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question ask questions; A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the interpretation of her own study; correct? 150728 15 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150728 17 150	45 04 00	date on the vaccular procthetic device it begins to do	_	
150445 10 different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150446 12 But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150449 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150449 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. 150719 11 A but I'd be happy to look at it again. 150724 13 the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 150728 15 the question. 150728 17 earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 150519 20 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and ask questions; correct? Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	_		15:07:16 7	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
150446 11 Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the 150446 12 But you agree one of the differences is the 150446 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150456 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 150456 15 infection with the prosthesis. 150456 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 150500 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 150500 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 150510 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 150510 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 150522 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 150523 23 answered. 150523 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 150525 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does	15:07:16 7 15:07:18 8	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes
But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150448 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150458 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. 150458 15 infection with the prosthesis. 150458 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? 150522 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. 150523 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 150459 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection of her own study; correct? 150724 13 the interpretation of her own study; correct? 150724 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 150722 15 the question. 150725 15 the question. 150727 16 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this 150722 16 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this 150722 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 150723 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 150723 19 called that whatever she called the infection I 150723 20 would defer to her. 150729 12 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question ask questions; correct? 150730 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a approach ask questions; correct? 250 24 A. I do sometimes. 251 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. 251 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 150748 25 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. 251 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 150929 17 A. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the form of the them infection of the question. 250720 15 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this 150722 18 the question. 250729 10 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this 150722 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 150723 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly	15:07:16 7 15:07:18 8 15:07:19 9	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that,
150449 13 quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. 150459 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an 150459 15 infection with the prosthesis. 150459 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 150500 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 150500 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 150519 20 than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore 150519 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 150522 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 150523 23 answered. 150524 15 the question. 150726 14 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 150726 15 the question. 150727 16 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this 150728 17 earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, 150730 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 150730 21 vould defer to her. 150730 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question 150742 22 about a study, you call the author of the study and 150740 22 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 150740 23 ask questions; correct? 150740 24 A. I do sometimes. 150740 25 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. 150740 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different.	15:07:16 7 15:07:18 8 15:07:19 9 15:07:19 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence.
15.04.53 14 A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. 15.04.59 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? 15.07.28 17 MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. 15.07.28 17 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 15.07.31 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 15.07.32 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. Yeah, You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 15.07.32 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question ask questions; correct? A. Yeah, You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I story. 20 Would defer to her. 15.07.32 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question ask questions; correct? A. Yeah, You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called that	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that.	15:07:16 7 15:07:18 8 15:07:19 9 15:07:19 10 15:07:19 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again.
1504-56 15 infection with the prosthesis. 1504-59 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 1505-00 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 1505-00 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 1505-10 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 1505-11 20 than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore 1505-12 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 1505-22 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 1505-23 23 answered. 1505-23 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 1505-25 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1507-25 15 the question. 1507-27 16 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, 1507-27 16 A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, 1507-28 17 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I 1507-35 19 called that whatever she called the infection I 1507-37 20 would defer to her. 1507-38 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question 1507-28 21 about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the	15:07:16 7 15:07:18 8 15:07:19 9 15:07:19 10 15:07:19 11 15:07:21 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to
15.04.59 16 Q. And And one of the reasons is because 15.04.59 17 when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics 15.05.00 18 as well as the host immune system, that's much more 15.05.10 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 15.05.11 20 than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore 15.05.12 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 15.05.22 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 15.05.23 23 answered. 15.07.41 20 than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore 15.05.23 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 15.05.25 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15.07.25 17 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I 15.07.37 20 would defer to her. 15.07.38 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? 4. I do sometimes. 5.07.46 25 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. 5.07.46 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection.	15:07:16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct?
when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 15.05.20 15.05.20 17 earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, 15.07.28 17 earlier where she changed her opinion, and the provide that is opinion. 15.07.28 17 earlier where she changed her opinion, and the provide that is opinion. 15.07.38 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 15.07.38 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she 15.07.38 19 called that whatever she called the infection I 15.07.38 17 earlier where she c	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an	15.07:16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15.05:13 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 15.07:31 18 through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. 15.07:32 20 Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? 4. I do sometimes. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15.07:34 24 A. I do sometimes. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:56 15	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis.	15.07:16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:05:10 19 effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria 15:05:13 20 than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore 15:05:13 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 15:05:22 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and 15:05:23 23 answered. 15:05:23 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 15:05:25 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15:07:45 19 called that whatever she called the infection I 15:07:37 20 would defer to her. 15:07:38 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. 9 C. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. 15:07:46 25 STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 10 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this
the host can't fight it off; correct? 15:05:19 21 the host can't fight it off; correct? 15:05:29 22 MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. 15:05:29 24 A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 15:05:29 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15:07:37 20 would defer to her. 15:07:38 21 Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? 15:07:42 23 ask questions; correct? 15:07:45 24 A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 10 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know,
the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:59 16 15:04:59 17 15:05:05 18	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 10 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she
MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and ask questions; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objectio	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:05 18 15:05:10 19	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 10 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I
ask questions; correct? A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15.07:44 23 ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore	15.07:16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her.
A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would 15.05:25 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 10 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her.
15:05:25 25 say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15:07:46 25 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:01 19 15:05:10 19 15:05:13 20 15:05:19 21	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct?	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20 15.07:38 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question
say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 15.07.46 25 Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 16:05:05 18 15:05:10 19 16:05:13 20 15:05:19 21 15:05:22 22	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and	15.07:16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and
STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:10 19 15:05:13 20 15:05:19 21 15:05:22 22 15:05:23 23	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered.	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:27 16 15.07:27 16 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20 15.07:38 21 15.07:42 22 15.07:44 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct?
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:10 19 15:05:13 20 15:05:12 21 15:05:22 22 15:05:23 23 15:05:23 24	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20 15.07:38 21 15.07:42 22 15.07:44 23 15.07:45 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes.
	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:56 15 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:10 19 15:05:13 20 15:05:12 21 15:05:22 22 15:05:23 23 15:05:23 24	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20 15.07:38 21 15.07:42 22 15.07:44 23 15.07:45 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche.
	15:04:38 8 15:04:41 9 15:04:45 10 15:04:46 11 15:04:46 12 15:04:49 13 15:04:53 14 15:04:59 16 15:05:00 17 15:05:05 18 15:05:10 19 15:05:13 20 15:05:12 21 15:05:22 22 15:05:23 23 15:05:23 24	through some changes through quorum sensing, it does build up the biofilm, and that's different, vastly different. Q. I understand that. But you agree one of the differences is the quantity of bacteria required to cause the infection. A. I think it's fewer bacteria to cause an infection with the prosthesis. Q. And And one of the reasons is because when you have, for example, prophylactic antibiotics as well as the host immune system, that's much more effective at eliminating or attacking the bacteria than on a device that has no vascularity and therefore the host can't fight it off; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. Yeah, the way that I would yeah, I would say if you can't control the microbiome you're going STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	15.07:16 7 15.07:18 8 15.07:19 9 15.07:19 11 15.07:21 12 15.07:24 13 15.07:26 14 15.07:26 15 15.07:27 16 15.07:28 17 15.07:31 18 15.07:35 19 15.07:37 20 15.07:38 21 15.07:42 22 15.07:44 23 15.07:45 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes A. I don't remember that, MR. COREY GORDON: the evidence. A but I'd be happy to look at it again. Q. You would defer to Dr. Kurz with respect to the interpretation of her own study; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. Yeah. You know, we talked about this earlier where she changed her opinion, you know, through the start, so, but I yeah, in general she called that whatever she called the infection I would defer to her. Q. Okay. Just like when you have a question about a study, you call the author of the study and ask questions; correct? A. I do sometimes. Q. Like you did with Dr. Darouiche. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

040-5 Filed 10/03/17

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 69 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	265		267
15:07:48	A. I do.	15:10:58	Let me ask you a question. If a patient
15:07:49 2	Q. Okay. And with Dr. Chen; correct?	15:11:02	only used warm blankets during a total hip or total
15:07:54 3	A. Yes.	15:11:06 3	knee arthroplasty, do you know whether or not the
15:07:54	Q. Okay. Because for the most part the person	15:11:09 4	patient would become hypothermic?
15:08:00 5	that conducted the study knows more about the study	15:11:12 5	A. No, I don't know that. I don't know what
15:08:05 6	that was that was done; correct?	15:11:12 6	the
15:08:07 7	A. That's true.	15:11:12 7	Q. So
15:08:08	Q. Okay. Now with respect to the oxygenation	15:11:14	A data show.
15:08:41	issue and the benefits of oxygenation by using	15:11:16	Q sitting here today, you don't know
15:08:44 10	forced-air warming, none of those studies looked at	15:11:17 10	whether or not just using warm blankets is just as
15:08:47	periprosthetic joint infections; correct?	15:11:21 11	efficacious as the forced-air warming.
15:08:49 12	A. I think that's true.	15:11:23 12	A. I thought there were studies that showed it
15:08:50 13	Q. Okay. And you agree with me that when	15:11:25 13	didn't work as well. Can't cite them right now, but I
15:09:12 14	Andrea Kurz indicated in her deposition with respect	15:11:30 14	have read that somewhere.
15:09:16 15	to what would happen if you did the study now and it	15:11:31 15	Q. You haven't
15:09:10	would be a 30 percent reduction, that was speculation,	15:11:32 16	Did you ever look at the Dr. Sessler study
15:09:24 17	that was a hypothesis; correct?	15:11:35 17	of 2015 that compared just blankets to forced-air
15:09:24	A. That's what she said. That's all I know.	15:11:35 17	warming?
15:09:28 19	Q. There is no data to support that; correct?	15:11:38 10	A. No. I don't know that one.
15:09:28 19	A. No. She was saying this is what it would	15:11:39 19	Q. And in fact you're familiar with the study
15:09:30 20	look like in her opinion.	15:11:50 20	that looked at the data out of Hopkins that showed no
15:09:32 21	Q. And that was a hypothesis; correct?	15:11:59 21	reduction in periprosthetic joint infections between
15:09:35 22	A. Correct.	15:12:04 22 15:12:09 23	
15:09:35 24		15:12:09 23 15:12:14 24	patients that had thermoregulation and patients that didn't have thermoregulation.
15:09:35 24 15:09:38 25	Q. And there are many times that hypotheses are wrong; correct?	15:12:14 24 15:12:15 25	A. You're talking about the first study in
15:09:38 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	15:12:15 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	266		268
15:09:39	A. Sometimes that happens.	15:12:17 1	my in my chart of the cohorts?
15:09:40 2	Q. And that's why you do the study; correct?	15:12:19 2	Q. I'm not sure, but do you recall the Hopkins
15:09:42 3	A. Yes.	15:12:22 3	study that looked at the Hopkins data?
15:09:44 4	Q. Okay. So you agree that she admits that the	15:12:24 4	A. Yeah, I think Let me just I have that
15:09:50 5	reduction of infection is going to be a lot less than	15:12:27 5	in the chart of cohorts that we just looked at. Here
15:09:53	threefold, and it's her hypothesis that if the study	15:12:33 6	we go. So page 8.
15:09:58 7	was done now it would be about 30 percent reduction	15:12:35 7	What I'm asking you, I guess, is are you
15:10:00	for colorectal surgeries.	15:12:37	referring to the study number 1 at the top? Hopkins
15:10:02	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:12:45	uses a WarmTouch forced-air warming, and that was a
15:10:03 10	the question, lack of foundation.	15:12:50 10	big study, you know, 46,000 plus, it's a cohort.
15:10:05 11	A. I mean, what I would say is, you know, that	15:12:55 11	Amazing low percent that got hypothermic.
15:10:07 12	study done, what, 20 years ago or so, in the meantime	15:12:59 12	Q. Is this the Brown study?
15:10:12 13	a whole lot of other changes, we'll just mention	15:12:59 12	A. Forgot the name of the first author. But
15:10:12 13	Darouiche and the and the antiseptic. And one of	15:13:01 13	the lead author is was an anesthesiologist I think,
15:10:19 15	the concepts that I think goes on as you look at more	15:13:14 15	the other ones who did that.
15:10:19 16	recent studies, which reflects on your question, is	15:13:32 16	Q. This is the Scott study; correct?
15:10:22 17	what's the modifiable, residual modifiable effect you	15:13:32 17	A. I think it's the Scott study. That's right,
15:10:32 18	can have when you start adding all things that cut	15:13:35 18	yeah.
15:10:32 10	down the infection rate. It's awful hard to show,	15:13:35 19	Q. Okay. And if you look at the Scott study
15:10:39 20	when you're moving away from that, if you have three	15:13:51 20	Do you know what the SCIP protocols are?
15:10:39 20	or four or five, you know, improvements in outcome,	15:13:51 20	A. Yeah. I have an idea, yeah.
DESTRUMP -		15:13:54 21	Q. So for wound infection, the when a
	then you have less proportion of intections you can	10.13:33	
15:10:47 22	then you have less proportion of infections you can	45.44.00 99	when the nationts were not com CCID non compliant
15:10:47 22 15:10:50 23	impact with a new process or a new product.	15:14:00 23	when the patients were not com SCIP non-compliant
15:10:47 22 15:10:50 23 15:10:56 24	impact with a new process or a new product. Am I making sense, or?	15:14:04 24	you had 3.6 percent of wound infection, and when they
15:10:47 22 15:10:50 23	impact with a new process or a new product. Am I making sense, or? Q. Wel, yeah, you're making		you had 3.6 percent of wound infection, and when they were SCIP compliant they had 3.8 percent wound
15:10:47 22 15:10:50 23 15:10:56 24	impact with a new process or a new product. Am I making sense, or?	15:14:04 24	you had 3.6 percent of wound infection, and when they

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 70 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	269		271
15:14:10 1	infection.	15:16:24	Q. Okay. I mean, you're right, it is
15:14:13 2	So how do you get an SSI of a risk ratio	15:16:25 2	nonsignificant
15:14:19 3	of .86 for wound infe for surgical-site infection?	15:16:26 3	A. Yeah.
15:14:26 4	A. I don't remember how I got that, but it was	15:16:26 4	Q because the p value's .7811.
15:14:27 5	clearly not significant.	15:16:29 5	A. Yeah. Not at all.
15:14:30 6	Q. Okay. So you agree with me that even	15:16:30 6	Q. The p value's very high.
15:14:34 7	current studies show that there is no benefit with	15:16:31 7	A. Yeah.
15:14:45	forced-air warming with respect to surgical-site	15:16:32	Q. So that would indicate to a scientist, such
15:14:48 9	infections.	15:16:34	as yourself, that there's no difference between
15:14:48 10	A. Especially current studies, because of all	15:16:37 10	between warming and non-warming.
15:14:50 11	the management that has gone on beforehand to	15:16:39 11	A. True.
15:14:53 12	introduce controls of the residual proportion of	15:16:40 12	Q . Okay.
15:14:57 13	infections that you can mod you know, modulate.	15:16:41 13	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:15:03 14	Q. So you would agree with Andrea Kurz, then,	15:16:43 14	the question.
15:15:05 15	that in in today's world, okay,	15:17:38 15	Q. Now you spent a considerable amount of time
15:15:09 16	A. Umm-hmm?	15:17:40 16	going over comorbidities.
15:15:09 17	Q. that there's no scientific evidence that	15:17:42 17	A. Yeah.
15:15:14 18	indicates that forced-air warming reduces the incident	15:17:42 18	Q. Okay. Can we just agree that the
15:15:21 19	of surgical-site infections.	15:17:46 19	comorbidities will be case specific depending on the
15:15:23 20	A. No, I won't	15:17:49 20	patient?
15:15:24 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:17:50 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:15:25 22	the question, and misstates the evidence.	15:17:51 22	the question.
15:15:27 23	A. No, I won't agree with that.	15:17:52 23	A. So if you're asking can I predict the
15:15:29 24	What I'm saying is she was saying that,	15:17:55 24	infection rate above or below the average as a result
15:15:32 25	look, you know, going forward with all the changes	15:17:59 25	of incorporating comorbidities, yes. Is that what
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL CLIP IECT TO DEOTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL SUBJECT TO DEOTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
15,45,95	270	45:49:02 1	272
15:15:35 1	270 going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67	15:18:03 1	you're asking?
15:15:38 2	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's	15:18:03 2	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity,
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah.
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things.
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5 15:15:45 6	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things.
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5 15:15:45 6 15:15:47 7	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5 15:15:45 6 15:15:47 7 15:15:50 8	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant.	15:18:03	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct?
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5 15:15:45 6 15:15:47 7 15:15:50 8 15:15:52 9	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:45 6 15:15:47 7 15:15:50 8 15:15:52 9 15:15:55 10	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:14 10	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:15:38 2 15:15:41 3 15:15:42 4 15:15:44 5 15:15:45 6 15:15:47 7 15:15:50 8 15:15:52 9 15:15:55 10 15:16:00 11	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:14 10 15:18:16 10	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis?
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what?
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor.	15:18:03	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me,
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey?
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:11 9 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:35 18	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:23 13 15:18:23 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:38 20	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling.
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct?	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct? A. You mean a non nonsignificant	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21 15:18:44 21	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick to hit me?
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct? A. You mean a non nonsignificant Q. It's nonsignificant, but it was still it	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21 15:18:45 22 15:18:46 23	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick to hit me? MR. COREY GORDON: Not yet.
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct? A. You mean a non nonsignificant Q. It's nonsignificant, but it was still it was still lower.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21 15:18:44 21 15:18:46 23 15:18:47 24	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick to hit me? MR. COREY GORDON: Not yet. (Laughter.)
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct? A. You mean a non nonsignificant Q. It's nonsignificant, but it was still it was still lower. A. Fine.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:16 11 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21 15:18:45 22 15:18:46 23	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick to hit me? MR. COREY GORDON: Not yet. (Laughter.) MR. GOSS: Let me tell you, it hurts when
15:15:38	going on we might only see 30 percent instead of 67 percent reduction. That's what I recall, and that's what I cited in my report. Q. But you also cited Scott A. Yeah. Q that showed that patients that were SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than patients that were SCIP compliant. A. Well if you look at all infections, that was statistically significant, all all infections. The surgical site he couldn't show a difference. Q. Okay. We're not looking at all infections here, doctor. A. Yeah, okay. Q. We're looking at surgical-site infections. A. Perfect. Q. Which is a wound infection; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the Scott study SCIP non-compliant had a lower infection rate than SCIP compliant; correct? A. You mean a non nonsignificant Q. It's nonsignificant, but it was still it was still lower.	15:18:03 2 15:18:05 3 15:18:06 4 15:18:07 5 15:18:08 6 15:18:10 7 15:18:12 8 15:18:14 9 15:18:16 10 15:18:17 12 15:18:23 13 15:18:25 14 15:18:31 15 15:18:32 16 15:18:35 17 15:18:35 18 15:18:38 19 15:18:44 21 15:18:44 21 15:18:46 23 15:18:47 24	you're asking? Q. I mean, for example, you talk about diabetes and obesity, A. Yeah. Q other things. But you would agree with me that that discussion might be more appropriate when we actually know what patient we're talking about; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. MR. ASSAAD: Basis? MR. COREY GORDON: Appropriate to what? Appropriate to his discussion of why McGovern is not effective? No. The word "appropriate" is is completely vague and meaningless. MR. ASSAAD: Why are you yelling to me, Corey? MR. COREY GORDON: I'm not yelling. I'm You're detecting an exasperated tone in my voice, but I'm not yelling. MR. ASSAAD: Are you picking up that stick to hit me? MR. COREY GORDON: Not yet. (Laughter.)

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 71 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	that thing comes down	15:22:30 1	275
15:18:54 1 15:18:56 2	that thing comes down.	-	A. Increases for sure the number of people who
•	(Laughter.) BY MR. ASSAAD:	15:22:33 2 15:22:39 3	are nasal carriers of Staph aureus, and by definition those people are more susceptible to infections.
4	Q. Are you aware of articles that discuss that	_	There may be other things as well, but that's the
5:19:30 4 5:19:32 5	the incidence of periprosthetic joint infections are	_	study of the microbiome is pretty young still, but
•			it's a remarkable thing that we have several studies
5:19:34 6 5:19:39 7	going to increase over the next twenty up to 2030? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:22:46 6	showing that.
	the question.	•	Q. But you still you agree with me that the
	·_		fact that
5:19:40 9 5:19:41 10	A. Yeah, related to the increased number of people who are undergoing the procedures, so.	15:22:51 9 15:22:54 10	You still need the bacteria to cause the
5:19:41 10 5:19:44 11		15:22:54 10	
	Q. When we talk about incidence, I'm talking	15:22:56 11	infection; correct?
5:19:46 12	about the percentage. A. Percent?	15:22:58 12	A. Bacteria are necessary, not sufficient.Q. You can't have an infection without the
5:19:48 13			
5:19:48 14	Q. Do you recall an article that indicated by	15:23:03 14 15:23:04 15	bacteria; correct? A. That's true.
5:19:51 15	2030 the the incident of periprosthetic joint infections will be as high as 6 percent?	15:23:04 15	
5:19:57 16 5:19:59 17	A. I'm not aware of that at all.	15:23:05 16	Q. Okay. And you are just saying that a person
5:19:59 17	Q. You would agree with me that being diabetic	15:23:09 17	that is obese might be more likely to be a Staph aureus carrier or an MRS carrier.
5:20:42 10 5:20:47 19	is not a cause of the infection.	15:23:15 10	
5:20:47 19 5:20:51 20		15:23:18 19	A. That's for sure, and I know that person's at
5:20:51 20 5:20:52 21	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.	15:23:20 20 15:23:24 21	higher risk when you look at the epidemiologic studies, which I've cited, for getting a surgical-site
5:20:52 21 5:20:53 22	·_	15:23:24 2 1 15:23:27 22	infection.
5:20:53 22 5:20:56 23	A. I don't agree with that at all. My view of infections surgical site infections is that they're	15:23:27 22 15:23:28 23	Q. I understand that.
5:20:56 23 5:21:01 24	infections, surgical-site infections is that they're	15:23:28 23 15:23:28 24	
	multifactorial and the comorbidities, for example, are		But my point is that makes them more
5:21:05 25	a one factor that can certainly change the baseline STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	15:23:33 25	susceptible, not that I mean STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
			51
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 274		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDEI 276
1		1	
5:21:10 1	rate if you're not having those comorbidities. So I look at all the risk factors as, if you will, risk	15:23:36	The only thing I know that causes a periprosthetic joint infection is a bacteria; correct?
5:21:14 2	factors and causes. So if you said to me, I have	15:23:38 2 15:23:40 3	A. That's always there.
	twins, one of them is you know, exactly the same	_	,
5:21:23 4		15:23:41 4	Q. Okay. The fact that I am someone's obese is not going to spontaneously have an infection
5:21:28	genetics, same surgeon, same operation, everything the same except one's an obese diabetic, and that patient	15:23:44 5	without a bacteria; correct?
5:21:31 6	·	15:23:47 6 15:23:49 7	A. Correct.
5:21:36	gets an infection post-op, of course the diabetes and the obesity contributed to that person's increased	•	
5:21:41 8 5:21:44 9	risk of infection.		Q. Okay. It is the bacteria that causes the infection, and it is the host that may be susceptible
5:21:44 9 5:21:47 10	Q. Doesn't that go to susceptibility?	15:23:53 9 15:23:58 10	more or less than the average human and may allow the
5:21:54 11	A. What I know it goes to is at least in	15:24:06 11 15:24:07 12	infection to progress. MP_COREY CORDON: Object to the form of
5:21:58 12 5:22:02 13	terms of diabetes and obesity, is a change in the		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
	microbiome. Is that what you mean by	15:24:08 13	the question.
5:22:05 14	"susceptibility"?	15:24:08 14	A. You and I are going to disagree. I mean, I
5:22:05 15	Q. So you think in that And Okay.	15:24:11 15	think that risk factors are, by definition, causal,
5:22:10 16	I want to make sure I understand you. You	15:24:15 16	and that's why I tried to give you the twins, one
5:22:12 17 5:22:15 18	think obesity and diabetes has an effect on the human microbiome.	15:24:20 17	was a diabetic obese, and without that that person,
5:22:15 16 5:22:15 19	A. It does, and I've cite several studies	15:24:24 18	the twin, didn't get an infection. You're asking a
5:22:15 19 5:22:18 20	that I've cited.	15:24:27 19 15:24:30 20	little bit about mechanisms, which aren't fully worked
5:22:18 20 5:22:19 21		15:24:30 20 15:24:31 21	Out.
	Q. Okay. And therefore what type of effect;		Q. Well the one that's diabetic obese compared to the regular twin, along the diabetic obese still
5:22:25 22	does it increase the the number of bacteria on the	15:24:33 22	to the regular twin, okay, the diabetic obese still
5:22:29 23	skin?	15:24:51 23	would have to have a bacteria that would get into the
5:22:29 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:24:53 24	joint area during the operation to cause an infection;
5:22:30 25	the question.	15:24:55 25	correct?
	CTIDEWALT & ACCOCIATED		CTIDE(A/ALT 0 ACCACIATED
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	: 949-5 	Filed 10/03/17 Page 72 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	277		279
15:24:56 1	A. Yeah. I mean	15:27:25 1	A. Sure.
_	Q. And the same thing with a person that's	15:27:45 2	Q. On the third paragraph from the bottom where
	skinny; correct?		it says: "Thus, substantial rises in comorbidities"?
4			
15:25:00 4	A. That's correct.	15:27:51 4	Do you see that?
15:25:00	Q. Unless, let's assume it's the same amount of	15:27:52 5	A. I do.
15:25:03	bacteria, say it's a thousand CFUs or 10,000 CFUs,	15:27:53	Q. Okay. The last sentence you say, "it has
15:25:08 7	okay? My understanding, and see if we could agree,	15:27:56 7	been reported that surgical site infection rates have
15:25:10	that the diabetic obese patient is more prone to	15:27:58	fallen over time during the use of Bair Hugger."
15:25:14	for the for the CFUs to to like more	15:28:00	Correct? I read that correctly?
15:25:20 10	likely to become infected because that person is obese	15:28:01 10	A. Yeah.
15:25:23 11	and a diabetic as compared to the healthy person.	15:28:02 11	Q. You're talking about superficial wound
15:25:28 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form.	15:28:04 12	infections; correct?
15:25:30 13	Q. Do you understand what I'm saying?	15:28:05 13	A. They're probably mixed.
15:25:31 14	A. Not really, no.	15:28:07 14	Q. Well we just said there was no study on
15:25:36 15	Q. Okay. You still need the bacteria to land	15:28:09 15	periprosthetic joint infections.
15:25:37 16	on the the diabetic and obese person; correct?	15:28:10 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:25:42 17	A. Correct.	15:28:12 17	the question.
15:25:42 18	Q. If no bacteria lands on the joint during the	15:28:14 18	A. Yeah. I don't know that they didn't count
15:25:42 10	operation of a diabetic obese patient, that patient,	15:28:14 10	I mean CDC has rates for hips and
15:25:44 19 15:25:49 20	more likely than not, is not going to have an	15:28:21 19 15:28:21 20	·
			(Interruption by the reporter.)
15:25:50 21	infection; correct?	15:28:25 21	A. has rates of infection for total hip
15:25:51 22	A. Yes.	15:28:28 22	placement, total knee replacement from their national
15:25:51 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:28:31 23	cohort. And what I cited in the report was if you
15:25:52 24	the question.	15:28:35 24	look at the trends over time, and they corrected for
15:25:53 25	Q. Correct?	15:28:39 25	some of the comorbidities the best they could, they've
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	278		280
15:25:54	A. Yes.	15:28:42 1	actually shown a decline, something like 27 to 43
15:25:54 2	Q. And in fact it would be impossible, without	15:28:45 2	percent depending on one's hips, one's knees.
15:25:56 3	bacteria, for that person to have an infection;	15:28:49 3	Q. Are you aware of the the Parvizi studies
15:25:58 4	correct?	15:28:53 4	regarding the economic burden of periprosthetic joint
15:25:58 5	A. Need the bacteria.	15:28:55 5	infections?
15:25:59 6	Q. Huh?	15:28:56 6	A. I think so. I don't remember exactly what
15:26:00 7	A. Need the bacteria.	15:28:57 7	number he came up with, but.
15:26:01	Q. You need the bacteria.	15:29:01	Q. Well you know that Dr. Parvizi has looked at
15:26:02	Whether or not you are obese, diabetic,	15:29:04	this issue; correct?
15:26:05 10	immunosuppressed and whatever type of comorbidity	15:29:05 10	A. Yeah.
15:26:10 11	there is, you need the bacteria.	15:29:05 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:26:11 12	A. Yes.	15:29:07 12	the question.
15:26:11 12	Q. Okay. You could be immunosuppressed and go	15:29:07 12	MR. ASSAAD: Basis?
15:26:12 13	through a total hip and total knee arthroplasty, and	15:29:07 13 15:29:08 14	MR. COREY GORDON: What is "this issue"?
15:26:29 14 15:26:32 15		15:29:08 14 15:29:10 15	
	as long as no bacteria lands in the joint area you're		You've just You've had a whole line of questions
15:26:34 16	not going to get an infection; correct?	15:29:12 16	where you're asking him about the trends, and then
15:26:36 17	A. I think that's true.	15:29:13 17	you switch gears and then you say he's Parvizi has
15:26:38 18	Q. Same thing with a diabetic; correct?	15:29:18 18	looked at "this issue."
15:26:40 19	A. Yes.	15:29:21 19	BY MR. ASSAAD:
15:26:41 20	Q. Same thing with an obese person; correct?	15:29:25 20	Q. Doctor, you knew what I was talking about
15:26:42 21	A. Yes.	15:29:27 21	when I said "this issue"; correct?
15:26:43 22	Q. Okay. You need the bacteria to get to the	15:29:29 22	A. I did.
	joint; correct?	15:29:29 23	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:26:45 23		0.4	
15:26:45 23 15:26:46 24	A. You do.	15:29:30 24	the question, lack of foundation.
	A. You do.Q. Okay. Go to page 13.	15:29:30 24 15:29:31 25	the question, lack of foundation. Q. We were talking about infection rates;
15:26:46 24			
15:26:46 24	Q. Okay. Go to page 13.		Q. We were talking about infection rates;

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 73 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	281		283
15:29:33	correct?	15:32:30 1	A. My estimate is probably one percent or so.
15:29:34 2	A. Yes.	15:32:33 2	Q. Okay. So if that's the case, and I think
15:29:34 3	Q. And Dr. Parvizi has looked at infection	15:32:36	that might be acceptable, Rasouli is only showing .2
15:29:37 4	rates over time.	15:32:42 4	percent infection rates for primary hip or primary
15:29:37 5	A. And he showed, yeah, a fall.	15:32:45 5	knee. That sounds very low; doesn't it?
15:29:40 6	Q. You believe he saw he's seen a fall?	15:32:47 6	A. It does seem
15:29:42 7	A. That's what he said.	15:32:48 7	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:29:43 8	Q. When did he say this?	15:32:49	the question.
15:29:44	A. In a paper.	15:32:49	Q. That seems very low, doesn't it, sir?
15:29:45 10	Q. Okay.	15:32:51 10	A. It seems low.
15:29:46 11	A. Can we pull it out?	15:32:52 11	Q. Okay. Would that cause you any concern to
15:29:48 12	Q. Are you familiar with a paper titled	15:32:54 12	see what to check to see how he calculated his
15:30:02 13	Economic Burden of Periprosthetic Joint Infections in	15:32:55 13	infection rate?
15:30:05 14	the United States, authored by Steven Kurtz, Evan Lau,	15:32:56 14	A. It's one paper.
15:30:10 15	Heather Watson, Jordan Schmier and Javad Parvizi?	15:32:57 15	Q. Okay. And there's two papers by Dr. Parvizi
15:30:15 16	A. I don't think I I don't remember it.	15:33:00 16	that you have not looked at; correct?
15:30:17 17	That's I may have read it, I don't remember.	15:33:03 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:30:19 18	Q. Published in 2011?	15:33:03 18	the question.
15:30:20 19	A. Yeah, I don't remember it.	15:33:04 19	A. Don't remember which ones I didn't look at.
15:30:23 20	Q. I'm sorry. 2012.	15:33:06 20	Are they the ones you were talking about earlier?
15:30:27 21	A. I don't remember it.	15:33:07 21	Q. Yes.
15:30:40 22	Q. What Parvizi article are you referring to	15:33:07 22	A. Yeah.
15:30:43 23	that says he reduced reduction of infection?	15:33:07 23	Q. The economic burden ones.
15:30:46 24	A. Let me see if I can find it. (Witness	15:33:09 24	A. Yeah, I don't remember that.
15:30:56 25	reviewing exhibit.) Oh, I was thinking it's the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	15:33:10 25	Q. Okay. You also have an opinion that the STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	282		284
15:30:59		15:34:24 1	284
15:30:59 1 15:31:03 2	282 Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir?	15:34:24 1 15:34:27 2	
_	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author.	_	284 number of health professionals in an operating room
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir?	15:34:27 2	284 number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13.	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3	284 number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct?
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3 15:31:06 4	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph?	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at?
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3 15:31:06 4 15:31:08 5	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:33 5	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah.
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3 15:31:06 4 15:31:08 5 15:31:24 6	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:33 5 15:34:39 6	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"?
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3 15:31:06 4 15:31:08 5 15:31:24 6 15:31:29 7	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right.	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:33 5 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else.
15:31:03 2 15:31:05 3 15:31:06 4 15:31:08 5 15:31:24 6 15:31:29 7 15:31:41 8	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:33 5 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion?	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:33 5 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:34:50 11	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah.	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though;	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct?
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct?	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah.	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:34:50 11 15:35:15 12 15:35:17 13 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 16	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case;	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct?	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No.	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant?
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:35:15 12 15:35:17 13 15:35:17 13 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 16 15:35:27 17 15:35:33 18 15:35:38 19 15:35:40 20	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:34:50 11 15:35:15 12 15:35:17 13 15:35:17 13 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 16 15:35:21 17 15:35:33 18 15:35:38 19 15:35:40 20 15:35:42 21	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for primary total hip or total knee infections in the	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. No, I don't know that I would agree. I
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for primary total hip or total knee infections in the United States currently?	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. No, I don't know that I would agree. I mean, it adds data.
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for primary total hip or total knee infections in the United States currently? A. Currently?	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:35:15 12 15:35:17 13 15:35:17 13 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 16 15:35:21 16 15:35:21 17 15:35:31 18 15:35:31 19 15:35:32 19 15:35:42 21 15:35:42 22 15:35:44 23 15:35:45 24	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. No, I don't know that I would agree. I mean, it adds data. Q. Well the Bair Hugger's u we're talking
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for primary total hip or total knee infections in the United States currently? A. Currently? Q. Uh-huh.	15:34:27	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. No, I don't know that I would agree. I mean, it adds data. Q. Well the Bair Hugger's u we're talking about the Bair Hugger being used perioperatively;
15:31:03	Rasouli paper, but I was thinking he was a co-author. Q. What page are you looking at, sir? A. So page 13. Q. What paragraph? A. It's Roman numeral vi. And if Parvizi wasn't part of that study then that's my mistake, but Rasouli is actually the first author. Q. Mohammad Rasouli? A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Did you look at what ICD-9 codes they looked at in formulating this opinion? A. I saw them, but I don't memorize those or anything, yeah. Q. Okay. You could look them up, though; correct? A. I could have, yeah. Q. Okay. And you didn't do that in this case; correct? A. No. Q. Okay. And if you look at What do you think the infection rate is for primary total hip or total knee infections in the United States currently? A. Currently?	15:34:27 2 15:34:30 3 15:34:32 4 15:34:39 6 15:34:40 7 15:34:42 8 15:34:47 9 15:34:48 10 15:35:15 12 15:35:17 13 15:35:17 13 15:35:21 15 15:35:21 16 15:35:21 16 15:35:21 17 15:35:31 18 15:35:31 19 15:35:32 19 15:35:42 21 15:35:42 22 15:35:44 23 15:35:45 24	number of health professionals in an operating room had no significant influence on bacterial counts in the operating room; correct? A. What page you looking at? Q. 16. A. Sixteen? Q. Yeah. A. Under "Summary"? Q. I'm sorry. I'm looking at something else. I apologize. Withdraw the question. Okay. Let's go to page 19. A. Yeah. Q. This talks about your hierarchy of Bair Hugger studies; correct? A. Sure. Q. Okay. Can we agree, with respect to whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over the surgical site, that the Melling article is irrelevant? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. No, I don't know that I would agree. I mean, it adds data. Q. Well the Bair Hugger's u we're talking

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc- CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	285		287
15:35:50 1	correct?	15:37:53	A. Yeah. I have a table on that somewhere that
15:35:50 2	A. Yeah.	15:37:55 2	might make it easier. Maybe it was earlier. (Witness
15:35:50 3	Q. And the Melling was pre-warming; correct?	15:38:05 3	reviewing exhibit.) Here we go.
15:35:52	A. That's correct.	15:38:08 4	Q. On page 14?
15:35:53 5	Q. So whether or not I mean we're not	15:38:09 5	A. Page 14 and 15, yeah.
15:35:56	looking at pre-warming here, we're looking at	15:38:11 6	MR. COREY GORDON: 14 to 15, partly. Oguz
15:36:01 7	perioperative warming. You understand that; correct?	15:38:18 7	isn't in that table, you discuss that elsewhere.
15:36:04	A. I do, and I've cited the paper that says	15:38:23	MR. ASSAAD: Do you want to testify some
15:36:07	warming and pre-warming might last up to a couple of	15:38:25	more, Mr Mr. Gordon?
15:36:09 10	hours.	15:38:26 10	MR. COREY GORDON: I'm just trying to
15:36:10 11	Q. But we're talking about	15:38:28 11	Q. So doctor doctor
15:36:11 12	Do you understand plaintiffs' allegations	15:38:30 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Go back to 20 and have
15:36:12 13	that the Bair Hugger increases the bacterial load over	15:38:31 13	him talk about it from there rather than the table.
15:36:16 14	the surgical site?	15:38:36 14	BY MR. ASSAAD:
15:36:19 15	A. What I remember that you asked me the	15:38:36 15	Q. Doctor, do you know what device was used in
15:36:21 16	hypothesis that I thought they had was that it created	15:38:39 16	the Zink study, which Bair Hugger device?
15:36:21 17	a kind of a dust storm from the floor that came up	15:38:39 17	A. I don't No. Don't remember.
15:36:25 17	over the surgical site, yes.	15:38:42 17	Q. So you don't know what what the airflow
15:36:28 10	Q. Well let's Yeah. So So there has to	15:38:43 10 15:38:45 19	of that device was?
15:36:30 19		15:38:45 19	A. No.
15:36:33 20 15:36:35 21	be a surgical site; correct? A. Yeah.	15:38:46 20 15:38:46 21	
			, ,
15:36:36 22	Q. Okay. There's no surgical site or wound	15:38:49 22	determine whether that study applies to the device
15:36:38 23	during pre-warming; correct?	15:38:51 23	that's being used in this litiga being in this
15:36:40 24	A. That's true.	15:38:54 24	litigation?
15:36:41 25	Q. So with respect to whether or not the Bair	15:38:55 25	A. Told you I don't know what device they had
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 286		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 288
15:36:43 1	Hugger increases the risk of surgical-site infection,	15:38:56 1	there.
15:36:46 2	you have to look at studies that deal with the Bair	15:38:56 2	Q. That wasn't my question, sir.
15:36:49 3	Hugger being used during perioperative warming;	15:38:56 3	A. Yeah.
4	correct?		Q. Don't you think knowing what device was
15:36:52 4 15:36:53 5	A. What I would say is if you have pre-warming	15:38:58 4 15:38:59 5	studied is relevant to determine whether that article
•	and the body stays warm and you avoid all the		is relevant to the device that's being used in this
_	vasoconstriction that cooling does, that's a good	_	litigation?
	thing. Is that So maybe I'm not getting close		A. Could
_	enough here.	•	
	enough here.		MR (AREA CARDINAL Chiect to the form of
45.07.07 10	Well plaintiffs' allegation for just keep		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:37:07 10	Q. Well plaintiffs' allegation for just keep	15:39:08 10	the question.
15:37:10 11	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know.
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right?
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be.
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you?
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't.
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct?	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster;
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall?
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:17 18	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was.
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct?
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20 15:39:21 21	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all.	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20 15:39:21 21 15:39:25 22	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article.
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22 15:37:42 23	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all. Q. Have you looked at the stu all the	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20 15:39:21 21 15:39:25 22 15:39:28 23	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article. Q. Okay. Well
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22 15:37:42 23 15:37:48 24	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all. Q. Have you looked at the stu all the studies under the Biological Plausibility Studies on	15.39.08 10 15.39.08 11 15.39.10 12 15.39.11 13 15.39.12 14 15.39.14 15 15.39.15 16 15.39.15 17 15.39.18 19 15.39.18 19 15.39.19 20 15.39.21 21 15.39.22 22 15.39.28 23 15.39.28 24	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article. Q. Okay. Well (Interruption by the reporter.)
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22 15:37:42 23	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all. Q. Have you looked at the stu all the studies under the Biological Plausibility Studies on page 20?	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20 15:39:21 21 15:39:25 22 15:39:28 23	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article. Q. Okay. Well (Interruption by the reporter.) Q. And that was in 1991; correct? December 9th
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22 15:37:42 23 15:37:48 24	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all. Q. Have you looked at the stu all the studies under the Biological Plausibility Studies on page 20? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	15.39.08 10 15.39.08 11 15.39.10 12 15.39.11 13 15.39.12 14 15.39.14 15 15.39.15 16 15.39.15 17 15.39.18 19 15.39.18 19 15.39.19 20 15.39.21 21 15.39.22 22 15.39.28 23 15.39.28 24	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article. Q. Okay. Well (Interruption by the reporter.) Q. And that was in 1991; correct? December 9th STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
15:37:10 11 15:37:13 12 15:37:18 13 15:37:18 14 15:37:19 15 15:37:20 16 15:37:23 17 15:37:25 18 15:37:26 19 15:37:29 20 15:37:32 21 15:37:36 22 15:37:42 23 15:37:42 23	it simple. The Bair Hugger is being used and it causes increased bacteria over the wound. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Okay? You understand that. A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Melling doesn't deal with perioperative warming; correct? A. He deals with pre-warming. Q. Okay. So that's a different situation of what plaintiffs' allegations are in this case. A. Might be technically. I was just trying to say that the physiology is the same, that's all. Q. Have you looked at the stu all the studies under the Biological Plausibility Studies on page 20? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	15:39:08 10 15:39:08 11 15:39:10 12 15:39:11 13 15:39:12 14 15:39:14 15 15:39:15 16 15:39:15 17 15:39:17 18 15:39:18 19 15:39:19 20 15:39:21 21 15:39:25 22 15:39:28 23 15:39:28 24 15:39:29 25	the question. A. Yeah, it might be. I don't know. Q. It may be; right? A. Yeah. Yeah. Might be. Q. And you don't know today what device was used; do you? A. Yeah, I don't. Q. Okay. The Hall The Hall is a poster; correct? A.C. Hall? A. It was. Q. It's not peer reviewed; correct? A. I'm not sure it wasn't peer reviewed, but it wasn't a peer-reviewed full article. Q. Okay. Well (Interruption by the reporter.) Q. And that was in 1991; correct? December 9th STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	0.00	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	289		291
15:39:33		15:41:41 1	Yes.
15:39:33	A. Yes. Yes, yes.	15:41:41 2	Q. Okay. I mean, look at the Zink study. That
•	Q. Do you know what device was used in that	15:41:54	only had eight volunteers; correct?
4	article?		A. That's true.
-		-	
15:39:37 5	A. No, I don't.	15:41:57 5	Q. That's a very small study; correct?
15:39:38 6	Q. Okay. So it might be a different device	15:41:59 6	A. That's true.
15:39:41 7	that is at issue in this litigation; correct?	15:41:59 7	Q. Okay. If When we're looking at bacterial
15:39:43	A. I don't know. Might be.	15:42:03	load with airborne contamination, that is a very
15:39:44	Q. Okay. And that would be relevant.	15:42:10	underpowered study; correct?
15:39:46 10	A. Might be.	15:42:11 10	A. It's under
15:39:46 11	Q. Okay. The Huang article, do you know what	15:42:12 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:39:52 12	device was used in that case?	15:42:13 12	the question.
15:39:54 13	A. No.	15:42:13 13	Q. Very underpowered; correct?
15:39:56 14	Q. And do you have any criticisms of these	15:42:15 14	A. It's underpowered.
15:39:58 15	articles?	15:42:16 15	Q. Okay. And in fact do you know whether or
		15:42:19 16	·
15:40:00 16	A. They're small studies, they're not always,		not I mean, you agree with me, as we stated before,
15:40:08 17	you know, controlled studies. Well they are, I guess.	15:42:22 17	that the amount of people in the operating room have
15:40:11 18	Well one of them wasn't, the Dirkes study. But mostly	15:42:24 18	an effect on the bacterial load in the operating room;
15:40:16 19	I think they're just small studies that try to look	15:42:26 19	correct?
15:40:22 20	at, I think, a relevant question.	15:42:27 20	A. I think they do.
15:40:26 21	Q. By the way, does it do you take into	15:42:28 21	Q. Okay. Do you know how many people were in
15:40:28 22	consideration who funds the studies?	15:42:31 22	the operating room when they did this study?
15:40:30 23	A. You have to look at that.	15:42:32 23	A. Don't remember, no.
15:40:31 24	Q. Okay. But just because a person funds a	15:42:33 24	Q. Okay. Because it would be a big difference
15:40:34 25	study doesn't mean the study's not a good study;	15:42:37 25	if there was only one person, the patient, as compared
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	290		292
4	290		292
	correct?	1	to the nations and six or seven or eight needle in the
15:40:36	correct?	15:42:40	to the patient and six or seven or eight people in the
15:40:37 2	A. I would say that's true.	15:42:42 2	operating room; correct?
•	A. I would say that's true.Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference.
15:40:37 2	A. I would say that's true.Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there	15:42:42 2	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3	A. I would say that's true.Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference.
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4	A. I would say that's true.Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5 15:40:46 6	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct?
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5 15:40:46 6 15:40:49 7	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah.
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5 15:40:46 6 15:40:49 7 15:40:51 8 15:40:55 9	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:03 10	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:03 10 15:43:07 11	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct?
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5 15:40:46 6 15:40:49 7 15:40:51 8 15:40:55 9 15:40:58 10 15:41:01 11 15:41:06 12 15:41:09 13	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:46 6 15:40:46 7 15:40:51 8 15:40:55 9 15:40:58 10 15:41:01 11 15:41:08 12 15:41:09 13 15:41:12 14 15:41:13 15	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question.
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:21 16 15:43:23 17 15:43:27 18	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered.
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those 	15:42:42	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:21 16 15:43:23 17 15:43:27 18	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered.
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:44 5 15:40:46 6 15:40:49 7 15:40:51 8 15:40:55 9 15:40:58 10 15:41:01 11 15:41:01 12 15:41:01 13 15:41:12 14 15:41:13 15 15:41:17 16 15:41:19 18 15:41:19 18 15:41:19 18	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:21 16 15:43:21 17 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:14 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:21 16 15:43:21 18 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies.
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. Q. Okay. But you wouldn't give them carte blanche to make any changes to the 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:21 16 15:43:21 16 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20 15:43:31 21 15:43:33 22	operating room; correct? A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies. Q. I understand. And you criticized McGovern and you criticized all these other studies, but you
15:40:37 2 15:40:38 3 15:40:42 4 15:40:46 6 15:40:46 7 15:40:51 8 15:40:55 9 15:40:58 10 15:41:01 11 15:41:06 12 15:41:09 13 15:41:12 14 15:41:13 15 15:41:17 16 15:41:17 17 15:41:19 18 15:41:24 19 15:41:28 20 15:41:36 21 15:41:36 22	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. Q. Okay. But you wouldn't give them carte blanche to make any changes to the A. Oh, no. 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20 15:43:31 21 15:43:33 22 15:43:36 23	A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies. Q. I understand. And you criticized McGovern and you criticized all these other studies, but you don't criticize the studies that 3M relies upon.
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. Q. Okay. But you wouldn't give them carte blanche to make any changes to the A. Oh, no. Q. Okay. That would be unethical; wouldn't it? 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:21 16 15:43:21 16 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20 15:43:31 21 15:43:33 22 15:43:36 23 15:43:36 24	A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies. Q. I understand. And you criticized McGovern and you criticized all these other studies, but you don't criticize the studies that 3M relies upon. MR. COREY GORDON: Object
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. Q. Okay. But you wouldn't give them carte blanche to make any changes to the A. Oh, no. Q. Okay. That would be unethical; wouldn't it? A. That'd be 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:19 15 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20 15:43:31 21 15:43:33 22 15:43:36 23	A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies. Q. I understand. And you criticized McGovern and you criticized all these other studies, but you don't criticize the studies that 3M relies upon. MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q. Why is that, sir?
15:40:37	 A. I would say that's true. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you would eliminate most of the studies that are out there because they're usually financed by a corporation. A. Well I've done a lot of studies funded by industry, and as I told you, many of them turn out to be nothing, and I wrote the paper up and kind of read 'em and weep. Q. And usually good studies or corporations, when they fund a study, should not be involved in the study; correct? A. Yeah. When I've done studies myself, they haven't been involved. Q. They should have no editorial review of the studies. A. Actually, as a courtesy after each of those studies, most of us would give industry some time period, like 30 days to look at it. They can make comments, but we make the final decision. Q. Okay. But you wouldn't give them carte blanche to make any changes to the A. Oh, no. Q. Okay. That would be unethical; wouldn't it? 	15:42:42 2 15:42:43 3 15:42:44 4 15:42:49 5 15:42:52 6 15:42:53 7 15:42:55 8 15:43:00 9 15:43:07 11 15:43:07 11 15:43:10 12 15:43:13 13 15:43:16 14 15:43:21 16 15:43:21 16 15:43:27 18 15:43:27 19 15:43:27 19 15:43:29 20 15:43:31 21 15:43:33 22 15:43:36 23 15:43:36 24	A. I think there would be a difference. Q. Okay. And when you do a study you want to imitate the study as much as possible to what really happens in real life; correct? A. Yeah, always. Yeah. Q. Okay. Otherwise, I mean, you might get results, but it's hard to apply those the results to make decisions with respect to clinical care if the scenarios are not similar; correct? A. It's easier to make results if you have a the closer it is to what goes on, no question. But I wouldn't throw the studies out, if that's part of the question. Q. Well I don't see you criticizing any of these studies in here that's saying that they're underpowered. A. I didn't say that. I just told you they're underpowered and they're small studies. Q. I understand. And you criticized McGovern and you criticized all these other studies, but you don't criticize the studies that 3M relies upon. MR. COREY GORDON: Object

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 76 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	293		295
15:43:40	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:45:14 1	A. It's what?
15:43:40 2	the question.	15:45:14 2	Q. That dealt with surgical drapes.
15:43:40 3	Q. Why is that, sir?	15:45:17 3	A. Yes.
15:43:41 4	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:45:19 4	Q. Okay. Did you read the letter to the editor
15:43:43 5	the question.	15:45:20 5	by Farhad Memarzadeh in the Moretti case?
15:43:44 6	A. I'm very happy to talk about this, you know,	15:45:24	A. No.
15:43:46 7	but.	15:45:32 7	Q. Any criticism of Avidan besides it's it's
15:43:47 8	Q. We can talk about it all you want, but I'm	15:45:35	a small study?
15:43:49	saying why in your report you did not criticize or	15:45:42	A. Well, I mean, one of the things you would
15:43:52 10	discuss any of the weaknesses in the studies that 3M	15:45:44 10	say is when the plates were directly in the airstream
15:43:55 11	rely upon.	15:45:48 11	16 be inches below the end of the hose you could
15:43:56 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:45:51 12	argue that you're not really sure what was coming out
15:43:57 13	the question.	15:45:56 13	was from only the hose or the air below. That would
5:43:57 14	A. Yeah, I I think I took these studies,	15:45:58 14	be one criticism.
15:44:00 15	this is what I found, and collectively they showed	15:46:00 15	Q. Okay. You didn't put that in your report;
15:44:03 16	nothing in terms of colony-forming units increasing as	15:46:02 16	did you?
15:44:08 17	a result of the Bair Hugger.	15:46:02 17	A. No, I didn't.
15:44:09 18	Q. But you would criticize Zink, Hall, Huang,	15:46:05 18	Q. Okay. You cite to the Oguz study; correct?
15:44:14 19	Dirkes, and Moretti as being underpowered, wouldn't	15:46:26 19	O-G-U-Z.
15:44:17 20	you?	15:46:26 20	A. Yes. Yes.
15:44:17 21	A. So these are small studies, that's true.	15:46:28 21	Q. Any criticism of that study?
15:44:19 22	That's the best data we have.	15:46:29 22	A. It was pretty good. He randomized people,
15:44:21 23	Q. Did you criticize them at all and say	15:46:32 23	there were 80 orthopedic patients, and he looked at
15:44:23 24	they're underpowered in the paper?	15:46:37 24	the influence of either device on the CFUs and found
15:44:24 25	A. I didn't do that.	15:46:42 25	none.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	294		296
15:44:25	Q. That's not being objective, sir, is it?	15:46:49 1	Q. "Found none"?
15:44:27	A. I think I	15:46:50 2	A. Huh?
15:44:27	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:46:50 3	Q. "Found none"?
15:44:28 4	the question.	15:46:51 4	A. No influence.
15:44:28 5	Q. That's not being objective, sir, is it?	15:46:52 5	Q. So you wouldn't agree with me that if you
15:44:29	A. I think I'm fine with this.	15:46:54 6	a. So you moulant agree man me and myou
15:44:31			looked at the comparison between the Bair Hugger and
		_	
•	Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay.	15:46:56 7	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an
15:44:32	Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay.A. Yeah.	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8	increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9	Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay.A. Yeah.Q. That wasn't my question.	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog?
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:33 10	Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay.A. Yeah.Q. That wasn't my question.Is that being objective?	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:33 10 15:44:34 11	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence.
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:33 10 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:33 10 15:44:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:39 13	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question,
15:44:32	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:13 14	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:33 10 15:544:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:39 13 15:44:52 14	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. 	15:46:56	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it.
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:52 14 5:44:55 15 5:44:57 16	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? 	15:46:56	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:33 10 15:44:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:39 13 15:44:52 14 15:44:57 16 15:44:59 17	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.)
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:51 15 5:44:51 16 6:44:59 17 6:44:50 18	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:09 13 15:47:13 14 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD:
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:52 14 5:44:51 15 5:44:51 17 5:45:00 18 5:45:04 19	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? 	15:46:56	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:52 14 5:44:57 16 5:44:59 17 6:45:00 18 5:45:00 18 5:45:00 20	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. 	15:46:56	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguzarticle
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:51 15 5:44:51 15 5:44:51 16 5:44:51 17 5:45:01 18 5:45:01 19 5:45:02 20 5:45:05 21	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18 15:47:38 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Ogus article
5:44:32 8 5:44:33 9 5:44:34 11 5:44:36 12 5:44:39 13 5:44:52 14 5:44:57 16 5:44:59 17 5:45:00 18 5:45:04 19 5:45:05 20 5:45:05 21 5:45:09 22	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device was used in that case; correct? 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:13 14 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 18 15:47:27 18 15:47:35 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21 15:47:45 22	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Ogus article What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Ogus article that was provided to us by Dr. Wenzel today,
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:39 13 15:44:52 14 15:44:57 16 15:44:59 17 15:45:00 18 15:45:04 19 15:45:05 21 15:45:09 22 15:45:09 22 15:45:10 23	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device was used in that case; correct? A. Don't know what device. 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:13 14 15:47:13 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18 15:47:28 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21 15:47:45 22 15:47:49 23	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article that was provided to us by Dr. Wenzel today, August 4, 2017, according to a subpoena that was
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:36 13 15:44:55 15 15:44:56 17 15:45:00 18 15:45:00 20 15:45:00 21 15:45:00 22 15:45:10 23 15:45:11 24	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device was used in that case; correct? 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:05 13 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18 15:47:35 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21 15:47:45 22 15:47:49 23 15:47:54 24	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article that was provided to us by Dr. Wenzel today, August 4, 2017, according to a subpoena that was issued to be produced to us by June 21st, but we got
15:44:32 8 15:44:33 9 15:44:34 11 15:44:36 12 15:44:36 13 15:44:56 15 15:44:56 17 15:45:04 19 15:45:05 20 15:45:05 21 15:45:05 21 15:45:00 22 15:45:10 23 15:45:11 24	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device was used in that case; correct? A. Don't know what device. Q. And that dealt with surgical drapes; correct? 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:13 14 15:47:13 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18 15:47:28 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21 15:47:45 22 15:47:49 23	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article that was provided to us by Dr. Wenzel today, August 4, 2017, according to a subpoena that was issued to be produced to us by June 21st, but we got it today.
_	 Q. Oh, you're fine with that, okay. A. Yeah. Q. That wasn't my question. Is that being objective? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Move to strike counsel's snide comment. Q. You cite Avidan; correct? A. Yes. On the next page, 15. Q. That was a small study as well; correct? A. It was a small study. Q. Okay. And you don't know what device was used in that case; do you? A. No, I don't. Q. And Occhipinti, you don't know what device was used in that case; correct? A. Don't know what device. Q. And that dealt with surgical drapes; 	15:46:56 7 15:47:00 8 15:47:02 9 15:47:03 10 15:47:05 11 15:47:05 13 15:47:07 12 15:47:09 13 15:47:18 15 15:47:27 16 15:47:27 17 15:47:27 18 15:47:35 19 15:47:38 20 15:47:41 21 15:47:45 22 15:47:49 23 15:47:54 24	the HotDog in the Oguz study that there was an increase in bacterial load using the Bair Hugger over the HotDog? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, mischaracterizes the evidence. A. I mean, what he found at the end using his model, multivariate model, and asked the question, does the individual device actually influence the counts, and he couldn't find it. (Wenzel Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article What's been marked as Exhibit 11 is the Oguz article that was provided to us by Dr. Wenzel today, August 4, 2017, according to a subpoena that was issued to be produced to us by June 21st, but we got

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 77 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
1	297 And it's underlined by Dr. Oguz; is that	15:50:16 1	A. Yeah. Yeah.
15:47:59 1	correct?	0	_
	A. Underlined by me?		3
4	Q. Yes.		
15:48:05 4	A. Yeah.	_	
15:48:05 5	Q. Okay. Can I have that back, please?		
15:48:07	A. Sure. (Handing.)	15:50:21 6 15:50:26 7	
15:48:08	Q. Now what you didn't underline here was the	15:50:30	
15:48:13	statement by the authors that, this study may	15:50:36	
15:48:16 10	obviously not be generalized for an overall safety	15:50:37 10	
15:48:19 11	statement on forced-air warming, and is primarily	15:50:43 11	flow," you're looking at that, or the "presence of
15:48:23 12	applicable in the particular surgical setup.	15:50:44 12	
15:48:26 13	You didn't underline that; did you?	15:50:45 13	
15:48:27 14	A. No.	15:50:46 14	
15:48:28 15	Q. Okay. That's a pretty important statement	15:50:47 15	_
15:48:30 16	by the authors; isn't it?	15:50:49 16	•
15:48:31 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	15:50:52 17	surgical site.
15:48:32 18	the question, lack of foundation.	15:50:53 18	
15:48:34 19	A. Where am I looking here?	15:50:54 19	the question.
15:48:38 20	Q. (Indicating.) Right after you stopped	15:50:54 20	Q. That's what those numbers mean; correct?
15:48:40 21	underlining up here.	15:50:57 21	For four out of the six plates.
15:48:41 22	A. Right there? (Witness reviewing exhibit.)	15:50:59 22	A. Oh, I see what you're saying. Yes.
15:48:49 23	So you're saying "only the maximum number of health	15:51:00 23	Q. Okay.
15:48:52 24	professionals"	15:51:01 24	A. For four out of the six, yeah.
15:48:53 25	Q. No. Over here, sir. Right after this	15:51:03 25	Q. Okay. And you are aware that the only
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	298		300
15:48:55	298 underline here. [Indicating.]	15:51:47 1	300 one surgery dealt with total knee replacement.
15:48:56 2	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing	15:51:50 2	300 one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.)	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries;
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct?
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:54 5	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:54 5 15:51:55 6	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:54 5 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:54 5 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 5 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1].
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six	15.51.50 2 15.51.51 3 15.51.54 4 15.51.54 5 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 7 15.52.55 9 15.53.20 10	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.)
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria	15.51.50 2 15.51.51 3 15.51.54 4 15.51.55 5 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 7 15.52.13 8 15.52.55 9 15.53.20 10 15.53.20 11 15.53.20 12 16.02.55 13	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD:
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog	15.51.50 2 15.51.51 3 15.51.54 4 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 7 15.52.13 8 15.52.55 9 15.53.20 10 15.53.20 11 15.53.23 12 16.02.55 13 16.03.03 14	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor?
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used?	15.51.50 2 15.51.51 3 15.51.54 4 15.51.55 5 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 7 15.52.13 8 15.52.55 9 15.53.20 10 15.53.20 11 15.53.20 12 16.02.55 13	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:42 15	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this?	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:42 15 15:49:43 16	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay.
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:23 10 15:49:24 12 15:49:34 12 15:49:39 14 15:49:39 14 15:49:41 15 15:49:41 17	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these?	15.51.50 2 15.51.51 3 15.51.54 4 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 6 15.51.55 7 15.52.51 8 15.52.51 9 15.53.20 10 15.53.20 11 15.53.20 11 15.53.20 12 16.02.55 13 16.03.03 14 16.03.04 15 16.03.07 16 16.03.11 17	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:25 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:41 15 15:49:41 16 15:49:45 18	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16 16:03:11 17 16:03:11 17	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus?
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:25 10 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:41 15 15:49:42 15 15:49:41 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 9 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16 16:03:11 17 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus?
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:41 15 15:49:41 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19 15:50:07 20	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what are you Make sure that I know what you're looking	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 9 15:52:13 8 15:52:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:11 17 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19 16:03:7 20	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus? A. Yeah. Q. You agree with me that none of those studies
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:23 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:42 15 15:49:43 16 15:49:41 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19 15:50:07 20 15:50:09 21	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what are you Make sure that I know what you're looking what numbers.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12 16:02:55 13 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:04 15 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19 16:03:27 20 16:03:28 21	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus? A. Yeah. Q. You agree with me that none of those studies looked at the incidence of periprosthetic joint
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:29 1 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:31 12 15:49:31 13 15:49:31 14 15:49:42 15 15:49:41 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19 15:50:07 20 15:50:09 21 15:50:10 22	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what are you Make sure that I know what you're looking what numbers. Q. Let me read it out loud for you.	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 12 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16 16:03:11 17 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19 16:03:27 20 16:03:28 21 16:03:30 22	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus? A. Yeah. Q. You agree with me that none of those studies looked at the incidence of periprosthetic joint infection; correct?
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:29 9 15:49:25 10 15:49:29 11 15:49:39 14 15:49:39 14 15:49:42 15 15:49:43 16 15:49:44 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19 15:50:07 20 15:50:09 21 15:50:11 23	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what are you Make sure that I know what you're looking what numbers. Q. Let me read it out loud for you. A. Yeah. Go ahead. Q. Table 2 is the results of a multivariate analysis of factors; correct?	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 9 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 11 15:53:20 12 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16 16:03:11 17 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19 16:03:27 20 16:03:28 21 16:03:30 22 16:03:34 23	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus? A. Yeah. Q. You agree with me that none of those studies looked at the incidence of periprosthetic joint infection; correct? A. Let me see where I am here. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) You're sure Kalmeijer? I just
15:48:56 2 15:49:05 3 15:49:05 4 15:49:11 5 15:49:14 6 15:49:17 7 15:49:19 8 15:49:25 10 15:49:25 11 15:49:34 12 15:49:37 13 15:49:39 14 15:49:41 15 15:49:41 17 15:49:45 18 15:49:47 19 15:50:07 20 15:50:09 21 15:50:10 22 15:50:11 23 15:50:12 24	underline here. [Indicating.] A. Oh, this one. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) It might not. So I think that I think good authors will try to look and give their own critique of potential shortcomings. Q. Okay. Now let's look at the table underneath there that looked at the multivariate analysis. Do you agree with me for four out of the six plates that there is a higher incident of bacteria when forced-air warming was used as compared to when forced-air warming was not used, or when the HotDog was used? A. Where is this? Q. Table 2. A. Oh, I'm sorry. It's these? Q. Yeah. The second line down. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) So what are you Make sure that I know what you're looking what numbers. Q. Let me read it out loud for you. A. Yeah. Go ahead. Q. Table 2 is the results of a multivariate	15:51:50 2 15:51:51 3 15:51:54 4 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 6 15:51:55 7 15:52:13 8 15:52:55 9 15:53:20 10 15:53:20 11 15:53:23 12 16:03:03 14 16:03:04 15 16:03:07 16 16:03:11 17 16:03:12 18 16:03:14 19 16:03:27 20 16:03:28 21 16:03:30 22 16:03:34 23 16:03:37 24	one surgery dealt with total knee replacement. A. I think that's right. Q. Okay. Most of them were short surgeries; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Let's move on to page Go to page 34 [Exhibit 1]. (Discussion off the stenographic record.) MR. ASSAAD: Let's take a break then. (Recess taken from 3:53 to 4:02 p.m.) BY MR. ASSAAD: Q. Ready to continue, doctor? A. Sure. Q. Now let's look at page 34. A. Okay. Q. You go over three studies that talk about the nasal colonization of Staph aureus? A. Yeah. Q. You agree with me that none of those studies looked at the incidence of periprosthetic joint infection; correct? A. Let me see where I am here. (Witness

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 78 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
			CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	301		303
16:03:51	can't remember exactly.	16:05:44 1	any effect on periprosthetic joint infection?
16:03:52	Do you have that paper, just remind me.	16:05:48 2	A. Well I showed you the data from Chen, and in
16:04:11 3	Q. I do have Kalmeijer, I only have one copy.	16:05:53	the articles I even had the graph, I think, related to
16:04:13 4	You don't have it with you?	16:05:55 4	that.
16:04:14 5	A. No. I don't have anything.	16:05:55 5	Q. I'm talking about page
16:04:16	Q. Okay. Well actually, let's look	16:05:56	A. They were mixed
-	MR. COREY GORDON: He might in the box, if	_	Q. Okay.
16:04:21 /			•
16:04:23	not what's up there.		A. deep and superficial, but they were
16:04:23	A. Yeah, I don't know.	16:06:01 9	prosthetic joints.
16:04:25 10	Q. Let's look at Kalmeijer, which is the	16:06:02 10	Q. Those were the types of surgeries; correct?
16:04:26 11	surgical site in you can use my copy	16:06:05 11	A. Yeah. Is that what you want?
16:04:28 12	surgical-site infections in orthopedic surgeries.	16:06:06 12	Q. No. But the difference is whether or not it
16:04:31 13	Is that the paper you're referring to?	16:06:08 13	caused a superficial wound infection or a
16:04:32 14	A. Yeah.	16:06:10 14	periprosthetic joint infection. And there's no data
16:04:33 15	Q. Okay.	16:06:13 15	that having colonization of Staph in your nose has an
16:04:33 16	A. Is it If it's not joints, I just wanted	16:06:20 16	effect on periprosthetic joint infection; correct?
16:04:37 17	to make sure. I thought it included	16:06:24 17	A. Yeah, I Again, Chen. Let's look at that,
16:04:39 18	Q. Actually, if you look at the page that looks	16:06:27 18	because I thought
16:04:41 19	at the number of patients,	16:06:29 19	Where do I have that in my notes? He has
	A. Yeah?	16:06:29 13	•
16:04:42 20			Q. What page are you referring to?
16:04:44 21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	16:06:33 21	A. Well I'm trying to find it. Maybe it was
16:04:49 22	used	16:06:42 22	earlier. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Sorry I'm
16:04:50 23	A. Mupirocin, right.	16:07:10 23	taking so long.
16:04:51 24	Q. there were zero infections; correct?	16:07:16 24	Q. Why don't you look at page 65?
16:04:54 25	A. Yeah.	16:07:19 25	A. 65?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	302		304
16:04:54 1	Q. And then when the placebo is used there was	16:07:20	Q. You talk about Chen, et al, Clinical
16:04:57	only one infection; correct?	16:07:22 2	Orthopedic?
16:04:58	A. Yes.	16:07:23	A. Yeah.
16:04:59 4	Q. That's not	16:07:23 4	Q. Yeah. Page 65.
16:05:00 5	A. Deep infection.	16:07:28 5	A. No, that's not right; is it?
_	Q. Yeah. And we're talking about deep		Q. I'm sorry. Sixty-four.
7	infections; correct?	_	
16:05:02	*		A. Yeah, that's right. Okay. Thank you.
16:05:03	A. Yes.	16:07:38	So, let's see. (Witness reviewing exhibit.)
16:05:03	Q. That's not statistically significant; is it?	16:07:46	What I remember that the study said is they mixed
16:05:05 10	A. I don't think so.	16:07:55 10	superficial and deep in their review of the literature
16:05:06 11	Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say that if	16:08:00 11	because it wasn't always clear. So it might be a mix
16:05:10 12	you used	16:08:04 12	of some of these.
16:05:12 13	Is it mupirocin?	16:08:06 13	Q. So sitting here today there is no evidence
16:05:13 14	A. Mupirocin, yeah.	16:08:09 14	or data that indicates having colonization of Staph in
16:05:14 15	Q mupirocin, that there is no data that	16:08:14 15	your nose significantly increases the risk of
16:05:16 16	indicates that it would statistically impact deep	16:08:17 16	periprosthetic joint infection; correct?
16:05:21 17	joint infections?	16:08:18 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the question,
16:05:21 18	A. In that study.	16:08:19 18	mischaracterizes his testimony.
16:05:22 19	Q. In that study, okay.	16:08:20 19	A. Well what I said is there's a mix of of
16:05:22 19 16:05:24 20	And you consider this study authoritative;	16:08:20 19	periprosthetic joint infections and the more
16:05:26 21	correct?	16:08:27 21	superficial ones in here, and I can't tell you, you
16:05:26 22	A. Yes.	16:08:30 22	know, what proportion.
16:05:27 23	Q. Okay. What about the other studies? Do you	16:08:31 23	Q. Okay. So you have no opinion. You can't
24	agree with me that none of them found that nasal	16:08:33 24	make the statement today
16:05:30 24		16:08:34 25	A. Oh, I make an opinion, yeah. I mean I would
16:05:30 24 16:05:39 25	nasal colonization of Staph of Staphylococcus had	16:08:34 23	A. On, I make an opinion, year. I mean I would
	nasal colonization of Staph of Staphylococcus had STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	16:08:34 23	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		16:08:34	

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-1NE-DTS - Doc	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Dega 70 of 05
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 343-3	Filed 10/03/17 Page 79 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	305		307
16:08:37	You're going to surgery? Yeah, I'm going to tell	16:10:47 1	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:08:39 2	you before you take your hip get the mupirocin.	16:10:48 2	the question.
	Q. I understand that.		A. Hypothetically, according to that, yeah. I
16:08:41 3	<u>-</u>		
16:08:42 4	A. That's my opinion.	16:10:52	mean, it's
16:08:43	Q. There's no data that	16:10:53 5	Q. Okay. All right.
16:08:45 6	I mean the only study that we have that	16:11:41 6	Now you agree Let's look at page 38.
16:08:47 7	compared the two between a deep joint using	16:11:51 7	A. Yeah.
16:08:57	A. Mupirocin.	16:11:54	Q. Okay. This is your discussion on your
16:08:58	Q mupirocin and not is the Kalmeijer study;	16:11:57	opinions on laminar flow and rates of SSI; correct?
16:09:02 10	correct?	16:12:00 10	A. That's true.
16:09:03 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:12:02 11	Q. And Lidwell, the Lidwell studies were done
16:09:05 12	the question, mischaracterizes his testimony.	16:12:10 12	in the '80s; correct?
16:09:06 13	A. Other What I just said, there's a mixture	16:12:12 13	A. That's right.
16:09:09 14	-	16:12:13 14	
	here. I can't take out pure prosthetic joint		•
16:09:12 15	infections. Is that what you mean? Then I don't have	16:12:17 15	recently; correct? 2008?
16:09:14 16	that. It's a mixture of periprosthetic joint	16:12:22 16	A. 2008 I have the publication.
16:09:17 17	infections and the superficial ones, and she has five	16:12:24 17	Q. Okay. And Gastmeier's 2012; correct?
16:09:21 18	studies here and they all show 50 percent reduction or	16:12:28 18	A. Gastmeier's two thou Yes.
16:09:25 19	more.	16:12:30 19	Q. Okay. Now you would agree with me that
16:09:25 20	Q. But they they might be a 50 percent	16:12:34 20	during the time that Lidwell was doing his his
16:09:27 21	reduction in just superficial wound infections;	16:12:37 21	studies, that the that the Bair Hugger wasn't used
16:09:31 22	correct?	16:12:42 22	in the operating room; correct?
16:09:31 23	A. I don't think there were zero prosthetic	16:12:45 23	A. Yeah, pretty sure it was not.
16:09:31 23		16:12:45 23	
	joint infections in these the way that article was.		Q. Okay. But in the Brandt study and the
16:09:35 25	Q. Can you	16:12:48 25	Gastmeier study you agree with me that the Bair Hugger
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 306		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 308
16:09:36 1		16:12:51 1	
16:09:36 1 16:09:38 2	306	16:12:51 1 16:12:52 2	308
	306 I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of	_	308 was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct?
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3	306 I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3	308 was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct?	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4	308 was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember.
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right.	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5	308 was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6	308 was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct?	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7 16:13:05 8	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah.	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:05 8 16:13:06 9	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7 16:13:05 8	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah.	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:05 8 16:13:06 9	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7 16:13:05 8 16:13:06 9 16:13:07 10	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7 16:13:05 8 16:13:06 9 16:13:07 10 16:13:09 11	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation.
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct?	16:12:52 2 16:12:54 3 16:12:56 4 16:12:57 5 16:13:00 6 16:13:01 7 16:13:05 8 16:13:06 9 16:13:07 10 16:13:09 11 16:13:10 12	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question.
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure.
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together.	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:09 14 16:10:11 15 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:18 17	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes.	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed?
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:09 14 16:10:11 15 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:19 18 16:10:19 18	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed?
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:09 14 16:10:11 15 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:19 18 16:10:21 19 16:10:21 20	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:15 16 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:19 18 16:10:21 19 16:10:23 20 16:10:26 21	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation.
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:52 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:09 14 16:10:11 15 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:19 18 16:10:21 19 16:10:22 20 16:10:26 21 16:10:24 22	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a reliable opinion with respect to periprosthetic joint	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation. A. So two thou The Bair Hugger's been in,
16:09:38 2 16:09:45 3 16:09:47 4 16:09:50 5 16:09:51 6 16:09:54 7 16:09:58 8 16:09:59 9 16:09:59 10 16:10:03 11 16:10:07 12 16:10:08 13 16:10:09 14 16:10:11 15 16:10:15 16 16:10:18 17 16:10:19 18 16:10:21 19 16:10:23 20 16:10:24 21 16:10:34 22 16:10:39 23	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a reliable opinion with respect to periprosthetic joint infections because for it could be possible that you're looking at just a reduction in superficial	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation. A. So two thou The Bair Hugger's been in, let's say 25, 30 years, so I would have thought so, but again, I don't know.
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a reliable opinion with respect to periprosthetic joint infections because for it could be possible that	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation. A. So two thou The Bair Hugger's been in, let's say 25, 30 years, so I would have thought so,
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a reliable opinion with respect to periprosthetic joint infections because for it could be possible that you're looking at just a reduction in superficial wound infections; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation. A. So two thou The Bair Hugger's been in, let's say 25, 30 years, so I would have thought so, but again, I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M admits that STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
16:09:38	I mean, if you wanted to do a study to look at whether or not mupirocin reduces the incident of periprosthetic joint infections, you have to look at just periprosthetic joint infections; correct? A. That's ideal, right. Q. Okay. And one study we are aware of looked at that, and that is the Kalmeijer study that you consider authoritative; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And they saw no difference between using mupirocin and not with respect to deep joint infections; correct? A. That's what they showed. Q. And as of right now that is the only data that we have available with respect to deep joint infections. Solely on deep joint infections, not combining everything together. A. When you say it that way, "solely," yes. Q. Okay. Because when you start looking at superficial wound infections then you really have to look at, you know, you really can't make a a a reliable opinion with respect to periprosthetic joint infections because for it could be possible that you're looking at just a reduction in superficial wound infections; correct? STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	16:12:52	was used or could have been used in the operating room; correct? A. I would say "could have." I don't know. I don't remember. Q. Well based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the use of the Bair Hugger, can we agree that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used A. I think it was MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, lack of foundation. MR. ASSAAD: I didn't finish my question. Can you please wait for me to finish my question? MR. COREY GORDON: Sure. Q. Based on your education, training and experience, and your understanding of the Bair Hugger and its use during operations, that more likely than not that the Bair Hugger was used in the surgeries that Brandt and Gastmeier reviewed? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation. A. So two thou The Bair Hugger's been in, let's say 25, 30 years, so I would have thought so, but again, I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 3M admits that STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 80 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	309		311
16:13:59	every study that looked at whether or not the Bair	16:17:32	knee?
16:14:02 2	Hugger increased particles or hydrogen bubbles over	16:17:33 2	A. I think they're around two hours.
16:14:06 3	the Sorry. Strike that.	16:17:35 3	Q. Okay. So you agree with me that most likely
16:14:09 4	Are you aware that Bair 3M admits that	16:17:39 4	the last criteria you offer one point for if op time
16:14:13 5	every study indicates that whether you looked at	16:17:39 5	exceeds the seventieth percentile for that procedure,
16:14:18 6	hydrogen or particles, that both were increased when	16:17:49 6	or greater than three hours for a joint
16:14:22 7	the Bair Hugger was turned on as compared to the Bair	16:17:49 7	(Interruption by the reporter.)
16:14:24 8	Hugger was turned off?	16:17:49 8	Q. if op time exceeds the 75th percentile
•	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of		for that procedure, or greater than three hours for
16:14:26 10	the question, misstates the evidence.	16:17:55 10	the joint replacement, that we could probably
16:14:27 11	A. So I'm not aware that 3M admitted that. No,	16:17:58 11	eliminate greater than three hours as one of the
16:14:30 12	I'm not aware of that.	16:18:01 12	criteria that would be apply to total hip and total
16:14:33 13	Q. If that is the case, would that cause you	16:18:04 13	knee.
16:14:35 14	any concern that the Bair Hugger increases particles	16:18:04 14	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:14:38 15	over the surgical site?	16:18:04 15	the question,
16:14:40 16	A. What I know now it would cause me no concern	16:18:04 16	A. These
16:14:43 17	because all the studies that get closer, looking at	16:18:05 17	MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation.
16:14:46 18	CFUs, can't show that.	16:18:05 18	A. These are not my criteria, these are, you
16:14:50 19	Q. Well are you aware of the Stocks article	16:18:08 19	know, CDC's, and I don't think today there would be
16:14:52 20	that did a correlation between CFUs greater than 10	16:18:10 20	that many patients who would have more than three
16:14:56 21	microns and	16:18:13 21	hours.
16:14:56 21		16:18:13 21	_
	A. Yes.		Q. Okay. And we could agree that for total hip
16:14:57 23	Q. and	16:18:16 23	and total knee it's not a contaminated or dirty
16:14:58 24	A. I'm sorry.	16:18:19 24	surgery; correct?
16:14:59 25	Q and CFUs?	16:18:20 25	A. Yes. It's a clean surgery.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	310		312
16:15:00 1	A. Yes.	16:18:22 1	Q. Okay. And the ASA score is based on the
16:15:01 2	Q. Do you agree with that study?	16:18:27 2	patient; correct?
16:15:02 3			patient, correct.
	A. Yes.	16:18:28 3	A. It is.
16:15:03 4			A. It is.
_	Q. Okay. Page 46.	16:18:30 4	A. It is.Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time
16:16:44 5	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5	A. It is.Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score.	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7	Q. Okay. Page 46.	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7	A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is?
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though.
16:16:44	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables.
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10 16:19:11 11	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables.
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10 16:19:11 11 16:19:12 12	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah.
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13 16:17:04 14	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10 16:19:11 11 16:19:12 12 16:19:13 13	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13 16:17:04 14 16:17:04 15	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10 16:19:11 11 16:19:12 12 16:19:13 13 16:19:15 14	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:01 13 16:17:01 14 16:17:06 15 16:17:06 15	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. 	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:01 13 6:17:04 14 6:17:06 15 6:17:08 16 6:17:11 17	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:04 14 6:17:04 15 6:17:05 16 6:17:11 17 6:17:12 18	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case,	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought.
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:01 13 6:17:04 14 6:17:06 15 6:17:11 17 6:17:12 18 6:17:14 19	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be 	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49.
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:01 13 6:17:04 14 6:17:06 15 6:17:11 17 6:17:12 18 6:17:14 19 6:17:15 20	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct?	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay.
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:01 13 6:17:04 14 6:17:08 16 6:17:11 17 6:17:12 18 6:17:14 19 6:17:15 20 6:17:16 21	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah.	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no
6:16:44 5 6:16:47 6 6:16:48 7 6:16:49 8 6:16:52 9 6:16:55 10 6:17:00 11 6:17:00 12 6:17:01 13 6:17:04 14 6:17:04 15 6:17:11 17 6:17:12 18 6:17:14 19 6:17:15 20 6:17:16 21 6:17:17 22	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Now if you look at the criteria	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no prosthetic joint infectionsamong diabetics who were
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13 16:17:01 14 16:17:01 15 16:17:11 17 16:17:11 17 16:17:12 18 16:17:14 19 16:17:16 21 16:17:16 21 16:17:16 21	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah.	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13 16:17:04 14 16:17:04 15 16:17:14 17 16:17:15 16 16:17:15 20 16:17:16 21 16:17:17 22 16:17:17 22 16:17:17 22	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Now if you look at the criteria	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no prosthetic joint infectionsamong diabetics who were
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8	Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Now if you look at the criteria Well what's your understanding of the length	16:18:30	 A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no prosthetic joint infectionsamong diabetics who were not obese"
16:16:44 5 16:16:47 6 16:16:48 7 16:16:49 8 16:16:52 9 16:16:55 10 16:17:00 11 16:17:00 12 16:17:01 13 16:17:04 14 16:17:04 15 16:17:14 19 16:17:15 20 16:17:16 21 16:17:16 21 16:17:17 22 16:17:25 23 16:17:27 24	 Q. Okay. Page 46. I just want to understand your CDC NNIS score. A. Yeah. Q. And I guess you look to determine the risk factor for a surgical site risk, one of the things you can look at is an NNIS score; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And when you talk about the surgical-site infection risk, do you know whether or not the CDC is referring to a superficial wound infection or a periprosthetic joint infection? A. I don't know for sure. Q. Well that would be Since we're talking about, in this case, periprosthetic joint infections, that would be relevant; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. Now if you look at the criteria Well what's your understanding of the length of time of a a and I might have asked you 	16:18:30 4 16:18:32 5 16:18:36 6 16:18:39 7 16:18:41 8 16:18:45 9 16:18:47 10 16:19:11 11 16:19:12 12 16:19:13 13 16:19:15 14 16:19:23 16 16:19:26 17 16:19:28 18 16:20:01 19 16:20:04 20 16:20:05 21 16:20:08 22 16:20:14 24	A. It is. Q. Okay. Now where it says, "if op time exceeds the 75th percentile for that procedure," is there somewhere I could look at to see what the the time for each type of procedure is? A. I think there is, but I I don't know the CDC reference for that, though. Q. Okay. Looking at the bottom, the odds ratio of the variables. A. Yeah. Q. Why is it if you have private insurance you're less likely to get a surgical-site infection? A. My guess is that it's a surrogate for healthier people who are less likely to have some of the other comorbidities. I don't know the answer, but that's my thought. Q. Go to page 49. A. Okay. Q. You write: "Of interest, there were no prosthetic joint infectionsamong diabetics who were not obese" Did I read that correctly?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS DOC CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	313		315
16:20:16	Q. So would you agree with me that the mere	16:25:01	We can talk about the McGovern study as the
16:20:18 2	fact that you have diabetes, that it does not increase	16:25:06 2	one sort of study that stands out until recently.
•	the risk of periprosthetic joint infection?	_	They gave an initial signal, but the more I looked at
4	A. No, I wouldn't. This is this study, and		that study, the more problems I had with it.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	
16:20:29 5	that's what I would cite to say in that study that's	16:25:30 5	Q. With respect to your methodology to de
16:20:31 6	what they found.	16:25:33 6	Strike that.
16:20:32 7	Q. Okay. Well what's your opinion, sir?	16:25:33 7	We've talked about some studies today in
16:20:35	A. I think diabetes is a risk factor.	16:25:37	which they offered data or opinions that contradict
16:20:36	Q. Okay. So you disagree with the	16:25:41 9	your opinions; correct?
16:20:36 10	A. I do.	16:25:43 10	A. There were some.
16:20:37 11	Q. the results of the study.	16:25:44 11	Q. Okay. What was your methodology to de in
16:20:38 12	A. I do.	16:25:47 12	determining which studies you would use to support
16:20:40 13	Q. Okay. But you cited this study in your	16:25:50 13	your opinions and which studies that you would
16:20:42 14	report.	16:25:52 14	disregard?
16:20:42 15	A. Sure. I told you I'm trying to show you	16:25:55 15	A. I don't know that I would sort of just
16:20:45 16	everything I have.	16:25:57 16	blatantly disregard anything. I looked at the
16:21:32 17	Q. And you would consider obese a BMI greater	16:26:00 17	collective sort of sense within each category, if I
16:21:35 18	than 30; correct?	16:26:05 18	could.
16:21:35 19	A. Yes.	16:26:05 19	Q. Well, for example, you think that nasal
16:21:58 20	Q. And you'd agree with me that there is a big	16:26:12 20	colonization of Staph will have an effect on
16:22:00 21	difference with respect to risk factors of	16:26:15 21	periprosthetic joint infection, but you disregard the
16:22:03 22	surgical-site infections between obese and morbidly	16:26:18 22	only study that looks at it that says there is no
16:22:06 23	obese.	16:26:22 23	statistically significant difference.
16:22:07 24	A. Yeah, I think it's probably worse with	16:26:23 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:22:09 25	morbid obesity, yeah.	16:26:24 25	the question, mischaracterizes his testimony.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	314		316
16:22:10	Q. And I believe you cited an article you	16:26:25	A. I think the the bulk of data, so many
16:22:12	looked at where they looked at the BMI greater than 30	16:26:31 2	different studies, including orthopedic studies where
16:22:15	and the BMI greater than 40. Is that Am I	16:26:33 3	I gave you from Chen, there is no way that I would
	recalling that correctly?		want the orthopedic patient not to have nasal
_	A. You may. I can't think it I don't know	_	mupirocin preoperatively, and that's pretty much the
•		16:26:40 5	
16:22:21 6	what that is right now, but it might be so.	16:26:44 6	standard around the country.
16:23:27 7	Q. So I understand that you read many articles	16:26:46 7	Q. Well that's not the standard where Darouiche
16:23:39	and did an extensive literature search with respect to	16:26:47	did his study; correct?
16:23:42	formulating your opinions in this case; correct?	16:26:49	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:23:48 10	A. Yes.	16:26:50 10	the question, lack of foundation.
16:23:49 11	Q. Okay. So when you come to your ultimate	16:26:51 11	A. Yeah, I I he that study, no. In
16:23:51 12	opinions, what methodology did you use in doing your	16:26:55 12	terms of that study, he didn't do that, but
16:23:55 13	review to determine your opinions?	16:26:57 13	Q. Okay. So
16:24:05 14	A. What I think I've done is actually take a	16:26:58 14	A. that wasn't prosthetic joint infections.
	A. What I think I ve done is actually take a	10.20.30	A. that wash't prostrictle joint infections.
16:24:08 15	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell	16:27:01 15	Are you talking about the first study?
16:24:08 15 16:24:13 16	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell		
16:24:13 16	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at	16:27:01 15	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes.
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis,	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic?
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah.
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different
16:24:13	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints.
16:24:13	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries?
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22 16:24:46 23	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I would say, as as a complete package, I can't find	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22 16:27:15 23	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries? A. Yeah, there I I think the standards
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22 16:24:46 23 16:24:53 24	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries?
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22 16:24:46 23	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I would say, as as a complete package, I can't find	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22 16:27:15 23	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries? A. Yeah, there I I think the standards
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22 16:24:46 23 16:24:53 24	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I would say, as as a complete package, I can't find any, you know, convincing link between the Bair Hugger	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22 16:27:15 23 16:27:15 24	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries? A. Yeah, there I I think the standards are today, any implant; so orthopedic implant, cardiac
16:24:13 16 16:24:16 17 16:24:20 18 16:24:25 19 16:24:31 20 16:24:37 21 16:24:41 22 16:24:46 23 16:24:53 24	look at the hierarchy of all the studies that fell into any one group. So I looked separately at clinical trials, I looked at meta-analysis, case-control studies, cohorts, national trends, and then the data on CFUs as a biological plausibility. I have There are 15 studies from there. I looked at the particle studies, which I think are really distant surrogate markers of infection. And then together, I would say, as as a complete package, I can't find any, you know, convincing link between the Bair Hugger and harm.	16:27:01 15 16:27:02 16 16:27:03 17 16:27:06 18 16:27:06 19 16:27:09 20 16:27:11 21 16:27:12 22 16:27:15 23 16:27:15 24	Are you talking about the first study? Q. Yes. A. Of the Using the antiseptic? Q. Yeah. A. Yeah, that's that's obviously different than prosthetic joints. Q. So you would use it for prosthetic joints but not for other surgeries? A. Yeah, there I I think the standards are today, any implant; so orthopedic implant, cardiac implant, and neurosurgery implant, all those people

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 82 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	317		319
16:27:26 1	should be getting mupirocin and chlorhe and	16:30:47 1	important things. I'd want to look at what statistics
16:27:30 2	chlorhexidine baths.	16:30:51 2	that they used and how they were going to evaluate
16:27:33	Q. And the mupirocin is for the nose; correct?	16:30:54	success or not. And I would hope that they would have
16:27:34 4	A. It is.	16:30:58 4	not only efficacy, but a safety profile to go along by
16:27:35 5	Q. Okay. So that would indicate to me that you	16:31:04 5	which you could make a, if you will, risk/benefit
16:27:38 6	are trying to kill the bacteria in the nose so it	16:31:07 6	compared to an alternative.
16:27:43 7	doesn't become aerosolized; correct?	16:31:09 7	I could go on for awhile, but I think you
16:27:46	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:31:11	got the idea.
16:27:47	the question.	16:31:12	Q. I think I get the idea.
16:27:47 10	A. No, that's not the I'm trying to kill	16:31:21 10	MR. ASSAAD: So let's mark this as the next
16:27:47	the bacteria in the nose, and if you kill the bacteria	16:31:41 11	exhibit.
16:27:50 11	in the nose you actually show a markedly reduced	16:31:41 11	
		16:31:42 12 16:31:43 13	(Wenzel Exhibit 12 marked for identification.)
16:27:59 13	bacterial burden in the rest of the body. Q. How does that occur?		•
16:28:00 14	-	16:31:43 14	(Discussion off the stenographic record.)
16:28:04 15	A. You know, the joke that I use is think about	16:31:46 15	BY MR. ASSAAD:
16:28:06 16	all the people that touch their nose when they you	16:31:47 16	Q. Do you
16:28:09 17	know, during the day, and 30 to 50 percent of people	16:31:48 17	Have you seen this article before?
16:28:12 18	who have Staph aureus in the nose have this on the	16:31:50 18	A. I don't know. I'm not sure I have, but.
16:28:16 19	strai on their hands, and when you do fingerprints,	16:31:53 19	Q. I represent to you that it came out of the
16:28:20 20	97 percent are the exact same strain. So I don't know	16:31:55 20	box of documents that you provided to us today.
16:28:24 21	for sure, but I think that we all have a lot of	16:31:57 21	A. Yeah. You know, when you read a lot, I'm
16:28:26 22	contact with our nose and mouth.	16:32:00 22	not positive. I want to be able to tell you
16:28:28 23	Q. And when do you give the mupirocin to the	16:32:03 23	accurately.
16:28:30 24	patient?	16:32:03 24	Q. And if you look at a couple pages later, I
16:28:31 25	A. Ideally you would have them come into the	16:32:06 25	think the next page, it's actually underlined in
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	318		320
16:28:34	318 pre-op center and where they get evaluated in	16:32:09	320 certain areas.
16:28:38 2	318 pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery,	16:32:09 2	320 certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3	318 pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days.	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you?
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining?
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is.
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please?
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.)
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with?	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in
16:28:38	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler,
16:28:38	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:20 4 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:58 12	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct?
16:28:38	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear endpoint? If	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:05 13	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah.
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:58 14	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 4 16:32:23 6 16:32:23 7 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:05 13 16:33:13 14	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:04 16	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that?	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report?
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:58 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:04 16 16:30:07 17	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:55 11 16:32:58 12 16:33:15 13 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember.
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:58 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:04 16 16:30:07 17 16:30:10 18	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake?	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:05 13 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 15 16:30:00 15 16:30:07 17 16:30:10 18 16:30:15 19	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 11 16:32:58 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report?
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:01 18 16:30:10 18 16:30:15 19 16:30:17 20	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:48 9 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:04 16 16:30:07 17 16:30:10 18 16:30:15 19 16:30:17 20 16:30:22 21	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:31 8 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway.
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:33 8 16:29:35 9 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:58 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:01 18 16:30:10 18 16:30:15 19 16:30:17 20 16:30:22 21 16:30:25 22	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:52 10 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:05 13 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:01 18 16:30:15 19 16:30:17 20 16:30:22 21 16:30:25 22 16:30:31 23	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd want to know a little bit about how they, you know,	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22 16:33:29 22	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are consistent with computational fluid dynamic models
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:01 15 16:30:10 18 16:30:17 20 16:30:25 21 16:30:25 22 16:30:31 23 16:30:34 24	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd want to know a little bit about how they, you know, did some power studies, what Alpha was in the study,	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22 16:33:36 23 16:33:39 24	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are consistent with computational fluid dynamic models that show that properly designed air handling systems
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:00 15 16:30:01 18 16:30:15 19 16:30:17 20 16:30:22 21 16:30:25 22 16:30:31 23	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd want to know a little bit about how they, you know, did some power studies, what Alpha was in the study, and the length of follow-up, of course, would be all	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22 16:33:29 22	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are consistent with computational fluid dynamic models that show that properly designed air handling systems combined with natural protective aspects of convective
16:28:38 2 16:28:41 3 16:28:54 4 16:29:20 5 16:29:25 6 16:29:28 7 16:29:38 10 16:29:38 10 16:29:42 11 16:29:45 12 16:29:50 13 16:29:50 13 16:29:51 14 16:30:01 15 16:30:10 18 16:30:17 20 16:30:25 21 16:30:25 22 16:30:31 23 16:30:34 24	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd want to know a little bit about how they, you know, did some power studies, what Alpha was in the study, and the length of follow-up, of course, would be all STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:13 14 16:33:16 15 16:33:19 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22 16:33:36 23 16:33:39 24	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are consistent with computational fluid dynamic models that show that properly designed air handling systems combined with natural protective aspects of convective STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
16:28:38	pre-op center and where they get evaluated in general for anesthesia five days before the surgery, and then twice a day for five days. Q. So I'm just trying to understand, like, when you look at a a peer-reviewed article, what methodology do you have to determine whether or not the article is something that you're going to rely upon and agree with as compared to something that you may not agree with? A. Well I could go on for a long time, but I think what I would do is look at the methods section in a very critical way. For example: Did they have a clear hypothesis? Did they have a clear endpoint? If they're counting infections, what was the method of case finding? Was there any validity to the case finding technique? You know what I mean by that? When I say I'm going to go back. If they say they found it, was it really a case, or was it a mistake? Was it What kind of study was it really; a prospective, a clinical trial, was it observational trial? If it was observational, were the two things that we're interested in looked at concurrently? I'd want to know a little bit about how they, you know, did some power studies, what Alpha was in the study, and the length of follow-up, of course, would be all STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	16:32:09 2 16:32:16 3 16:32:22 5 16:32:23 6 16:32:25 7 16:32:25 10 16:32:52 10 16:32:55 11 16:32:55 11 16:32:56 12 16:33:16 15 16:33:17 16 16:33:20 17 16:33:22 18 16:33:24 19 16:33:25 20 16:33:27 21 16:33:27 21 16:33:29 22 16:33:29 22 16:33:39 24 16:33:39 24	certain areas. A. Okay. (Witness reviewing exhibit.) Oh, in the "DISCUSSION." What do you want me to tell you? Q. I mean, is that your underlining? A. Oh yeah, it is. Q. Can I look at it real quick, please? A. Yeah, sure. (Handing.) Q. You highlighted, in the And what Exhibit 12 is is the article titled Forced-Air Warming Does Not Worsen Air Quality in Laminar Flow Operating Rooms, authored by Dr. Sessler, Dr. Olmsted and Kuelpmann. Is that correct? A. I think they're the authors, yeah. Yeah. Q. Why wasn't this article, which is clearly something you reviewed, in somewhere in your report? A. I don't know. Don't remember. Q. Were you told by anyone not to include this article in your report? A. First of all, no one's told me anything, and I wouldn't listen anyway. Q. Okay. You underline, "Our results are consistent with computational fluid dynamic models that show that properly designed air handling systems combined with natural protective aspects of convective STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 83 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	321		323
16:33:46	currents up from the patient, are effective in	16:36:26	the question.
16:33:49 2	reducing particle concentrations" near surgical	16:36:26 2	A. Yeah. That was his link, yes.
16:33:51 3	"near the surgical site."	16:36:30 3	Q. And you agree with that?
16:33:54 4	A. Yeah. That's what he said.	16:36:31 4	A. Yeah.
16:33:56 5	Q. Well my question is why did you underline	16:36:32 5	(Interruption by the reporter.)
16:33:58 6	that section?	16:36:32 6	A. His link, yeah.
16:34:02 7	A. You know, a lot of times I underline things	16:36:32 7	Q. Okay. So if you're looking at just 10
16:34:04 8	because, one, I don't understand and I want to read it	16:36:35	micron particles, would you agree with me that an
16:34:07	a second time, or I wanted to ask a question from	16:36:37 9	increase in 10 micron particles over the surgical site
16:34:09 10	counsel. And as I told you earlier, I'm one of these	16:36:40 10	would increase the risk of periprosthetic joint
16:34:13 11	guys that often underlines, you know, a big chunk of	16:36:42 11	infection?
16:34:16 12	the re if you gave me a novel, unfortunately, I'd	16:36:43 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:34:20 13	ask you if you wanted it back because I underline that	16:36:44 13	the question, incomplete hypothetical.
16:34:23 14	stuff.	16:36:46 14	A. That's the question that we're trying to get
16:34:24 15	Q. So sitting here today you don't know why you	16:36:48 15	at, and I don't think we have conclusive information
16:34:26 16	underlined it?	16:36:52 16	that particles equal infections.
16:34:27 17	A. I don't remember.	16:36:54 17	Q. Are you looking for a hundred percent
16:34:28 18	Q. Okay. Now do you recall	16:36:55 18	certainty?
16:34:31 19	You said you've read the Sessler	16:36:56 19	A. I never look for a hundred percent, sir.
16:34:33 20	depositions; correct?	16:36:59 20	Q. Well do you remember back in, maybe it was
16:34:34 21	A. I think so. I don't remember a lot of I	16:37:04 21	high school, we had to learn logic? Remember that?
16:34:36 22	thought I had.	16:37:08 22	A. Yeah. I took a college, not high school
16:34:37 23	Q. Do you recall the discussion I had with Dr.	16:37:11 23	course, in logic.
16:34:41 24	Sessler during his deposition regarding his tests?	16:37:12 24	Q. Okay. You know, if you A you know, if
16:34:44 25	A. No, but go ahead. Remind me.	16:37:15 25	A equals B and B equals C, then A could equal C?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	322		
16:34:48 1		16:37:19 1	324
16:34:48 1 16:34:50 2	322	16:37:19 1 16:37:20 2	
_	322 Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for	•	324 A. I know what you're getting at.
16:34:50 2	322 Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for	16:37:20 2	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah.
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct?	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah.
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct.	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your	16:37:21 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5	 A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man.
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6	 A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:19 7	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:19 7 16:35:37 8	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections?	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 9	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9 16:35:40 10	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 9 16:37:51 10	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies,
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:19 7 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9 16:35:40 10 16:35:43 11	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical.	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 9 16:37:51 10 16:37:53 11	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9 16:35:40 10 16:35:43 11 16:35:44 12 16:35:47 13 16:35:51 14	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles	16:37:20	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9 16:35:40 10 16:35:43 11 16:35:44 12 16:35:47 13	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never,	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:35 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 9 16:37:51 10 16:37:53 11 16:37:57 12 16:38:00 13	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint
16:34:50 2 16:34:53 3 16:34:54 4 16:34:54 5 16:35:10 6 16:35:19 7 16:35:37 8 16:35:40 9 16:35:40 10 16:35:44 12 16:35:44 12 16:35:47 13 16:35:56 15 16:35:59 16	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:41 10 16:37:53 11 16:37:57 12 16:38:00 13 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections?
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker.	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:35 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 9 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 12 16:38:00 13 16:38:00 13 16:38:00 15 16:38:00 16 16:38:00 17	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical.
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked	16:37:20	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10	16:37:20	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct?	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:51 10 16:37:53 11 16:37:57 12 16:38:02 15 16:38:02 15 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:08 18 16:38:12 19 16:38:16 20	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed.	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:35 7 16:37:46 9 16:37:41 8 16:37:46 10 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 12 16:38:00 13 16:38:00 13 16:38:00 13 16:38:01 15 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 18 16:38:12 19 16:38:16 20 16:38:16 20 16:38:16 20	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:41 9 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 11 16:37:53 11 16:38:00 13 16:38:00 14 16:38:00 15 16:38:00 17 16:38:00 17 16:38:01 19 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 21 16:38:24 22	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to the the amount of CFUs to periprosthetic joint	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:41 10 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 12 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:04 17 16:38:08 18 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 21 16:38:24 22 16:38:27 23	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the discussion.
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to the the amount of CFUs to periprosthetic joint infections; correct?	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:51 10 16:37:53 11 16:37:57 12 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 19 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 21 16:38:21 21 16:38:21 21 16:38:22 23 16:38:22 23	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the discussion. No one's going to take that kind of study
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to the the amount of CFUs to periprosthetic joint infections; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:41 10 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 12 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:04 17 16:38:08 18 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 21 16:38:24 22 16:38:27 23	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the discussion. No one's going to take that kind of study and make a blanket statement about all surgeries.
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to the the amount of CFUs to periprosthetic joint infections; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:21 5 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:51 10 16:37:53 11 16:37:57 12 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 17 16:38:01 19 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 19 16:38:12 21 16:38:21 21 16:38:21 21 16:38:22 23 16:38:22 23	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the discussion. No one's going to take that kind of study and make a blanket statement about all surgeries. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
16:34:50	Q. You haven't seen the raw data for for You haven't seen the raw data for the for this study; correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. Now just so I understand your opinion, if a device significantly increases particles over the surgical site is it your opinion that the there is going to be no effect on surgical-site infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also incomplete hypothetical. A. You know, I hate to say "always" or "never," I've told you that today. So I'd hate to say "never, ever." But in general for me to think that particles are really important would be if that was linked directly in some way to surgical-site infections and not just be a surrogate marker. Q. You agree with me that Stocks linked particles to bacteria for particles greater than 10 microns; correct? A. He did. I agreed. Q. And you agree that Darouiche linked CFUs to the the amount of CFUs to periprosthetic joint infections; correct? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:37:20 2 16:37:21 3 16:37:21 4 16:37:25 6 16:37:25 6 16:37:31 7 16:37:41 8 16:37:41 10 16:37:51 10 16:37:51 12 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 14 16:38:02 15 16:38:03 16 16:38:04 17 16:38:05 17 16:38:04 12 16:38:05 17 16:38:05 17 16:38:06 17 16:38:07 20 16:38:08 18 16:38:06 17 16:38:08 18 16:38:12 20 16:38:21 21 16:38:24 22 16:38:27 23 16:38:28 24 16:38:31 25	A. I know what you're getting at. Q. You remember that? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. So MR. COREY GORDON: Socrates was a man. Q. So if if Stocks linked particles over 10 microns to bacterial load, and Darouiche linked bacterial load to periprosthetic joint infections, and I understand you have an issue with where is that bacteria coming from, but based on those two studies, and logic, do you not agree that if 10 micron particles increase over the surgical site there is going to be an increase in periprosthetic joint infections? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, also lack of foundation, incomplete hypothetical. A. Well I like the logic part, but if you're talking about Darouiche's study based on four infections, even he says we need to go back and get a much bigger study to see if this is real. That's my my recollection of what he did in the discussion. No one's going to take that kind of study and make a blanket statement about all surgeries. STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 84 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	325		327
16:38:34 1	Q. But you, sitting here, cannot say that my	16:40:37 1	A just no data that I can say to answer
_	statement is not true; correct?		that no, so that's right.
16:38:38 2	A. I	•	
4			•
16:38:42 4	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:40:42	A. I can never exclude things that aren't
16:38:43	the question.	16:40:44 5	there.
16:38:44 6	A. I don't think it's true. I think it's we	16:40:45	Q. Okay. Especially after the Stocks and
16:38:46	need a lot more information for your statement	16:40:46	Darouiche study; correct?
16:38:46	Q. Okay.	16:40:49	A. Yeah.
16:38:49	A. to be right, unless you're making it	16:40:50	Q. Okay.
16:38:52 10	totally hypothetical.	16:40:50 10	A. I mean that's
16:38:53 11	Q. I didn't ask you if it was true.	16:40:51 11	Q. Let's talk about heater-cooler.
16:38:54 12	You can't offer the opinion that that	16:40:53 12	A. About what?
16:38:57 13	that that progression between Stocks and Darouiche and	16:40:53 13	Q. The heater-cooler.
16:39:05 14	particles over 10 microns can be correlated to	16:40:54 14	A. Okay. Sure.
16:39:17 15	periprosthetic joint infections is not true.	16:41:02 15	Q. And I believe that's on page 75.
16:39:19 16	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:41:15 16	A. Yeah.
16:39:20 17	the question,	16:41:22 17	Q. Now you understand that the heater-cooler
16:39:20 18	Q. You just want more data.	16:41:24 18	device is not near the surgical table.
16:39:22 19	MR. COREY GORDON: in	16:41:28 19	A. The device itself is away from the table,
16:39:23 20	Object to the form of the question,	16:41:30 20	yeah.
16:39:24 21	incomplete hypothetical.	16:41:31 21	Q. It's actually probably in the corner of the
16:39:26 22	A. Well I want more data, and also, you know,	16:41:33 22	room.
		16:41:33 22	
16:39:31 23	I'd say if you Well, let me pause for a second.		A. Often far away, yeah.
16:39:37 24	I'm trying to I'm getting a little tired, I think.	16:41:34 24	Q. Okay. And it is it has tubes that carry
16:39:40 25	Q. Let me withdraw the Let me make it a	16:41:40 25	water to either heat or cool down the patient;
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	326		328
16:39:41 1	little bit easier, okay, because I know it's a lot of	16:41:42 1	correct?
16:39:44 2	thinking.	16:41:43 2	A. Yes.
16:39:44 3	For example, if Darouiche came out and came	16:41:43	Q. Okay. And the water is
16:39:46 4	up with did the same exact study and showed no	16:41:45 4	It's a closed system; correct?
16:39:49 5	correlation between CFU load over the surgical site	16:41:47 5	A. It's not so closed as as what I've heard
16:39:52 6	and periprosthetic joint infections, then there would	16:41:50 6	from our perfusionist.
16:39:55 7	be no need for a further study because that study	16:41:53 7	Oh, that part, the tubing is.
16:39:58	indicated that it's irrelevant; correct?	16:41:54	Q. Yeah.
16:40:01 9	A. If a	16:41:55	A. Yeah, not the tank of water.
16:40:01 10	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:41:57 10	Q. Which is the tank
16:40:02 11	the question, incomplete hypothetical.	16:41:58 11	A. I'm sorry.
16:40:04 12	A. If a new study came out, much bigger and	16:41:58 12	Q the tank's in the corner of the operating
16:40:06 13	showed there's nothing going on, yeah, I think that	16:42:00 13	room; correct?
16:40:09 14	would be the end, or or certainly close.	16:42:01 14	A. The tank is, yeah.
16:40:09 14	Q. My point is, further study is needed;	16:42:01 14	Q. Okay. But the
16:40:17 16	correct?	16:42:02 16	A. And they have
16:40:17 16 16:40:18 17	A. For sure.	16:42:02 17	Q tube is closed; correct?
16:40:19 18	Q. Okay. And the reason why you think further	16:42:05 18	A tubes that tubes are closed.
16:40:21 19	study is needed, because you can't exclude the fact	16:42:07 19	Q. Okay. And it might not there might be
16:40:23 20	the scenario that if you increase 10 micron particles	16:42:08 20	some leaks or some vapor inside the the
16:40:27 21	over the surgical site it would have no effect on	16:42:11 21	heater-cooler unit; correct?
16:40:32 22	periprosthetic joint infections.	16:42:13 22	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:40:33 23	A. I've seen	16:42:14 23	the question.
16:40:33 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:42:14 24	A. You're talking about above the tank of
16:40:36 25	the question, incomplete hypothetical.	16:42:16 25	water?
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
82 of 94 she	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com	16:42:16 23 0 328 of 370	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 85 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	329		331
16:42:16 1	Q. Or Or inside the heater-cooler unit where	16:45:01	A. It In some studies they found bacteria.
16:42:18 2	the tank is, it might there might not be fully	16:45:04	It's not sterile.
16:42:22 3	closed or there might be some leakage or vapor.	16:45:05 3	Q. Okay. And it can't be cleaned; correct?
16:42:24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:45:08 4	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:42:25 5	the question, also lack of foundation.	16:45:09 5	the question, lack of foundation.
16:42:26	Q. Let me ask you this. Why do you Why do	16:45:10 6	A. I've read that, but I don't know, I mean.
16:42:28 7	you not think it's a closed system at the	16:45:13	Q. Well you've seen the device; correct?
16:42:32	heater-cooler device?	16:45:14	A. Yeah. I have.
16:42:32	A. Well, I mean, you just open up the thing a	16:45:16	Q. Are you aware of anyone that's ever cleaned
16:42:34 10	little bit, I had the perfusionist show me this when	16:45:18 10	the inside of the hose of a Bair Hugger?
16:42:38 11	they started to have infections about a year and a	16:45:21 11	MR. COREY GORDON: Inside of the hose?
16:42:40 12	half ago, and you can just see this big tank of water.	16:45:22 12	MR. ASSAAD: Inside the hose.
16:42:43 13	Q. Okay. And what do you see?	16:45:23 13	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:42:45 14	A. And there's a fan right behind it, yeah.	16:45:24 14	the question, lack of foundation.
16:42:47 15	Q. Okay. And And you're saying the fan is	16:45:25 15	A. Oh, inside the hose. You're not talking
16:42:50 16	blowing the water?	16:45:27 16	about the you know, the blower itself?
16:42:51 17	A. It's blowing above the water.	16:45:30 17	Q. The blow
16:42:53 18	Q. Okay. And what does that cause?	16:45:31 18	or the blower or anything.
16:42:54 19	A. Aerosol.	16:45:32 19	A. Well Bernard, in his study, said he did it
16:42:55 20	Q. Aerosol that could be contaminated?	16:45:35 20	because he thought it was important.
16:42:58 21	A. This study they showed that the air	16:45:38 21	Q. Okay. But have you looked at the operating
16:43:01 22	contained Mycobacterium chimaera.	16:45:40 22	room manual?
16:43:01 23	Q. Okay. And it actually reached the patient;	16:45:42 23	A. Have I looked
16:43:09 24	correct?	16:45:43 24	Q . Yeah.
16:43:09 25	(Interruption by the reporter.)	16:45:43 25	A. Oh, no. I haven't looked at that, no.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		
			CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	330		332
16:43:09	330 Q. And it actually reached the patient;	16:45:45 1	332 Q. Why not?
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct?	16:45:46 2	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to
	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did.	_	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4 16:43:15 5	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct?	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4 16:43:15 5 16:43:17 6	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes.	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual?
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4 16:43:15 5 16:43:17 6 16:43:25 7	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4 16:43:15 5 16:43:17 6 16:43:25 7 16:43:34 8	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera."	16.45.46 2 16.45.50 3 16.45.53 4 16.45.56 5 16.45.57 6 16.45.58 7 16.46.00 8	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.
16:43:12 2 16:43:12 3 16:43:12 4 16:43:15 5 16:43:17 6 16:43:25 7 16:43:34 8 16:43:37 9	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you.	16.45.46 2 16.45.50 3 16.45.53 4 16.45.56 5 16.45.57 6 16.45.58 7 16.46.00 8 16.46.00 9	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 8 16:46:01 10	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than,
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria,	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 8 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:01 12	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself.	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:12 13	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750?
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:12 13 16:46:16 14	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:12 13 16:46:16 14 16:46:17 15	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation.	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 16	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 16 16:46:19 17	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed,	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 16	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:19 17 16:46:22 18	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed,	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:12 13 16:46:14 14 16:46:17 15 16:46:19 17 16:46:22 18 16:46:25 19	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:19 17 16:46:22 18 16:46:25 19 16:46:26 20	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew about that.	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 16 16:46:19 17 16:46:22 18 16:46:25 19 16:46:26 20 16:46:27 21	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's Q. Do you know the difference in the airflow?
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew about that. Q. So you don't dispute that the Bair Hugger is	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:56 5 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 13 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:19 17 16:46:21 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:25 19 16:46:26 20 16:46:27 21 16:46:28 22	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's Q. Do you know the difference in the airflow? A. No.
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew about that. Q. So you don't dispute that the Bair Hugger is contaminated internally.	16:45:46 2 16:45:50 3 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:05 11 16:46:10 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:21 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:25 19 16:46:25 20 16:46:27 21 16:46:28 22 16:46:28 23	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's Q. Do you know the difference in the airflow? A. No. Q. Do you know the difference in the amount of
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew about that. Q. So you don't dispute that the Bair Hugger is contaminated internally. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:45:46 2 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:58 8 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 21 16:46:23 22 16:46:23 23 16:46:34 24	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's Q. Do you know the difference in the airflow? A. No. Q. Do you know the difference in the amount of heat it produces?
16:43:12	Q. And it actually reached the patient; correct? A. It did. Q. And so it was an airborne contamination that caused the infection to the patient; correct? A. Yes. MR. COREY GORDON: It's actually "Mycobacterium chimaera." THE REPORTER: Thank you. Q. You do not dispute the fact that the Bair Hugger harbors bacteria, MR. COREY GORDON: Object Q the device itself. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, incomplete hypothetical, lack lack of foundation. A. So there've been some cultures of the tubing that have shown organisms, and some have been swabbed, some have been rinsed, I think, and I think I showed these in my report, everything that that I knew about that. Q. So you don't dispute that the Bair Hugger is contaminated internally. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question.	16:45:46 2 16:45:53 4 16:45:57 6 16:45:58 7 16:46:58 8 16:46:00 9 16:46:01 10 16:46:01 12 16:46:10 12 16:46:11 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:17 15 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 18 16:46:22 21 16:46:23 22 16:46:23 23 16:46:34 24	Q. Why not? A. I think I had enough to do I guess trying to get this report together, and Q. You spent over 300 hours, why not spend another hour on the report or looking at the manual? MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. A. I mean I mean, I guess I'm more interested in the infections and the outcomes than, you know, how it worked, and so I didn't look at it. Q. Do you know the difference between the Model 505 and the Model 750? A. I understand there was a filter that was different. Do I have the right Is that correct? I'm trying to think if I have the right statement. Q. Well, I'm not going to answer questions. I'm asking you questions. A. Yeah. No, that's Q. Do you know the difference in the airflow? A. No. Q. Do you know the difference in the amount of heat it produces? A. No.

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 86 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		333		335
16:47:00	Q.	Do you know what a thermal plume is?	16:50:15 1	remain?
16:47:02 2	A.	What is what?	16:50:16 2	Q. Yes.
16:47:02 3	Q.	A thermal plume.	16:50:17 3	A. No, I'm not. As long as the patients are
16:47:04 4	A.	No. I would assume it's	16:50:21 4	warm, I think they'll probably do okay.
16:47:05 5		No, I don't know what it is, but.	16:50:24 5	Q. So just so I understand, you're not here
16:47:19 6		(Discussion off the stenographic record.)	16:50:27 6	advocating that the Bair Hugger device is better than
16:47:26 7	Q.	Have you reviewed studies that indicate that	16:50:29 7	the Mistral device; correct?
16:47:29 8		e Bair Hugger is turned on that it actually	16:50:32	A. Actually is that the one that's just been
16:47:31 9		s the temperature around the surgical table?	16:50:34	tested by Kurz; is that the Cleveland Clinic?
16:47:34 10		Yes, I think it does.	16:50:38 10	Q. Yes.
16:47:36 11	Q.	So you agree with that?	16:50:39 11	A. Yeah. Actually they look like they were the
16:47:37 12	A.	At least some studies have, yeah.	16:50:42 12	same, but there's actually, as you know, a lower rate
16:47:39 13	Q.	You don't dispute that; correct?	16:50:45 13	with the Bair Hugger than with the HEPA filter
16:47:39 13	A.	No.	16:50:45 13	forced-air warming, it's .44 versus .74 I think.
16:47:40 14	Q.	And it makes sense; right?	16:50:49 14	Q. Okay. Any criticism of that study?
16:47:41 15 16:47:42 16	Q. A.	Makes sense, too.	16:50:53 15 16:50:54 16	A. It was a remarkably robust study. You're
16:47:42 17	Q.	Yeah.	16:50:54 16 16:50:57 17	talking about 5,000 patients and they did something,
	Q.		16:50:57	you know, and they have the part of their prospective
16:48:14 18 16:48:14 19	^	(Discussion off the stenographic record.) And you would agree that that that the	16:51:00 10 16:51:02 19	
16:48:14 19		ger's blowing heat down underneath above	16:51:02 19 16:51:06 20	cohort, and they did multivariate analysis and they looked at comorbidities. So a huge study. And with
16:48:20 20 16:48:22 21	_		16:51:06 20 16:51:12 21	
16:48:22 21		te over the patient and then it goes down	16:51:12 2 1 16:51:14 22	the Bair Hugger a rate of .44, which I think is
	towarus	underneath the operating room table; correct?		percent, that's as good as anywhere in the world.
16:48:27 23	the gues	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:51:18 23	Q. Well that's similar to what McGovern did,
16:48:28 24	•	ition, lack of foundation.	16:51:19 24	isn't it? He just They stopped using one product,
16:48:29 25	A.	I think it goes down, but I'm I told you	16:51:23 25	then used another and they did a comparison; correct?
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		I-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		334		336
16:48:32	earlier I	wasn't an expert in aerodynamics and	16:51:24	A. Yeah. He left out all the issues related to
16:48:32 2	Q.		16:51:27	confounding and bias, and
16:48:35		didn't look at all those, you know,	16:51:28	Q. In the Cleveland Clinic study; correct?
16:48:37		itional studies.	16:51:31 4	A. No, no. The Cleveland Clinic has all the
16:49:07 5	· -	You've written many research papers;	16:51:33 5	they have a multivariate analysis before they put out
16:49:10 6	correct?	The second second papers,	16:51:36	their report.
16:49:10 7	Α.	Yes.	16:51:37	Q. Did they look at their infection rates
16:49:11	Q.	Peer-reviewed papers; correct?	16:51:39	overall during the time periods of 2013 and 2015?
16:49:12	A.	Yes.	16:51:42	A. Did they do what?
16:49:12 10	Q.	And do you agree with me that when you do a	16:51:43 10	Q. Did they look at the infection rates
16:49:15	-	ne paper should include enough methodology in	16:51:45 11	overall, over all surgeries?
16:49:21 12	-	nods section so the study could be repeatable;	16:51:49 12	A. Umm
16:49:23 13	correct?	,, ,	16:51:49 13	Q. Do you know that, whether or not, whether
16:49:23 14	A.	Yes.	16:51:51 14	they did that?
16:49:24 15		Okay. And that's how you determine whether	16:51:51 15	A. This is I think it was all prosthetic
16:49:27 16		e study is reliable; correct?	16:51:53 16	joint is what I recall, Kurz.
16:49:29 17		Well it helps, yeah.	16:51:56 17	Q. You understand that Cleveland Clinic's a
16:49:31 18		Okay. Because with you know,	16:51:57 18	teaching hospital; correct?
16:49:33 19		pility is pretty much synonymous with	16:51:58 19	A. It is.
16:49:36 20	-	/; correct?	16:51:59 20	Q. And they have a lot of residents; correct?
16:49:38 21		Yeah, I would think that's reasonable.	16:52:01 21	A. Correct.
16:49:59 22		Now with respect to maintaining	16:52:01 22	Q. And infection rates may depend on the
16:50:04 23		ermia, you're not advocating for one device	16:52:03 23	attending and the residents; correct?
16:50:09 24		other; are you?	16:52:05 24	A. There's some data for that, sure.
16:50:14 25		In terms of general for the patients to	16:52:06 25	Q. There's a lot of data for that; correct?
.0.00.17	7 11	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	.0.02.00	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc DIFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10	V03/17 Page 87 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		337		001	339
16:52:08	Α.	Yeah.	16:53:31 1	Α.	What?
16:52:09		And they didn't look at, you know, using the	16:53:32	_	What other data did you see?
16:52:11 3		and the Bair Hugger at the same time, they	16:53:34		Besides what?
16:52:13		at at different time periods; correct?	16:53:35		I mean, what data did you see about that
		That's true.	16:53:37 5		h respect to the the Cleveland Clinic
16:52:15		So there could be different physicians doing	•	-	sides the poster?
16:52:16 b		peries; correct?	_	-	Well I'm not sure I saw anything, but I
16:52:17 /	_	Yeah.	•		,
•	_	Different residents?		I don't kr	saw an expanded poster, I guess. I don't
16:52:19	Q.		16:53:51 9		
16:52:20 10		Yeah.	16:53:51 10		Is it in your box of documents?
16:52:20 11		Okay. There could be different skin preps	16:53:55 11		I hope so.
16:52:26 12		hose times in those two years?	16:54:00 12		MS. ZIMMERMAN: I didn't see it. I could
16:52:28 13		Yeah, I don't know the answer to that.	16:54:01 13	be wrong	
16:52:30 14		Exactly. We don't know the answer to that,	16:54:02 14		THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm sorry.
16:52:32 15	do we?	•	16:54:03 15		MS. ZIMMERMAN: No. No. That's all right.
16:52:34 16		I don't. Somebody might.	16:54:05 16		By the way, are there are there documents
16:52:35 17	Q.	We agree that	16:54:07 17	-	did not print up that you looked on that
16:52:37 18		Could you agree with me that the difference	16:54:09 18	•	on your computer?
16:52:38 19		statistically significant?	16:54:11 19	Α.	No.
16:52:40 20	A.	Correct.	16:54:12 20	Q.	So every document you reviewed you printed
16:52:41 21	Q.	Okay. You're not offering those criticisms	16:54:15 21	up and hi	ghlighted or have done something with it.
16:52:44 22	for fo	r that study; are you?	16:54:16 22	Α.	Yeah. I don't like to read stuff on the
16:52:45 23	A.	No. I would tell you right away exactly the	16:54:19 23	computer	•
16:52:47 24	data.		16:54:19 24	Q.	Okay.
16:52:48 25	Q.	But you're not offering, so I had to	16:54:19 25	Α.	I'm old.
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-	800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CON	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
i -		338			340
16:52:52		pull them out of you; correct?	16:54:26		MR. COREY GORDON: Gabe, I'll just
16:52:53	A.	Well I gave	16:54:27 2	•	, he hasn't the only thing he's seen is
16:52:53		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	16:54:30 3		attached to Mont's report. There is no
16:52:55 4	the ques		16:54:32 4	however	you want to characterize it, there's no other
16:52:55 5		Right?	16:54:34 5		he or I or anyone connected with the
16:52:55		I was trying I mean I was trying to get	16:54:38 6	plaintiffs	or with the with this litigation has
16:52:56 7	•	swer to, you know, is there any difference	16:54:40 7	seen.	
16:52:58	betweer	the two devices.	16:54:45		So you're sitting here advocating for the
16:53:01 9	Q.	And we haven't seen we haven't looked at	16:54:48		ger as a better device than the Mistral?
16:53:03 10	the		16:54:51 10	Α.	I'm not advocating for them. I'm saying
16:53:04 11		This is death the constant and a state of	16:54:54 11		
		This is just the poster presentation;		that after	review of the literature I've come to the
16:53:05 12	correct?		16:54:54		review of the literature I've come to the n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any
16:53:05 12 16:53:07 13					n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any
	Α.		16:54:56 12	conclusio way to ha	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any
16:53:07 13	A. Q.	Yeah.	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13	conclusio way to ha	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm.
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14	A. Q. A.	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript?	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14	conclusio way to ha Q.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm.
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15	A. Q. A. to reme	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15	conclusio way to ha Q. that.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16	A. Q. A. to reme might be	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16	conclusio way to ha Q. that.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17	A. Q. A. to reme might be	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster.	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17	conclusio way to ha Q. that. as the pa method is	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q.	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18	conclusio way to ha Q. that. as the pa method is	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct?
16.53:07 13 16.53:08 14 16.53:10 15 16.53:12 16 16.53:15 17 16.53:18 18 16.53:19 19	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about?	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19	conclusion way to have Q. that. as the paramethod is A. that if the	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what a used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show a patients are warmed by anything else,
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18 16:53:19 19 16:53:21 20 16:53:23 21	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine.	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20	conclusion way to hat Q. that. as the parenth of is A. that if the particular	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show the patients are warmed by anything else, thy after the Kurz study, you have that
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18 16:53:19 19 16:53:21 20 16:53:22 21 16:53:24 22	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p A. Q.	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine. Have you looked at the manuscript?	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20 16:55:24 21 16:55:27 22	conclusion way to hat Q. that. as the parmethod is A. that if the particular warmer a	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show a patients are warmed by anything else,
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18 16:53:19 19 16:53:21 20 16:53:24 22 16:53:24 22	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p A. Q. A.	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine. Have you looked at the manuscript? I think I saw more data than just the	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20 16:55:24 21 16:55:27 22 16:55:31 23	conclusion way to have Q. that. as the paramethod is A. that if the particular warmer as Q.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show is patients are warmed by anything else, thy after the Kurz study, you have that is an additional one. It looked the same. Which warmer?
16.53.07 13 16.53.08 14 16.53.10 15 16.53.12 16 16.53.15 17 16.53.18 18 16.53.19 19 16.53.21 20 16.53.21 21 16.53.22 21 16.53.26 23 16.53.26 23	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p A. Q. A. poster,	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It is just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine. Have you looked at the manuscript? I think I saw more data than just the yeah.	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20 16:55:24 21 16:55:27 22 16:55:31 23 16:55:31 24	conclusion way to hat Q. that. as the parenthod is A. that if the particular warmer at Q. A.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show a patients are warmed by anything else, any additional one. It looked the same. Which warmer? The HEPA the forced-air warmer. So
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18 16:53:19 19 16:53:21 20 16:53:24 22 16:53:24 23	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p A. Q. A. poster,	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It e just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine. Have you looked at the manuscript? I think I saw more data than just the yeah. Okay. What data else did you see?	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20 16:55:24 21 16:55:27 22 16:55:31 23	conclusion way to hat Q. that. as the parenthod is A. that if the particular warmer at Q. A.	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show to patients are warmed by anything else, any additional one. It looked the same. Which warmer? The HEPA the forced-air warmer. So abably the best data I could point to.
16:53:07 13 16:53:08 14 16:53:10 15 16:53:12 16 16:53:15 17 16:53:18 18 16:53:21 20 16:53:21 21 16:53:24 22 16:53:26 23 16:53:29 24	A. Q. A. to reme might be Q. or the p A. Q. A. poster, Q.	Yeah. Have you seen the manuscript? I think I've seen the manuscript, I'm trying mber, or at least a draft of something. It is just an enlarged poster. Well which was it? Did you see I want to talk either about the manuscript oster. Which one you want to talk about? Let's talk about the poster is fine. Have you looked at the manuscript? I think I saw more data than just the yeah.	16:54:56 12 16:54:59 13 16:55:03 14 16:55:07 15 16:55:08 16 16:55:13 17 16:55:17 18 16:55:18 19 16:55:21 20 16:55:24 21 16:55:27 22 16:55:31 23 16:55:31 24	conclusion way to hat Q. that. as the parenthod is A. that if the particular warmer at Q. A. that's pro-	n that the Bair Hugger is not linked in any arm. Okay. And what about I mean Strike But with respect to patient warming, as long tient is kept warm, you don't care what is used; correct? Right now I think there are no data to show a patients are warmed by anything else, any additional one. It looked the same. Which warmer? The HEPA the forced-air warmer. So

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	, 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 88 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	341		343
16:55:39	Q. Are you aware of the CDC indicating that	17:06:31 1	study; correct?
16:55:42 2	there should be nothing in the OR that blows air?	17:06:32 2	A. The clinical arm.
16:55:45 3	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:06:34 3	Q. Yes. Of the McGovern study; correct?
16:55:45 4	the question, mis	17:06:36 4	A. Yeah. Yes.
16:55:45 5	A. I've read	17:06:37 5	Q. And you go on for about, from page 62 to
16:55:46 6	MR. COREY GORDON: misstates the	17:06:48 6	page 68; correct?
16:55:49 7	mischaracterizes the evidence.	17:06:50 7	A. Let me see. Yes.
16:55:50 8	A. I've read the document where they said that,	17:06:56 8	Q. You did not do a critical critique of any
16:55:56 9	and actually looked at their in-progress, I guess,	17:07:02 9	other study that that you looked at, such as you
16:56:02 10	guideline from December 2016, and they really talk	17:07:07 10	did with the McGovern study; correct?
16:56:06 11	about the air-water interface when they're giving that	17:07:09 11	A. That's probably true.
16:56:10 12	statement.	17:07:10 12	Q. Okay. You didn't do any critiques of
16:56:11 13	I should also say that, because I wanted to	17:07:14 13	(Cell phone interruption.)
16:56:14 14	be sure, I called the director of the CDC's quality	17:07:19 14	MR. COREY GORDON: Sorry.
16:56:22 15	healthcare, I forget what the that whole division	17:07:19 15	Q the Sessler study we just looked at;
16:56:25 16	that oversees HICPAC, and she told me they you	17:07:22 16	correct?
16:56:29 17	know, this wasn't pertaining to forced-air warming, it	17:07:22 17	A. True.
16:56:33 18	was worry their big concern was when, you know, the	17:07:23 18	Q. You didn't do any critical critiques of the
16:56:39 19	heater-cooler unit was identified as a really source	17:07:25 19	Huang study; correct?
16:56:42 20	of serious infection.	17:07:26 20	A. Yeah.
16:56:44 21	Q. What was her name?	17:07:26 21	Q. Okay. Or the Moretti study; correct?
16:56:46 22	A. It is Denise A. Cardo.	17:07:32 22	A. Yes.
16:56:48 23	Q. How do you spell that, for the court	17:07:32 23	Q. Okay. But you decided to have a meeting
16:56:50 24	reporter?	17:07:36 24	with Dr. Borak and Dr. Holford and yourself to discuss
16:56:51 25	A. C-A-R-D-O.	17:07:42 25	the McGovern study; correct?
10.30.31	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	17:07:42	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	342		344
16:56:52	Q. And when did you contact her?	17:07:44 1	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:56:55 2	A. In the last couple weeks.	17:07:47 2	the question.
16:56:58 3	Q. Did you contact her at the request of	17:07:47 3	A. I mean, I was told asked to come to a
16:57:00 4	counsel?	17:07:49 4	meeting to meet them. That's really what there was,
16:57:00 5	A. No. They didn't know I did that.	17:07:51 5	and we did discuss the study, yes, very much.
16:57:03 6	Q. Okay. Did you bill it on your in your	17:07:53 6	Q. How long did you
16:57:06 7	invoice?	17:07:55 7	It was the majority of your discussions;
16:57:06 8	A. No, I didn't.	17:07:56 8	correct?
16:57:08	Q. Okay. And do you have a record of this	17:07:57 9	A. Probably, yeah.
16:57:11 10	conversation?	17:07:58 10	Q. Okay. And you all got together and figured
16:57:14 11	A. No, I don't.	17:08:01 11	out a way to discredit the McGovern study; correct?
16:57:16 12	Q. How did you get her phone number?	17:08:03 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
16:57:19 13	A. Called CDC, got ahold of her former	17:08:04 13	the question.
16:57:24 14	assistant, because the numbers don't carry over	17:08:04 14	A. I don't know if I would have used that term.
16:57:28 15	sometime when there's some movement, and she said,	17:08:06 15	To look at it critically.
16:57:31 16	well you need to talk to this person's assistant.	17:08:09 16	Q. To look at the study critically; correct?
16:57:33 17	Gave me the assistant, I left a message and asked her	17:08:12 17	A. Yes. Yeah.
16:57:37 18	if there was a good time when I could call.	17:08:17 18	Q. And let me ask you this. Prior to agreeing
16:57:51 19	MR. ASSAAD: Take a break?	17:08:21 19	to be an expert in this case did you look at the
16:57:52 20	THE REPORTER: Please. Thank you.	17:08:23 20	McGovern study?
	(Recess taken from 4:57 to 5:05 p.m.)	17:08:25 21	A. No. I don't think I
16:57:54 21		17:08:27 22	Q. Okay.
16:57:54 21 17:05:43 22	BY MR. ASSAAD:		•
	_	17:08:28 23	A knew about it.
17:05:43 22 17:06:07 23	Q. Doctor, turning to page 62?		
17:05:43 22 17:06:07 23 17:06:21 24	Q. Doctor, turning to page 62?A. Okay.	17:08:29 24	Q. Did you
17:05:43 22 17:06:07 23	Q. Doctor, turning to page 62?A. Okay.Q. 62 begins your critique of the McGovern		Q. Did you Did you do any research to determine whether
17:05:43 22 17:06:07 23 17:06:21 24	Q. Doctor, turning to page 62?A. Okay.	17:08:29 24	Q. Did you

	CASE 0:15 md 02666 INF DTS Dog	040 E	Filed 10/02/17 Dogg 90 of 05
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-3	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	345		347
17:08:32 1	or not you agreed with the with the defense in this	17:10:29 1	the risks of periprosthetic surgical periprosthetic
17:08:35 2	case before you agreed to be an expert?	17:10:33 2	joint infection?
17:08:39 3	A. I spent no, just a couple of days, you	17:10:33	A. You talking about generally, or in the first
17:08:42 4	know. So I told you the one thing was the timing	17:10:35 4	case, or what?
17:08:44 5	was good, it was interesting, it was a single case.	17:10:36 5	Q. In the life of Dr. Wenzel.
17:08:50 6	And I thought, well, you know, it might be interesting	17:10:41 6	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:08:53 7	to look at this, particularly if you're really just	17:10:41 7	the question.
17:08:57	asked to learn and they pay you to learn, and that's	17:10:44	A. I don't know exactly when, but towards the
17:09:01 9	how I thought about it.	17:10:47	time of my report on on the first case I said I
17:09:03 10	Q. Well they didn't pay you to learn, they paid	17:10:51 10	couldn't find any information that would really link
17:09:05 11	you to be an expert for them in this case.	17:10:55 11	that infection to the Bair Hugger. Got more
17:09:08 12	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:10:59 12	complicated, as you know, very quickly, and I was
17:09:08 13	the question, lack of foundation, mischaracterizes	17:11:03 13	surprised how how how the numbers grew.
17:09:10 14	the evidence.	17:11:07 14	Q. Assuming that the majority of periprosthetic
17:09:11 15	Q. It's your understanding that 3M hired you	17:11:18 15	joint infections are caused by airborne contamination,
17:09:13 16	just to learn?	17:11:25 16	would that affect your opinions in this case?
17:09:15 17	A. 3M didn't hire me.	17:11:27 17	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:09:17 18	Q. The attorneys representing	17:11:29 18	the question, incomplete hypothetical, assumes facts
17:09:19 19	A. The attorneys did, yeah.	17:11:32 19	not in evidence.
17:09:20 20	Q. And who do you think was paying the	17:11:33 20	A. It's hard for me to answer that because it's
17:09:22 21	attorneys?	17:11:37 21	not only a hypothetical, it's something that I just
17:09:23 22	A. 3M.	17:11:38 22	can't find any data for. I don't agree with
17:09:23 23	Q. Okay. So it's your opinion that 3M or the	17:11:41 23	Q. I understand that.
17:09:27 24	attorneys hired you just to learn?	17:11:42 24	But just assume, and I'm allowed to ask you
17:09:28 25	A. No. You just asked me why I sort of got	17:11:45 25	hypotheticals to test your your methodology and
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
47.00.00 1	346	47,44,47 1	348
17:09:32 1	346 involved, because this is really why.	17:11:47 1	348 basis.
17:09:34 2	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay.	17:11:47 2	basis. A. Umm-hmm.
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3	346involved, because this is really why.Q. Okay.A. To get a task where you're actually	17:11:47 2 17:11:48 3	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it	17:11:47 2 17:11:48 3 17:11:54 4	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well	17:11:47 2 17:11:48 3 17:11:54 4 17:11:57 5	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so.	17:11:47 2 17:11:48 3 17:11:54 4 17:11:57 5	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well	17:11:47 2 17:11:48 3 17:11:54 4 17:11:57 5 17:12:00 6	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case;	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections?
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct?	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah.	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry.
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:42 7 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on.
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:42 7 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000.	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:03 14 17:10:04 15	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation.	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora.
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:04 15 17:10:07 16 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:09 18	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating
17:09:34	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:42 7 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:04 15 17:10:07 16 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 20 17:10:18 21	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:04 15 17:10:07 16 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:18 21 17:10:20 22	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:50 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:03 14 17:10:04 15 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 20 17:10:20 22 17:10:25 23	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and weep. That's what	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infection?
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:04 15 17:10:07 16 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:18 21 17:10:20 22	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and weep. That's what Q. At what point in time did you make the	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections.
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:50 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:03 14 17:10:04 15 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 20 17:10:20 22 17:10:25 23	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and weep. That's what Q. At what point in time did you make the determination that the Bair Hugger doesn't increase	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. So for me the only clinical data you have is
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:55 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:03 14 17:10:04 15 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 20 17:10:25 23 17:10:25 24	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and weep. That's what Q. At what point in time did you make the determination that the Bair Hugger doesn't increase STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. So for me the only clinical data you have is STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
17:09:34 2 17:09:34 3 17:09:37 4 17:09:40 5 17:09:41 6 17:09:45 8 17:09:45 9 17:09:46 10 17:09:50 11 17:09:51 12 17:10:01 13 17:10:03 14 17:10:04 15 17:10:09 17 17:10:09 18 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 20 17:10:15 21 17:10:20 22 17:10:25 23 17:10:25 24 17:10:28 25	involved, because this is really why. Q. Okay. A. To get a task where you're actually reviewing the literature and getting paid for it Q. Well A as well, so. Q you charged \$300,000 or in this case; correct? A. Yeah. Q. Okay. And if you were not going to side with the defendant with respect to what their position is in the Bair Hugger, you would agree with me that they probably wouldn't pay you \$300,000. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question, argumentative, lack of foundation. A. You'll have to ask the you know, the legal team what they would have done if Q. At what point A I mean at at some point, if I disagreed, it would be down there. I went As you know in my report, I've tried to put down what I learned, and again I'll give the phrase, read 'em and weep. That's what Q. At what point in time did you make the determination that the Bair Hugger doesn't increase	17:11:47	basis. A. Umm-hmm. Q. Assume that a hundred percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by airborne contamination in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infections? A. So the data are the MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sorry. A. The data are the same whatever the assumption is that I would base my opinion on. Q. Well you were your assumption is that, I think it was 80 or 90 percent of periprosthetic joint infections are caused by the patient's flora. A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Assume that zero percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by the patient's flora and a hundred percent are caused by contaminants in the air in the operating room. Would that affect your opinion based on the particle studies, Darouiche, Stocks, the neutral buoyant studies of whether or not the Bair Hugger increases the risk of periprosthetic joint infection? MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections. A. So for me the only clinical data you have is STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 90 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
İ	349		351
17:12:52	McGovern, and I would go through the McGovern study as	17:15:07 1	Q. Still the same assumption that
17:12:58 2	critically as I did regardless of what assumption.	17:15:09 2	periprosthetic infections are caused by airborne
17:13:03	Q. Well you agree with me that Strike that.	17:15:11 3	contamination.
17:13:09 4	You're aware of the Legg studies; correct?	17:15:12 4	A. Yeah.
17:13:13 5	A. Yeah.	17:15:12 5	Q. Okay. If the Bair Hugger increases the
•	Q. The particle and the neutrally buoyant		,
-	helium bubbles; correct?	_	bacterial load over the surgical site, would that
17:13:17	•	17:15:20 7	affect your opinion of whether or not the Bair Hugger
17:13:18	A. Yeah, yeah.	17:15:22	increases periprosthetic joint infections?
17:13:19 9	Q. And that shows that when the Bair Hugger is	17:15:25	A. Only if I could link the CFUs to infections
17:13:21 10	turned on particles and helium bubbles increase over	17:15:30 10	in a straightforward way.
17:13:23 11	the surgical site; correct?	17:15:33 11	Q. Similar to what Darouiche did but a much
17:13:26 12	A. Yeah.	17:15:34 12	bigger study.
17:13:26 13	Q. Okay. And you're aware of the McGovern	17:15:35 13	A. Much bigger.
17:13:29 14	study also did a neutrally buoyant bubble test;	17:15:36 14	Q. Okay. So if you could link CFUs to
17:13:32 15	correct?	17:15:41 15	infections and the Bair Hugger increased the CFUs over
17:13:32 16	A. Yes, I think that's right.	17:15:44 16	the surgical site, that would affect your opinions of
17:13:33 17	Q. Okay. And you're aware of the Sessler	17:15:47 17	whether or not the Bair Hugger increased the risk of
17:13:36 18	study, and if you looked at the raw data it would show	17:15:49 18	periprosthetic joint infections.
17:13:37 19	an increase in particles.	17:15:51 19	A. Well in this hypothetical I'd want to know
17:13:39 20	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:15:52 20	whether the whatever the assumptions were,
17:13:41 21	the question, mischaracterizes the evidence.	17:15:56 21	including a hundred percent of infections from the
17:13:44 22	A. So bubbles and particles	17:15:58 22	air, does the Bair Hugger actually increase
17:13:44 23	(Interruption by the reporter.)	17:16:01 23	infections.
17:13:44 24	Q. Okay. Bubbles and particles?	17:16:01 24	Q. Well assume
17:13:44 25	A. Bubbles and particles are surrogate markers	17:16:01 25	A. That's the key question, not bubbles or
i	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
ı	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	350		352
17:13:50 1	for the real infection, and there were times when the	17:16:05	particles.
17:13:53 2	Bair Hugger was on where the particles went up, the	17:16:06 2	Q. So are you dismissing Darouiche's article?
17:13:56 3	heat went up, the bubbles went up, yes.	17:16:08 3	A. No.
17:13:59 4	Q. Okay. So assuming that airborne	17:16:08 4	Q. Okay.
17:14:05 5	contamination is Strike that.	17:16:09 5	A. I'd say that he said there is no causal
17:14:05 6	Assuming that with all these studies	17:16:12 6	relationship that he can identify here. You need a
17:14:08 7	regarding increased particles, increased bubbles,	17:16:15 7	much bigger study.
17:14:11 8	okay, take into consideration Stocks' particle study	17:16:17 8	Q. That's
17:14:15 9	and Darouiche's CFU study and periprosthetic joint	17:16:19 9	You think he said there was no causal
17:14:20 10	infections, and assume that periprosthetic joint	17:16:19 10	relationship?
17:14:27 11	infections are caused by airborne contamination.	17:16:20 11	A. I thought he he said that this isn't
17:14:30 12	Would that affect your opinions in this case of	17:16:23 12	definite cause-and-effect. If I'm wrong, let me see
17:14:33 13	whether or not the Bair Hugger increases	17:16:26 13	it.
17:14:33 13	periprosthetic joint infections?	17:16:26 13	Q. But just so I understand, my hypothetical is
17:14:34 14		17:16:35 14	
	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of		inaccurate because it's your opinion that 90 percent
17:14:36 16	the question, incomplete hypothetical, assumes facts	17:16:44 16	of these periprosthetic joint infections are caused by
17:14:38 17	not in evidence.	17:16:48 17	the patient's flora.
17:14:39 18	A. It's very hypothetical, and as I've told	17:16:49 18	A. Could be.
17:14:43 19	you, probably not because I would look at the McGovern	17:16:49 19	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:14:45 20	study as the key clinical study that you're pointing	17:16:52 20	the question, mischaracterizes his testimony.
17:14:49 21	to for the efficacy, or for the saying what you did	17:16:54 21	A. I mean I I think we disagree. You know,
17:14:54 22	about the Bair Hugger.	17:16:57 22	I think that if you ask me where the origin of the
		1	infections are, I think it's the microbiome in a high
17:14:56 23	Q. So if the if if the Bair Hugger	17:16:59 23	infections are, I think it's the inicrobionie in a high
	Q. So if the if if the Bair Hugger Let's make it even simpler.	17:16:59 23 17:17:05 24	proportion of patients. It could be as high as 90.
17:14:56 23			
17:14:56 23 17:15:01 24	Let's make it even simpler.	17:17:05 24	proportion of patients. It could be as high as 90.
17:14:56 23 17:15:01 24	Let's make it even simpler. A. Yeah.	17:17:05 24	proportion of patients. It could be as high as 90. Q. Okay. Could it be as low as 10 percent?

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 353	. 949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 91 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 355
17:17:10 1	A. No, I don't think so.	17:20:07 1	A. but there are a lot of reasons not to use
17:17:11 2	Q. Greater than 50 percent?	17:20:08 2	that.
17:17:14 3	A. Absolutely.	17:20:09 3	Q. Okay. Do you know what the difference in
17:17:14 4	Q. Greater than 70 percent?	17:20:11 4	the reduction of periprosthetic infection rates
17:17:14 5	A. Somewhere between 70 and 90.	17:20:14 5	between the two different types of antibiotics used in
17:17:15	Q. Okay. One of your criticisms on McGovern is	17:20:16 6	McGovern?
17:17:59 7	that you look you state that they changed	17:20:17 7	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:18:02	antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true.	17:20:18	the question.
17:18:05 9 17:18:07 10	A. That's true.Q. Okay. Did you look at the effect of the	17:20:18 9 17:20:21 10	A. I think the Well they were either the same or might have
17:18:07 10	prophylactic antibiotics gentamicin plus teicoplanin	17:20:21 10	been a little higher in fact with the teicoplanin
17:18:22 12	as compared to just a I guess just the gentamicin	17:20:27 12	gent.
17:18:25 13	that was used; correct?	17:20:27 13	Q. But do you know whether or not there was a
17:18:26 14	A. Yes.	17:20:30 14	statistically significant difference
17:18:27 15	Q. Did you look at it's effect in other studies	17:20:31 15	A. Don't know.
17:18:29 16	with respect to periprosthetic joint infections?	17:20:32 16	Q between with respect to periprosthetic
17:18:33 17	A. The comparison, you mean,	17:20:34 17	joint infections?
17:18:33 18	Q. Yeah.	17:20:34 18	A. No. I don't remember that.
17:18:35 19	A in other studies?	17:20:35 19	Q. Okay. So it is possible, if there's no
17:18:37 20	No, I don't think I didn't see any. Q. If other studies existed that indicate that	17:20:37 20	statistical significant difference between the
17:18:38 21 17:18:41 22	Q. If other studies existed that indicate that there was they were pretty much the same type of	17:20:41 21 17:20:42 22	incident of periprosthetic joint infections with different antibiotic regimes, it would not be a
17:18:41 22	effect on periprosthetic joint infections, would you	17:20:42 22	confounding factor.
17:18:45 24	agree with me that you could remove them as a	17:20:48 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:18:48 25	confounding factor in the study?	17:20:48 25	the question, incomplete hypothetical.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	354		356
17:18:49 1	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:20:50	A. You know, I'm always going to tell you
17:18:52 2	the question.	17:20:52	things are possible.
9	↑ Wall I mass first of all mass as a would	9	Mall very stating very suiting in a
17:18:52 3	A. Well, I mean, first of all, no one would	17:20:55 3	Q. Well you're stating you're criticizing
17:18:56 4	design a study where you're going to change three or	17:20:57 4	the study because they have switched the antibiotic
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period;
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5	design a study where you're going to change three or	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5	the study because they have switched the antibiotic
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct?
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA,	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true.
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8 17:21:07 9 17:21:07 10 17:21:09 11	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections?
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders.
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE,	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8 17:21:07 9 17:21:07 10 17:21:09 11 17:21:11 12 17:21:13 13 17:21:14 14 17:21:17 15 17:21:20 16 17:21:25 17	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one.	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection
17:18:56	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8 17:21:07 9 17:21:07 10 17:21:09 11 17:21:11 12 17:21:13 13 17:21:14 14 17:21:17 15 17:21:20 16 17:21:20 16 17:21:20 18 17:21:32 19 17:21:32 20 17:21:34 21	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general?
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10 17:19:27 12 17:19:35 13 17:19:35 14 17:19:37 15 17:19:43 16 17:19:47 17 17:19:51 18 17:19:54 19 17:19:56 20 17:20:04 22	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal failure here, we're talking about periprosthetic joint	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10 17:19:27 12 17:19:35 13 17:19:35 14 17:19:39 15 17:19:41 16 17:19:41 17 17:19:51 18 17:19:54 19 17:19:56 20 17:20:04 22 17:20:05 23	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal failure here, we're talking about periprosthetic joint infection.	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered.
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10 17:19:24 11 17:19:27 12 17:19:35 13 17:19:35 14 17:19:39 15 17:19:41 16 17:19:41 17 17:19:51 18 17:19:51 19 17:19:52 20 17:20:01 21 17:20:04 22 17:20:05 23 17:20:05 24	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal failure here, we're talking about periprosthetic joint infection. A. No, I understand	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8 17:21:07 9 17:21:07 10 17:21:09 11 17:21:13 13 17:21:14 14 17:21:17 15 17:21:20 16 17:21:20 16 17:21:22 17 17:21:22 20 17:21:32 20 17:21:34 21 17:21:38 23 17:21:38 24	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. That's the best I can offer you.
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10 17:19:27 12 17:19:35 13 17:19:35 14 17:19:39 15 17:19:41 16 17:19:41 17 17:19:51 18 17:19:54 19 17:19:56 20 17:20:04 22 17:20:05 23	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal failure here, we're talking about periprosthetic joint infection. A. No, I understand Q. Okay.	17:20:57	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. That's the best I can offer you. Q. So you're looking at the McGovern study for
17:18:56 4 17:18:59 5 17:19:03 6 17:19:06 7 17:19:12 8 17:19:15 9 17:19:18 10 17:19:24 11 17:19:27 12 17:19:35 13 17:19:35 14 17:19:39 15 17:19:47 17 17:19:51 18 17:19:51 18 17:19:51 20 17:20:04 22 17:20:05 23 17:20:05 24	design a study where you're going to change three or four or five things. That's background. And the gentamicin, as you know, is primarily targeting gram-negatives and susceptible Staph, no MRSA, probably very little of the coagulation negative Staph. And in, I think it was Reed's testimony, he said it increased the return to hemodialysis units because of course those you're going to see more renal failure, increased pneumonias. And Reed at the end said, you know, we're not going to go with this any more. If you add the teicoplanin you're going to get coagulation negative Staph and you're going to get MRSA, as well Staph aureus, and, you know, in case you're at a hospital where they have VRE, vanc-resistant enterococcus, it's going to cover that. I'm sorry. I'll take that away, it won't cover that. The last one. Q. Well I'm not really word worried about renal failure here, we're talking about periprosthetic joint infection. A. No, I understand	17:20:57 4 17:21:03 5 17:21:06 6 17:21:06 7 17:21:06 8 17:21:07 9 17:21:07 10 17:21:09 11 17:21:13 13 17:21:14 14 17:21:17 15 17:21:20 16 17:21:20 16 17:21:22 17 17:21:22 20 17:21:32 20 17:21:34 21 17:21:38 23 17:21:38 24	the study because they have switched the antibiotic prophylactic antibiotics during the study period; correct? A. That's true. MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the question. Q. Do you have any evidence that that change in the prophylactic antibiotics had an effect on the infection rates of the periprosthetic joint infections? A. If you hold the antibiotics and the thromboprophylaxis the same, the rates are one percent and one percent. Two with the confounders. Q. My question is: Do you have any evidence that the change in prophylactics have an effect on periprosthetic joint infections MR. COREY GORDON: Objection Q in general? MR. COREY GORDON: Objection, asked and answered. A. That's the best I can offer you.

1	CC	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	. 949-5	Filed 1	0/03/17 Page 92 of 95 NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		357			359
17:21:43 1	your opi	nion that the two different types of	17:25:42 1	A.	
17:21:45 2		regimes have an effect on periprosthetic	17:25:44 2	suspicio	
17:21:49 3	joint infe		17:25:45 3		Okay. So 3M has thousands of studies and
17:21:50 4	J	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:25:54		ne on the Bair Hugger that they never
17:21:53 5	the ques	-	17:25:56 5		d, so are they keeping stuff secret?
17:21:53		I don't know that I would say it that way.	17:25:59 6	pasiisiie	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
-	Α.	I don't remember exactly when you look just	_	the aues	stion, assumes facts not in evidence.
17:21:58	at the ar	ntibiotic and all the other things are still	17:26:00 /	•	I don't know how to answer that. I mean,
17:22:00		what the rates were.			
17:22:02 9			17:26:04 9		d of studies are we talking about, were they
17:22:07 10		Well are you is there any article that	17:26:07 10		s looking for harm?
17:22:11 11	-	ewed in your 300-some hours of literature	17:26:10 11	_	Computational fluid dynamic studies.
17:22:17 12		o indicate that there is a difference in	17:26:12 12	A.	I don't know.
17:22:20 13		rates between the two antibiotic regimes	17:26:13 13		MR. COREY GORDON: Same objections, also
17:22:24 14	used in t	he McGovern study?	17:26:14 14		oundation.
17:22:25 15		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:26:15 15	Q.	Schlieren studies.
17:22:26 16	the ques	tion.	17:26:17 16		You know what Schlieren is?
17:22:26 17	Α.	No. I don't have any study I can point to	17:26:19 17	Α.	No.
17:22:28 18	for that.		17:26:19 18	Q.	Calculations of whether or not the Bair
17:22:29 19	Q.	Okay. Were you aware of Strike that.	17:26:21 19	Hugger (disrupts laminar flow. Have you seen those?
17:23:20 20		Figure 13 you're referring to	17:26:23 20	Α.	No.
17:23:32 21	A.	What page are you on?	17:26:24 21	Q.	Okay. So are they keeping all their studies
17:23:34 22	Q.	Oh, page 67. You're relying on what Dr.	17:26:33 22	secret?	
17:23:43 23	Borak pr	epared; correct?	17:26:33 23		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:23:44 24	A.	Yeah. He created the graph, so I used it.	17:26:35 24	the ques	stion, assumes facts not in evidence,
17:23:48 25	Q.	How many conversations did you have with Dr.	17:26:38 25	Α.	I don't know.
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES			STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	,	-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com			1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CC	NFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CC	ONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
		358			360
17:23:50 1	Borak ar	nd Dr. Holford?	17:26:37 1		MR. COREY GORDON: lack of foundation.
17:23:52 2	A.	Besides the meeting, not at all with	17:26:43 2	Q.	Have you ever met Dr. Scott Augustine?
17:23:57 3	Holford,	and one conversation with Borak.	17:26:52 3	Α.	Doctor who?
17:24:00 4	Q.	In the past year and a half?	17:26:53 4	Q.	Scott Augustine?
17:24:02 5	A.	The whole time that we've known each other.	17:26:54 5	A.	No, I haven't.
17:24:05 6	Q.	Okay. Did you take notes during your	17:26:57 6	Q.	Do you have an opinion of Dr. Scott
17:24:10 7	meeting	with Dr. Borak and Dr. Holford?	17:26:59 7	Augustir	ne?
17:24:13	A.	No. I don't think so Well I don't think	17:27:00 8		MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:24:16	so, no.		17:27:02	the ques	-
17:24:17 10	Q.	Okay. On page 72?	17:27:02 10	Α.	As In what way, opinion as to
17:25:08 11	A.	Okay.	17:27:04 11	Q.	As an inventor, as a doctor?
17:25:08 12	Q.	The highlighted section says: "In the	17:27:07 12		Well he's creative, obviously. The guy, you
	~.				
17:25:12 13	discover	v phase of the trial, it has been shown that 7	17:27:08 13	know in	ivented the Bair Hugger and I I would say
17:25:12 13		y phase of the trial, it has been shown that 7	17:27:08 13		vented the Bair Hugger and I I would say eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms
17:25:15 14	studies s	howing safety of the Bair Hugger were not	17:27:11 14	he's a re	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15	studies s publishe	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret."	17:27:11 14 17:27:15 15	he's a re	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean.
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16	studies s publishe A.	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah.	17:27:11 14 17:27:15 15 17:27:19 16	he's a re of his me Q.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir?
17:25:15	studies s publishe A. Q.	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret."	17:27:11 14 17:27:15 15 17:27:19 16 17:27:20 17	he's a re of his me Q. A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No.
17:25:15	studies s publishe A. Q. secret?	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept	17:27:11	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the
17:25:15	studies s publishe A. Q. secret?	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They	17:27:11	he's a reof his mode. Q. A. Q. HotDog	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device?
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were date	Showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They ta that were not favorable to Augustine, and	17:27:11 14 17:27:15 15 17:27:19 16 17:27:20 17 17:27:34 18 17:27:35 19 17:27:38 20	he's a reof his model. Q. A. Q. HotDog A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself?
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didn	thowing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They ta that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them?	17:27:11 14 17:27:15 15 17:27:19 16 17:27:20 17 17:27:34 18 17:27:35 19 17:27:38 20 17:27:40 21	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah.
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21 17:25:34 22	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didn Q.	showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They at that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them? That means he kept it secret?	17.27:11 14 17.27:15 15 17.27:19 16 17.27:20 17 17.27:34 18 17.27:35 19 17.27:38 20 17.27:40 21 17.27:41 22	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah. I'm not aware No, I
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21 17:25:34 22 17:25:36 23	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didr Q. A.	Showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They at that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them? That means he kept it secret? That's what I think happened.	17.27:11 14 17.27:15 15 17.27:19 16 17.27:20 17 17.27:34 18 17.27:35 19 17.27:38 20 17.27:40 21 17.27:41 22 17.27:43 23	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q. A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah. I'm not aware No, I No, I don't.
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21 17:25:34 22	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didr Q. A. Q.	Showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They at that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them? That means he kept it secret? That's what I think happened. So you think any study that people do that	17.27:11 14 17.27:15 15 17.27:19 16 17.27:20 17 17.27:34 18 17.27:35 19 17.27:38 20 17.27:40 21 17.27:41 22 17.27:43 23 17.27:45 24	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q. A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah. I'm not aware No, I No, I don't. And you've seen studies that show that the
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21 17:25:34 22 17:25:36 23	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didr Q. A. Q.	Showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They at that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them? That means he kept it secret? That's what I think happened.	17.27:11 14 17.27:15 15 17.27:19 16 17.27:20 17 17.27:34 18 17.27:35 19 17.27:38 20 17.27:40 21 17.27:41 22 17.27:43 23	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q. A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah. I'm not aware No, I No, I don't.
17:25:15 14 17:25:17 15 17:25:19 16 17:25:21 17 17:25:22 18 17:25:25 19 17:25:27 20 17:25:32 21 17:25:34 22 17:25:36 23 17:25:37 24	studies s publishe A. Q. secret? A. were dat why didr Q. A. Q.	Showing safety of the Bair Hugger were not d, were kept secret." Yeah. What makes you believe that they were kept Because they were never published. They at that were not favorable to Augustine, and o't he publish them? That means he kept it secret? That's what I think happened. So you think any study that people do that	17.27:11 14 17.27:15 15 17.27:19 16 17.27:20 17 17.27:34 18 17.27:35 19 17.27:38 20 17.27:40 21 17.27:41 22 17.27:43 23 17.27:45 24	he's a re of his mo Q. A. Q. HotDog A. Q. A.	eal entrepreneur. I have a lot of criticisms ost recent study, if that's what you mean. That's not in your report, is it, sir? No. Okay. Do you have any criticisms of the device? Of the device itself? Yeah. I'm not aware No, I No, I don't. And you've seen studies that show that the

	CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 93 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	361		363
17:27:50 1	orthopedic surgeries.	17:30:45 1	World countries.
17:27:52 2	A. I haven't seen that. But what it show if	17:30:45 2	A. I would.
17:27:55 3	you're talking about particles or stuff like that?	17:30:46 3	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of
17:27:58 4	Q. I'm talking about efficacy of warming	17:30:47 4	the question.
17:28:00 5	patients.	17:30:48 5	Q. So I want to turn to Chapter 21. I only
17:28:00 6	A. No. There I don't think there are any	17:30:52 6	printed up Chapter 21.
17:28:02 7	data.	17:30:57 7	A. Yes.
17:28:26 8	Q. Now is it my understanding that you would	17:30:59	Q. Let's look at page paragraph on the
17:28:29	need a clinical study to Strike that.	17:31:04 9	bottom of page 134 that starts with "exogenous"?
17:28:36 10	If a device contaminates the sterile field,	17:31:07 10	A. Okay.
17:28:43 11	you would need a clinical study to show that it caused	17:31:08 11	Q. And this is
17:28:45 12	harm?	17:31:10 12	And you reviewed this before; correct?
17:28:46 13	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of	17:31:11 13	A. I did see this.
17:28:48 14	the question, incomplete hypothetical.	17:31:12 14	Q. And you approved this for publication;
17:28:52 15	A. I would say that would be a signal that	17:31:13 15	correct?
17:28:56 16	would lead to a study that we would see whether or not	17:31:13 16	A. I did.
17:29:00 17	that signal with, let's say, particles equate to	17:31:14 17	Q. Okay. "Exogenous contamination of wounds is
17:29:05 18	infection, and that's what I would want to have.	17:31:17 18	also important in the pathophysiology of SSIs,
17:29:30 19	Q. All right. You're a member of the	17:31:21 19	particularly for clean surgical procedures."
17:29:41 20	International Society For Infectious Disease; correct?	17:31:23 20	Did I read that correctly?
17:29:43 21	A. That's true.	17:31:24 21	A. Yes.
17:29:44 22	Q. Are you still a member?	17:31:24 22	Q. And a clean surgical a clean surgical
17:29:45 23	A. Yeah. You're a kind of a member forever.	17:31:27 23	procedure would be a total hip or total knee
17:29:47 24	Q . Okay.	17:31:30 24	arthroplasty; correct?
17:29:47 25	(Wenzel Exhibit 13 marked for	17:31:31 25	A. That's correct.
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	362		364
17:29:47 1	identification.)	17:31:32 1	Q. "Airborne bacteria originating from the
17:29:47 2	BY MR. ASSAAD:	17:31:34 2	patient or the surgical team suffice to create SSI in
17:30:01 3	Q. Do you recognize this document?	17:31:38 3	these types of procedures, particularly when implants
17:30:03 4	A. I do.	17:31:41 4	are being placed (example, total hip prostheses)."
17:30:04 5	Q. It's titled, "A Guide to Infection Control	17:31:44 5	Did I read that correctly?
17:30:06	in the Hospital, Fourth Edition"; correct?	17:31:46 6	A. You did.
17:30:09 7	A. Yes.	17:31:46 7	Q. Okay. Those are the surgeries that are at
17:30:09	Q. And you're the editor; correct?	17:31:48	issue in this case; correct?
17:30:11 9	A. Yes.	17:31:49	A. Yes.
17:30:11 10	Q. And we discussed this doc we discussed	17:31:49 10	Q. Okay. Airborne contamination well well
17:30:13 11	this book before; correct?	17:31:53 11	affect other clean surgical procedures with long
17:30:14 12	A. We did.	17:31:55 12	exposure times and large surface areas, period.
17:30:15 13	Q. Okay. And you had	17:31:58 13	Correct?
17:30:19 14	And you believe this is authoritative;	17:31:58 14	A. Yes.
17:30:19 15	correct?	17:31:59 15	Q. "The main source of airborne bacteria in the
17:30:21 16	A. Yeah, with the context I gave you what we're	17:31:39 16	OR originate primarily from the skin of individuals in
		17:32:01 17	the room," period.
17:30:24 7 /	trying to do in poor countries where the resources are		
17:30:24 17	trying to do in poor countries where the resources are just limited, we tried to come up with some key points		
17:30:29 18	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points	17:32:05 18	Did I read that correctly?
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers.	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19	Did I read that correctly? A. You did.
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as
17:30:29	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States?	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery,
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20 17:30:35 21 17:30:37 22	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States? A. No, but that was the major that was the	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21 17:32:19 22	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery, the quality of air provided, the rate of air exchange,
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20 17:30:35 21 17:30:37 22 17:30:38 23	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States? A. No, but that was the major that was the major thrust.	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21 17:32:19 22 17:32:22 23	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery, the quality of air provided, the rate of air exchange, the quality of staff clothing, the quality of cleaning
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20 17:30:35 21 17:30:37 22 17:30:38 23 17:30:40 24	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States? A. No, but that was the major that was the major thrust. Q. But I would hope that you would treat, like,	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21 17:32:19 22 17:32:22 23 17:32:26 24	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery, the quality of air provided, the rate of air exchange, the quality of staff clothing, the quality of cleaning process and the level of compliance with infection
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20 17:30:35 21 17:30:37 22 17:30:38 23	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States? A. No, but that was the major that was the major thrust. Q. But I would hope that you would treat, like, Third World countries the same as you would First	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21 17:32:19 22 17:32:22 23	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery, the quality of air provided, the rate of air exchange, the quality of staff clothing, the quality of cleaning process and the level of compliance with infection control practices all influence airborne
17:30:29 18 17:30:32 19 17:30:34 20 17:30:35 21 17:30:37 22 17:30:38 23 17:30:40 24	just limited, we tried to come up with some key points for healthcare workers. Q. Are you saying this only applies to poor countries and not to the United States? A. No, but that was the major that was the major thrust. Q. But I would hope that you would treat, like,	17:32:05 18 17:32:06 19 17:32:13 20 17:32:16 21 17:32:19 22 17:32:22 23 17:32:26 24	Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. "The number of persons present in the OR as well as their level of activity, the type of surgery, the quality of air provided, the rate of air exchange, the quality of staff clothing, the quality of cleaning process and the level of compliance with infection

	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	949-5	Filed 10/03/17 Page 94 of 95 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER
	365		367
17:32:31 1	contamination," period.	17:34:33 1	MR. COREY GORDON: I have nothing further.
_	Did I read that correctly?	_	MR. ASSAAD: I have one more question.
17:32:32 2 17:32:33 3	A. You did.	17:34:34 2 17:34:34 3	EXAMINATION
	Q. And this is something that you agreed with		BY MR. ASSAAD:
17:32:34 4	at the time that it was published; correct?	17:34:34 4 17:34:39 5	Q. Go to page 134.
	A. Agreed that, yes.	17:34:39 6	A. Oh, okay.
17:32:37 b	Q. Okay. "Although these may seem trivial	17:34:40 7	Q. When you read, "Most SSIs arise from the
17:32:39 /	issues for contaminated procedures or dirty	17:34:47	patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound
17:32:44 8 17:32:46 9	procedures, they are very important to consider in	17:34:49	by direct contact." "Direct contact" is is by
17:32:49 10	clean and clean-contaminated surgery," period.	17:34:56 10	by hand or some inanimate device; correct?
17:32:52 11	Did I read that correctly?	17:35:00 11	A. When I think of it I think that it's already
17:32:53 12	A. You did.	17:35:03 12	there, as you know, we've talked about this before,
17:32:53 13	Q. And that's something that you yourself as	17:35:05 12	and once the blade goes across that's direct contact
17:32:55 14	the the main editor, published in 2008; correct?	17:35:09 14	with the wound. Now she may mean, in addition, you
17:33:00 15	A. We did.	17:35:13 15	know, if there's a a scalpel that picks up part of
17:33:02 16	MR. ASSAAD: I have no more questions.	17:35:17 16	the flora and then is used in the wound. I would have
17:33:04 17	MR. COREY GORDON: I'll just have a couple.	17:35:21 17	to go back and talk to her if what she meant more
17:33:04 18	EXAMINATION	17:35:25 18	commonly, or both.
17:33:04 19	BY MR. COREY GORDON:	17:35:26 19	Q. But you understand bacteria when they
17:33:06 20	Q. Keep Exhibit 13 open. That paragraph that	17:35:29 20	talk about direct contact with bacteria, it's
17:33:09 21	counsel was just reading from in that sec Go back	17:35:31 21	transferring it from, like, your hand to a device or
17:33:15 22	to page 134.	17:35:33 22	your hand to a wound; correct?
17:33:17 23	A. Sure.	17:35:35 23	A. That's correct.
17:33:19 24	Q. Under "Known Facts."	17:35:37 24	MR. COREY GORDON: Object to the form of the
17:33:22 25	A. Yes.	17:35:37 25	question.
17.00.22	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	17.00.07	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
	1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com		1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER I
	CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 366		CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 368
17:33:22 1	366	17:35:37 1	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have.
17:33:22 1 17:33:24 2		17:35:37 1	368
_	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please?	_	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have.
17:33:24 2	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's	17:35:38 2	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please?	17:35:38 2 17:35:38 3	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4	366 Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct	17:35:38 2 17:35:38 3 17:35:40 4	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact."	17:35:38 2 17:35:38 3 17:35:40 4 17:35:43 5	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you.	17:35:38 2 17:35:38 3 17:35:40 4 17:35:43 5 17:35:44 6	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138?	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah.	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there.	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:44 11	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:44 11 17:33:44 12	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:48 12 17:33:52 13	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:44 11 17:33:44 11 17:33:48 12 17:33:52 13 17:33:56 14	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR."	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:33 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:44 11 17:33:48 12 17:33:56 14 17:34:01 15	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:42 13 17:33:51 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:03 16 17:34:03 17 17:34:06 18	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph.	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:45 12 17:33:56 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:03 16 17:34:03 17 17:34:06 18 17:34:10 19	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 8 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:44 11 17:33:46 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:03 16 17:34:03 17 17:34:06 18 17:34:10 19 17:34:11 20	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:44 11 17:33:48 12 17:33:52 13 17:33:52 13 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 18 17:34:01 19 17:34:10 19 17:34:11 20 17:34:16 21	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 7 17:33:39 8 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:42 13 17:33:52 13 17:33:52 13 17:33:56 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 19 17:34:11 20 17:34:11 21	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made for the use of laminar flow ventilation in" the "ORs."	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:45 12 17:33:56 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 19 17:34:11 20 17:34:12 21 17:34:15 22 17:34:25 23	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made for the use of laminar flow ventilation in" the "ORs." Q. This was published in 2008; is that right?	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 8 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:46 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:03 16 17:34:03 17 17:34:06 18 17:34:10 19 17:34:10 19 17:34:10 21 17:34:10 22 17:34:25 23 17:34:31 24	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made for the use of laminar flow ventilation in" the "ORs." Q. This was published in 2008; is that right? A. I think that's right. Yes.	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 9 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:45 12 17:33:56 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 15 17:34:01 17 17:34:01 19 17:34:11 20 17:34:12 21 17:34:15 22 17:34:25 23	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made for the use of laminar flow ventilation in" the "ORs." Q. This was published in 2008; is that right? A. I think that's right. Yes. Q. Thank you.	17:35:38	MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record. (Deposition concluded at 5:35 p.m.)
17:33:24 2 17:33:25 3 17:33:28 4 17:33:31 5 17:33:32 6 17:33:32 6 17:33:39 8 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 10 17:33:42 11 17:33:46 14 17:34:01 15 17:34:03 16 17:34:03 17 17:34:06 18 17:34:10 19 17:34:10 19 17:34:10 21 17:34:10 22 17:34:25 23 17:34:31 24	Q. Could you just read the first sentence, please? A. "Most SSIs arises from the patient's endogenous flora which contaminate the wound by direct contact." Q. Thank you. And if you could turn to page 138? A. Yeah. Q. And could you in the just read that first paragraph under "Controversial Issues" there. A. "ORs equipped with laminar airflow system provide almost sterile air, yet a very few studies show a significant decrease in SSI rates for surgical procedures performed in this type of OR." Q. And go ahead and read the rest of the paragraph. A. "Furthermore, some of these experiments did not control for the antimicrobial regimen received as surgical prophylaxis, thus precluding any conclusion on the exact role of the laminar flow system. Therefore, at this time no recommendation can be made for the use of laminar flow ventilation in" the "ORs." Q. This was published in 2008; is that right? A. I think that's right. Yes.	17:35:38	368 MR. ASSAAD: That's all I have. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. COREY GORDON: We're done. We'll read and sign. THE REPORTER: Off the record.

369

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Debby J. Campeau, hereby certify that I
3	am qualified as a verbatim shorthand reporter; that I
4	took in stenographic shorthand the testimony of
5	RICHARD P. WENZEL, M.D., MSc., at the time and place
6	aforesaid; and that the foregoing transcript
7	consisting of 368 pages is a true and correct, full
8	and complete transcription of said shorthand notes,
9	to the best of my ability.
10	Dated at Lino Lakes, Minnesota, this 9th
11	day of August, 2017.
12	
13	
14	
15	DEBBY J. CAMPEAU
16	Notary Public
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES 1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER S I G N A T U R E P A G E I, RICHARD P. WENZEL, M.D., MSc., the deponent, 3 hereby certify that I have read the foregoing 4 transcript, consisting of 368 pages, and that said 5 transcript is a true and correct, full and complete 6 transcription of my deposition, except per the attached corrections, if any. PAGE LINE CHANGE/REASON FOR CHANGE 18 19 Signature of Witness 21 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL this ____ 22 day of _____, 2017. 23 25 (DJC) STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
1-800-553-1953 info@stirewalt.com